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Toy Theory

Consider a $SU(2)$ gauge theory (completely) spontaneously broken by a Higgs in the fundamental representation.

All gauge bosons have a common mass $M$.

Infrared structure perturbative and regulated by $M$.

The standard model omitting the $U(1)$ sector. (only $W^\pm, W^3$)

Write group theory in terms of $C_F, C_A$ — only makes sense for $SU(2)$ Otherwise $SU(N) \rightarrow SU(N - 1)$ and some gauge bosons remain massless.

Fermions have a mass $m$

Large scale $Q$, with $Q \gg M$, $Q \gg m$, and neglect $M^2/Q^2$ and $m^2/Q^2$ power corrections.
Notation

\[ L_Q = \log \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \]

\[ L_M = \log \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \]

\[ L_{Q/M} = \log \frac{Q^2}{M^2} = L_Q - L_M \]
Sudakov Form Factor

Sufficient to compute $n$-particle hard scattering.

Linear in $\log p_i \cdot p_j$ to all orders \hspace{1cm} Chiu et al. (2008)

Consider the scattering of a fermion by an external current $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$

\[
Q^2 = -q^2 = 2p_1 \cdot p_2
\]

\[
F_E(Q^2 / M^2) \left[ \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma^\mu u(p_1) \right] = \langle p_2 | \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi | p_1 \rangle
\]

Contains $\alpha \log^2(Q^2 / M^2)$ — Sudakov double logarithms
Structure of Terms

In the leading-log regime \( L \sim 1/\alpha \), the various terms are of order

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\alpha L^2 & \alpha L & \alpha \\
\alpha^2 L^4 & \alpha^2 L^3 & \alpha^2 L^2 & \alpha^2 L & \alpha^2 \\
\alpha^3 L^6 & \vdots \\
\vdots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Infrared Evolution Equation

Collins formula for the Sudakov form factor

\[
\log F_E(Q^2) = \log F_0(M) + \int_{M^2}^{Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \left[ \zeta(\mu) + \xi(M) + \int_{M^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'^2} \Gamma(\mu') \right]
\]

\(F_0, \zeta, \xi, \Gamma\) are functions only of \(\alpha\) at the relevant scale.
\(\Gamma\) is the cusp anomalous dimension.
\(\xi\) integral can be done to give

\[
\xi(\alpha(M)) \log \frac{Q^2}{M^2}
\]

Used by the Karlsruhe group for electroweak corrections. Compare with fixed order computation.

Kuhn, Jantzen, Penin, Smirnov
\[
\log F_E(Q^2) = C(\alpha(Q)) \\
+ \int_Q^M \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left[ A(\alpha(\mu)) \log \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} + B(\alpha(\mu)) \right] \\
+ D_0(\alpha(M)) + D_1(\alpha(M)) \log \frac{Q^2}{M^2}
\]

- **C**: matching at \( Q \) — high scale matching
- **A \log \mu^2/Q^2 + B**: SCET anomalous dimension
- **D_0 + D_1 \log Q^2/M^2**: matching at \( M \) — low scale matching
- There is a \( \log Q \) in the matching at \( M \)
- Equivalent to Infrared Evolution Equation
Resummation: Exponentiated Form

Exponentiated form:

\[
\log S = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha L^2 & \alpha L & \alpha \\
\alpha^2 L^3 & \alpha^2 L^2 & \alpha^2 L & \alpha^2 \\
\alpha^3 L^4 & \alpha^3 L^3 & \alpha^3 L^2 & \alpha^3 L & \alpha^3 \\
\alpha^4 L^5 & \ldots & \\
\vdots & 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In the leading-log regime:

\[
\log S = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\alpha} & 1 & \alpha \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} & \ldots & \\
\vdots & 
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Resummation: Exponentiated Form

$$\log S = \frac{1}{\alpha} f_0 + f_1 + \alpha f_2 + \ldots = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ f_0 + \alpha f_1 + \alpha^2 f_2 + \ldots \right]$$

so that $f_1$ and $f_2$ are corrections to $\log A$. However,

$$S = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} f_0 + f_1 + \alpha f_2 + \ldots \right] = e^{\frac{1}{\alpha} f_0} \times e^{f_1} \times e^{\alpha f_2} \times \ldots$$

Must include the LL and NLL series. The NLL series is \textit{not} a correction.

LL: one-loop cusp
NLL: two-loop cusp, one-loop non-cusp, one-loop $D_1$
NNLL: three-loop cusp, two-loop non-cusp and $D_1$, one-loop $C$ and $D_0$

Literature: One-loop LL, NLL, etc.
Outline of Calculation

\[ C(Q, \mu) \quad Full\ Theory \quad \mu = Q \]

\[ SCET\ (M = 0) \]

\[ \gamma_{SCET} \]

\[ D(M, \mu) \quad SCET\ (with\ Mass) \quad \mu = M \]

\[ SCET\ (without\ gauge\ bosons) \]
Sudakov Form Factor at One Loop

\[ F_E(Q^2) = 1 + \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ -L_Q^2/M + 3L_Q/M - \frac{7}{2} - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right] \]

High Scale Matching:

\[ C(Q, \mu) = 1 + \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ -L_Q^2 + 3L_Q + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 8 \right] \]

Running:

\[ \gamma(\mu) = \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ 4L_Q - 6 \right] \]

Low Scale Matching:

\[ D(Q, M, \mu) = 1 + \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ 2L_M L_Q - L_M^2 - 3L_M + \frac{9}{2} - \frac{5\pi^2}{6} \right] \]
Factorization of Scales

EFT has factored the $Q$ and $M$ dependence.

The $Q$ dependence goes into the matching condition, plus the single-log $D_1$ term in the low-scale matching in the EFT.

The $M$ dependence is in the EFT computation.
Breit Frame

Work in the Breit frame where the two particles are back to back,

\[ \mathbf{q} \rightarrow p_2 \]

\[ \rightarrow p_1 \]

and move in the directions given by the null vectors

\[ n^\mu = (1, \mathbf{n}), \quad \bar{n}^\mu = (1, -\mathbf{n}) \]

and define

\[ p^+ = n \cdot p, \quad p^- = \bar{n} \cdot p, \quad p^\mu = \frac{1}{2} n^\mu (\bar{n} \cdot p) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{n}^\mu (n \cdot p) + p_{\perp}^\mu \]
EFT Modes

EFT has $n$-collinear $\bar{n}$-collinear, and soft mass modes:

\[ p^- \quad p^+ \quad p_\perp \]

$\ n$-collinear : \[ Q \quad Q^2/M \quad M \]

$\bar{n}$-collinear : \[ Q^2/M \quad Q \quad M \]

mass : \[ M \quad M \quad M \]

Introduce fields $\xi_{n,p}$, $\xi_{\bar{n},p}$, $A_{n,p}$, $A_{\bar{n},p}$, $A$. Collinear gauge invariance requires fields in the combination

\[ \left[ W_n^\dagger \xi_n \right] \]

$W_n$ is a collinear Wilson line in the $\bar{n}$ direction, and contains $A_n$. 
Would like to factor the EFT amplitude into three pieces.

The $n$-collinear diagram: 

$$I_n = (4\pi)^{-\epsilon} \mu^{2\epsilon} e^{\epsilon \gamma_E}$$

$$I_n = -2ig^2 C_F f_\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{-\vec{n} \cdot k} \frac{\vec{n} \cdot (p_2 - k)}{[(p_2 - k)^2]} \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2}$$

Integrate over $k^+$ by contours

$$I_n = -2 \frac{C_F \alpha}{4\pi} \mu^{2\epsilon} e^{\epsilon \gamma_E} \Gamma(\epsilon) \int_0^1 dz \frac{1 - z}{z} \left[ M^2 (1 - z) - p_2^2 z (1 - z) \right]^{-\epsilon}$$

For $z \to 0$ this integral diverges for $M \neq 0$, even if $p_2^2 \neq 0$.

If $M = 0$, $z^{-\epsilon}$ from the $p_2^2 \neq 0$ term regulates the integral.
Analytic Regulator

Need to regulate the SCET diagrams. Analytic regulator

\[
\frac{1}{(p_i - k)^2 - m_i^2} \rightarrow \frac{(-\nu_i^2)\delta_i}{[(p_i - k)^2 - m_i^2]^{1+\delta_i}}.
\]

The above regulates the collinear fermion denominators.

If particle \( j \) interacts with a \( n_i \) collinear gluon, and becomes off-shell:

\[
\frac{1}{(p_j + k)^2 - m_j^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2p_j \cdot k} \rightarrow \frac{p_j \cdot \epsilon}{p_j \cdot k} = \frac{n_j \cdot \epsilon}{n_j \cdot k}
\]

where \( k \) is \( n_i \)-collinear
Wilson Lines

\[ k^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{n}_i \cdot k) \, n_i^\mu \]

\[ \epsilon^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{n}_i \cdot \epsilon) \, n_i^\mu \]

\[ p_j^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{n}_j \cdot p_j) \, n_j^\mu \]

\[ \frac{n_j \cdot \epsilon}{n_j \cdot k} = \frac{\bar{n}_i \cdot \epsilon}{\bar{n}_i \cdot k} \quad \frac{n_i \cdot n_j}{n_i \cdot n_j} = \frac{\bar{n}_i \cdot \epsilon}{\bar{n}_i \cdot k} \]

and only depends on \( i \), and the color of Wilson line particle \( j \).
Multiple particles:

Wilson lines transforming as $T_2$, $T_3$ and $T_4$ combine to form a Wilson line transforming as $T_1$, *provided the operator is color singlet*. This gives

$$\left[ W_1^\dagger \xi_1 \right]$$

$W_1$ contains $T_1$ and $\bar{n}_1$.

Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Rothstein, Stewart
The $j$ dependence no longer cancels. Thus the identities which allowed one to combine all the $n_i$-collinear emissions into a single Wilson line in the $\bar{n}_i$ direction no longer hold.

Good to have a nicer regulator.
∆ Regulator

\[ \frac{1}{(p_i + k)^2 - m_i^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{(p_i + k)^2 - m_i^2 - \Delta_i} \]

This regulator can be implemented at the level of the Lagrangian, since it corresponds to a shift in the particle mass. The on-shell condition remains \( p_i^2 = m_i^2 \).

If particle \( j \) interacts with a \( n_i \) collinear gluon, and becomes off-shell:

\[ \frac{1}{(p_j + k)^2 - m_j^2 - \Delta_j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2p_j \cdot k - \Delta_j} \]

where \( k \) is \( n_i \)-collinear
\[ k^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{n}_i \cdot k) n_i^\mu \]
\[ p_j^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{n}_j \cdot p_j) n_j^\mu \]

\[ \frac{1}{(p_j + k)^2 - m_j^2 - \Delta_j} \to \frac{1}{2}(\vec{n}_i \cdot k)(\vec{n}_j \cdot p_j)(n_i \cdot n_j) - \Delta_j \]

where \( k \) is \( n \)-collinear, so

\[ \frac{\epsilon \cdot n_j}{k \cdot n_j} \to \frac{\epsilon \cdot \vec{n}_i}{k \cdot \vec{n}_i - \delta_{j,n_i}}, \quad \delta_{j,n_i} \equiv \frac{2\Delta_j}{(n_i \cdot n_j)(\vec{n}_j \cdot p_j)} \]

Still depends on \( j \) through the regulator \( \delta_{j,n_i} \).
For the Sudakov problem, collinear fermions regulated by $\Delta_{1,2}$ and Wilson lines by $\delta_{1,n}$ and $\delta_{2,\bar{n}}$

\[
\delta_1 \equiv \delta_{1,n} = \frac{2\Delta_1}{(n \cdot \bar{n})(n \cdot p_1)} = \frac{\Delta_1}{p_1^+}
\]
\[
\delta_2 \equiv \delta_{2,\bar{n}} = \frac{2\Delta_2}{(n \cdot \bar{n})(\bar{n} \cdot p_2)} = \frac{\Delta_2}{p_2^-}.
\]

$\delta_1$ transforms as $p^-$ and $\delta_2$ as $p^+$.

\[
l_n = \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 2L_M \right) \left( 1 + \log \frac{\delta_1}{p_2^-} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 2 \right]
\]

Graph for particle 2 depends on regulator for particle 1 and on $Q^2$. (Also collinear wavefunction graphs)
For the soft diagram, one finds

\[
I_s = -2ig^2 C_F f_\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2} - n \cdot k - \delta_2 - \bar{n} \cdot k - \delta_1
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2}{\mu^2} + L_M^2 - 2L_M \log \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]
\]

\[
\delta_1 \delta_2 = \frac{\Delta_1 \Delta_2}{Q^2}
\]
Zero Bin Subtractions

Stewart, AM

Lee and Sterman, Collins, Sterman, Idilbi and Mehen

There is a double counting because the $p \rightarrow 0$ collinear integral overlaps the soft integral. The $p \rightarrow 0$ limit is

$$I_{n\emptyset} = -2ig^2C_Ff_\epsilon \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{[-\bar{n} \cdot k - \delta_1]} \frac{1}{[-n \cdot k - \Delta_2/p^-]} \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2}$$

which is the same as the soft integral with $\delta_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2/p^-.$

$$I_n - I_{n\emptyset} = \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{\Delta_2}{\mu^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} - 2 \left( 1 - \log \frac{\Delta_2}{\mu^2} \right) L_M \right. \left. - L_M^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + 2 \right]$$

Depends only on $M$ and $\Delta_2$ — no longer depends on particle 1.
\[(I_n - I_{n\bar{n}}) + (I_{\bar{n}} - I_{\bar{n}\bar{n}}) + I_s + \text{wavefunction} \]
\[
= \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \mu^2}{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} + \frac{3}{\epsilon} - L_M^2 - 2L_M \log \frac{\delta_1 \delta_2 \mu^2}{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} - 3L_M \right.
\[
- \frac{5\pi^2}{6} + \frac{9}{2} \bigg] 
\]

This simplifies to (using \(\delta_1 = \Delta_1/p_1^+, \delta_2 = \Delta_2/p_2^-\))
\[
\frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon} L_Q + \frac{3}{\epsilon} - L_M^2 + 2L_QL_M - 3L_M - \frac{5\pi^2}{6} + \frac{9}{2} \right] 
\]

This is the correct effective theory result, and when combined with the matching computation at \(Q\) correctly reproduces the known full-theory computation of the form-factor.
Note that without zero-bin subtractions, the effective theory result would be

\[
I_n + I_{\bar{n}} + I_s + \text{wavefunction}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha C_F}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \frac{\Delta_1^2 \Delta_2^2}{Q^6 \mu^2} + \frac{3}{\epsilon} + L_M^2 - 2L_M \log \frac{\Delta_1^2 \Delta_2^2}{Q^6 \mu^2} - 3L_M \right] - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{9}{2}
\]

- Wrong \(1/\epsilon\) part — wrong anomalous dimension
- Wrong finite pieces
- Regulator does not cancel
- Idilbi and Mehen pointed this out for QCD
The zero-bin subtraction $I_{n\emptyset} = I_s$ so

$$(I_n - I_{n\emptyset}) + (I_{\bar{n}} - I_{\bar{n}\emptyset}) + I_s = I_n + I_{\bar{n}} - I_s$$

Following Idilbi and Mehen, one can write down the combination

$$I_n + I_{\bar{n}} - I_s$$

- Evaluating it gives the correct EFT result
- Do not need any regulator (drop the $\Delta$ regulator)
- Method of regions — always add up regions. Here you have to subtract a region.
\[ k^2 = M^2 \]

**Δ regulator introduces new regulator dependent regions**

- \( I_n \) from \( A \) and \( C \)      \( \bar{I}_n \) from \( D \) and \( B \)
- \( I_s \) from \( B \) and \( C \)
- \( I_{n\emptyset} \) from \( B \) and \( C \)      \( I_n - I_{n\emptyset} \) from \( A \) and \( B \)
- \( I_n + \bar{I}_n - I_s \) from regions \( A \) and \( B \)
Gauge Dependence

Also need zero-bin subtractions for gauge invariance

\[ i\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2} \left[ g_{\alpha\beta} + (\xi - 1) \frac{k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}}{k^2 - \xi M^2} \right] \]

In the full theory, the new \( \xi \) dependent contribution to the vertex graph, \( I(\xi) \), stemming from the second part of the gauge boson propagator is

\[ I(\xi) = \Gamma J_\xi \]

\[ J_\xi = -ig^2 C_F (\xi - 1)f_\epsilon \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2} \frac{1}{k^2 - \xi M^2} \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \left[ \frac{\xi - 1}{\epsilon_{\text{UV}}} - (\xi - 1) \log \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} + (\xi - 1) - \xi \log \xi \right] \]
- High Scale matching is gauge invariant:

\[ V \rightarrow V + J_\xi, \quad W \rightarrow W + J_\xi, \quad V - W \rightarrow V - W \]

- EFT without zero-bin subtractions is gauge dependent:

\[ I_n \rightarrow I_n + J_\xi \quad I_{\bar{n}} \rightarrow I_{\bar{n}} + J_\xi \quad I_s \rightarrow I_s + J_\xi \]
\[ W_n \rightarrow W_n + J_\xi \quad W_{\bar{n}} \rightarrow W_{\bar{n}} + J_\xi \quad W_s \rightarrow W_s + J_\xi \]
\[ \delta (I_n - \frac{1}{2} W_n) = \frac{1}{2} J_\xi \quad \delta (I_{\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} W_{\bar{n}}) = \frac{1}{2} J_\xi \quad \delta (I_s - W_s) = 0 \]

- Fixed by the zero-bin subtractions:

\[ \delta (I_n_{\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} W_{n{\bar{n}}}) = \frac{1}{2} J_\xi \quad \delta (I_{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} W_{\bar{n}{\bar{n}}}) = \frac{1}{2} J_\xi \]
Factorization

$I_n - I_{n\emptyset}$ does not need any regulator for the Wilson lines, only for the collinear fermion.

\[
\frac{\epsilon \cdot n_j}{k \cdot n_j} \rightarrow \frac{\epsilon \cdot \bar{n}_i}{k \cdot \bar{n}_i - \delta_{j,n_i}} \rightarrow \frac{\epsilon \cdot n_j}{k \cdot n_j}
\]

Wilson lines transforming as $T_2$, $T_3$ and $T_4$ combine to form a Wilson line transforming as $T_\bar{1}$, provided the operator is color singlet.

\[
\left[W_1^\dagger \xi_1 \right]_{\emptyset}
\]

$W_1$ contains $T_1$ and $\bar{n}_1$ and does not depend on the other particles, provided collinear graphs are zero-bin subtracted.
Factorization

Important result of Aybat, Dixon, Sterman; Dixon, Magnea, Sterman and Dixon

\[
\text{Soft } \propto \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} T_i \cdot T_j
\]
even at two-loop order.

Factorization properties combined with the color structure imply that one can use the sum on pairs formula to two-loop order. Chiu et al.

Thus as discussed at SCET08, the Sudakov computation allows one to compute an arbitrary \( n \)-particle process by summing the Sudakov result over pairs with the \( T_i \cdot T_j \) group theory factor.

Can now compute all standard model processes at high energy — missing piece was electroweak gauge bosons (Talk by Kelley).
Conclusions

- Need zero-bin subtractions — not zero for massive gauge bosons
- This is not a regulator issue — $l_n + l_{\bar{n}} - l_s$ does not need an IR regulator.
- Required for collinear gauge invariance
- Restores factorization between collinear and soft
- Can compute radiative corrections to any hard scattering process in the standard model.