Example of the Ability of Civil Engineering Projects to Shape Cities and Channel Development:

Roads, Canals, and Railroads in the Early 19th Century

Transport Options, Early 19th Century

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rough Road</th>
<th>Turnpike</th>
<th>Canal</th>
<th>Railroad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost/mile</td>
<td>$1-2,000</td>
<td>$5-10,000</td>
<td>$20,000/mile</td>
<td>$15-50,000/mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>1 ton/wagon 12 miles/day 12 tm/day/vehicle</td>
<td>1.5 tons/wagon 18 miles/day 27 tm/d</td>
<td>10-100 tons/boat 20-30 miles/day 200-3000 tm/d</td>
<td>500 tons/train 200 miles/day 100,000 tm/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight rates</td>
<td>$0.20 to $0.40/tm</td>
<td>$0.15 to $0.20/tm</td>
<td>$0.05/tm</td>
<td>&lt;$0.05/tm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why Build Canals?
- Water is the most economical & efficient way to transport bulky, non-perishable goods
  - BUT - you need the waterway!
  - High volume of goods so long as speed is not a great factor
- Canals are built so that
  - Freight rates decline
  - Food can be delivered to cities
  - Cities can become trade centers

China’s Grand Canal
- Geography: N-S canal links major rivers
- Geopolitics: transport improvements help unit the empire
- Benefits
  - Steady supply of grain from south to north
    - 300,000 tons of grain per year in 7th century
- Costs:
  - 5.5 million laborers worked 6 years on one 1,500 mile stretch (20 man-years per mile)

Bridgewater Canal
- Built in 1761 to link Manchester England with coal mines
- Benefits:
  - Halved the price of coal in Manchester (a direct benefit of increased efficiency of transport)
  - Helped Manchester become England’s leading industrial center (development benefit for the region)
  - Stimulation of infrastructure development
  - By 1840s, Britain had a network of 5,000 miles of canals & navigable rivers
  - Technological improvements: straighter, deeper, wider canals; aqueducts to cross rivers

Background on Canals

Capacity:
Gross tonnage/boat equals water displaced, so width and depth are key
Space is needed for two boats to pass
If canal is straight, rafts or barges can be linked
Excavation Costs Increase With the Size of the Canal

Doubling the width and depth of the canal can lead to major increases in excavation.

Locks Reduce Excavation, But Reduce Speed & Capacity

Locks

Avoided Excavation

Water Supply is Essential for Operating a Canal with Locks

Horizontal Alignment

Water Supply

Vertical Alignment

Potowmack Canal 1785-1802

First extensive system of river navigation in US

George Washington was the "champion"

$750,000 investment

Purpose

Open up the area west of Appalachia and linking to the Potomac River (current-day Washington DC)

Cut freight cost in half (relative to wagon)

185 miles in 3 days with a 16-20 ton payload

Problems

Construction: shaky economy; lack of skilled workers, weather

Operation: only navigable 3 mo/yr; sediments; wooden locks decayed

Results

Spurred canal investment & development of west

$175,000 in debt by 1816

Middlesex Canal 1793-1803

Purpose:

- Improve efficiency of existing system by providing a better link from NH to Boston (chartered by Massachusetts)
- Reduced transfer from barge to wagon for delivery to Boston (cut costs by 75%)

Costs

- 50 bridges, 8 aqueducts, 27 locks
- $528,000 investment = $20,000/mile = 3% of assessed value of Boston (an early Big Dig?)

Problems

- 1-way freight - and not much of it
- Disruption of trade (Portsmouth & NH did not like this!)

Erie Canal, 1817-1825

First proposed in 1724; discussed widely in late 1700s and early 1800s

Thomas Jefferson: "A splendid project - for the 20th century."

Purpose

Easiest way to cross Appalachian Mountains

Construct: 363 miles of canal with 83 locks and 16 major aqueducts from Albany to Buffalo for $8 million

Issues

- How to finance
- Which route (avoid Lake Ontario - too close to the British?)
- Merchants using ground transport were against it
- Lack of engineers - in fact this project created CE schools at RPI and Union College
Erie Canal - Results

- **Problems**
  - 1000 died from malaria
  - What depth: enough for freight, but no more than they could finance

- **Results**
  - Too many boats almost from day 1 - increased in 1835 to 70 ft wide with 7 ft depth (from 40 and 4)
  - Revenues exceeded all expectations
  - Opening up Lake Erie was "decisive impetus for commerce to move E-W rather than N-S"
  - Population growth - Rochester and Buffalo became boom towns

Morris Canal 1824-31

- **Purpose**: link coal fields of Lehigh Valley with NYC
- **Cost**: $2.1 million vs. $1 million estimate
- **Circuity**: 99 mile canal to go 55 miles
- **Elevation**: up 914 feet then down 750 feet
- **Notable**
  - Use of rail cars to haul boats up an inclined plane
  - Acted as their own bank to finance canal
  - Interfered with salmon spawning
  - Speeds restricted to < 3 mph to avoid washing out banks
  - Needed to widen for wider boats (increased loads from 25 to 50-75 tons)
- **Results**
  - "Immediate and pronounced" - prices of coal and wood fell in NY, business was stimulated, towns grew
  - Peaked 1860-70, then overtaken by RR

Middlesex Canal vs. Erie Canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Middlesex</th>
<th>Erie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost/mile</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinterland</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Boston increases advantage over Portsmouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Investors break even by 1860, replaced by RR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

User's Perspective

- **Issue**: if costs are lower, then we will use the facility
- **Analysis**: can we reduce cost/ton-mile by providing an opportunity for larger or better vehicles to operate over a better infrastructure
  - Compare equipment costs and operating costs for the current and the new options

Owner's Perspective

- **Issue**: should I build the facility?
- **Analysis**:
  - Compare annual revenues to annual costs
  - Cost:
    - Construction costs can be converted to annual payments on a loan
    - Maintenance costs
  - Revenue:
    - Tolls must be less than the savings that user gets from using the canal to attract traffic

Investor's Perspective

- **Issue**: if we invest in this, will we be able to recover our investment plus a reasonable return?
- **Analysis**:
  - What will the project cost?
  - How long will it take?
  - How much revenue will it generate (and will the owner be able to repay our loans)
  - Do we have better options for investing?
Contractor's Perspective

- Issue: should we agree to build the facility for the amount proposed (or what should we bid?)
- Analysis:
  - Construction costs as a function of technology, methods, labor productivity, availability of materials, and costs
  - Is our estimated cost less than the proposed budget?
  - Is the estimated profit enough for us to accept the risks of construction?

Public Perspective

- Basic issue: should we assist (or protest) in the project by providing financial or legal support
- Analysis: what are the public benefits
  - Land use
  - Development
  - Environmental impact
  - How can we help, if indeed we want to help?
  - Limit liability
  - Enforce ability to collect tolls
  - Use eminent domain to assemble land
  - Choice of route? scale of project?
  - Possibly a major political issue!

Summary - What Do We Learn From the Experience With Canals

- Ideas and concepts are around long before the means to build the infrastructure are available
- Major projects can be decisive in directing development and population growth - but it is also possible to spend major resources on projects with modest potential
- Changes in technology can kill projects (RRs killed both the turnpikes and the canals) or improve them (efficiency gains from larger boats justified enlarging canals)
- Financing is a major concern