

**MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering**

**1.731 Water Resource Systems**

**Problem Set 4 – Groundwater Management, Quadratic Programming**  
**Due: Tuesday, Oct. 17, 2006**

The problem is to determine the optimum (highest net benefit) amount of groundwater to pump from four wells screened in a confined groundwater aquifer. The decision variables are the pumping rates and pumping lifts (depths to the water table) at the four wells:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] && \text{Pumping rates (m}^3/\text{sec) at wells 1, 2, 3, and 4} \\ y &= [y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4] && \text{Pumping lifts (m.) at wells 1, 2, 3, and 4} \end{aligned}$$

Net benefit is measured in terms of the difference between the annual revenues obtained from irrigated crops and the annual costs associated with pumping and delivery:

Objective:  $F = R - C$

$$\text{Revenue: } R = \sum_{i=1}^4 ax_i(b - x_i) \quad \text{Cost: } C = \sum_{i=1}^4 ex_i y_i$$

Note that the marginal revenue  $dR/dx_i$  for each well decreases to zero as the quantity pumped increases to  $b/2$ . This reflects the decreasing demand for increasing amounts of pumped water. The water cost is proportional to the electrical energy required to pump at a rate  $x_i$  over a depth  $y_i$  for a growing season of 4 months.

Pumping at each well affects the drawdown at the other wells. This effect may be quantified with a groundwater model or through pumping tests. In either case, there is a linear relationship between the vectors  $x$  and  $y$  if the aquifer is confined (as we assume here). If we also assume the groundwater system is in steady-state the pumping-lift relationship may be summarized with a symmetric response matrix  $A$ . Each element  $A_{ij}$  of this matrix relates the steady state lift  $y_i$  at well  $i$  (in m.) to the steady-state pumping rate  $x_j$  at well  $j$  (m<sup>3</sup>/sec):

$$y_i = A_{ij}x_j \quad \text{where } A = \begin{bmatrix} 2000 & 600 & 300 & 200 \\ 600 & 3000 & 500 & 400 \\ 300 & 500 & 1500 & 500 \\ 200 & 400 & 500 & 2000 \end{bmatrix} \text{sec/m}^2$$

When the response matrix relationship is substituted in the objective function expressions above the result is a quadratic objective. For a GAMS solution to this problem the response matrix equation and the definitions of  $R$  and  $C$  may be included as a set of equality constraints. Then the objective function can be written in terms of the intermediate decision variables  $R$  and  $C$ .

Additional problem constraints are:

$$x_i \leq \frac{b}{2} \quad y_i \leq 30 \quad x_i \geq 0 \quad y_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i$$

Assume that:

$$a = 4.0 \cdot 10^8 \text{ \$/m}^6/\text{sec}^2 \quad b = .01 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$$

In order to solve this problem, carry out the following tasks:

- 1). Derive the cost coefficient  $e$ , given that the price of electricity is \$ 0.20 / kwhr.
- 2). Check to see if a local maximum for this problem is also global (i.e. is the objective function concave?). To check this you will need to construct a Hessian matrix and use MATLAB to test its eigenvalues for your particular set of problem inputs.
- 3). Solve the problem using GAMS. If the objective function is not concave try a few different initial feasible solutions to provide confidence that the GAMS solution is a global maximum.
- 4). Evaluate the shadow prices associated with any pumping lift ( $y_i$ ) constraints that are active at the GAMS solution.

Please hand in only enough information to adequately document your solution.