14.102, Math for Economists Fall 2005 Lecture Notes, 9/22/2005

These notes are primarily based on those written by George Marios Angeletos for the Harvard Math Camp in 1999 and 2000, and updated by Stavros Panageas for the MIT Math for Economists Course in 2002. I have made only minor changes to the order of presentation, and added some material from Guido Kuersteiner's notes on linear algebra for 14.381 in 2002. The usual disclaimer applies; questions and comments are welcome.

Nathan Barczi nab@mit.edu

# 5 Systems of Equations

## 5.1 Linear Systems

A linear system of m equations in n unknowns (or, for brevity, an  $m \times n$  linear system) is generally written as:

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$
(1)

where the  $a_{ij}$ 's and  $b_i$ 's (i = 1, ..., m and j = 1, ..., n) are coefficients and constants taken from  $\mathbb{R}$  and the  $x_j$ 's are the unknowns. A **solution** to (1) is any n-tuple of real numbers  $x_j$ 's that satisfy simultaneously all m equations in (1).

We may write the above system in a more compact format if we let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix formed by the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ 's, x the  $n \times 1$  column vector of the unknowns  $x_j$ 's, and b the  $m \times 1$  column vector of constants  $b_i$ 's:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we rewrite (1) simply as

$$Ax = b (2)$$

We call this an  $m \times n$  system, and we call it **square** iff m = n. The **set of solutions** for (2) is simply the set

$$X^* \equiv X^*(A, b) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | Ax = b\}$$

In general, for given A and b,  $X^*$  may be empty (**no solution**), or be a singleton (**unique solution**), or have more than one elements (**multiple solutions**). Our task is now to identify conditions on the given A and b that give rise to each case (none, unique, or many solutions).

**Remark:** We emphasize that, in our context, whenever we talk of a 'solution' we mean a solution in the field of real numbers, not in the field of complex numbers. But this is not at all a restriction. In fact, as long as A and b are real, then any complex solution to Ax = b has to be real. Why so? Simply because if x was nonreal, while A real, then Ax would be nonreal, contradicting Ax = b and b real.

**Example 140** Consider the following three  $2 \times 2$  linear systems:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + x_2 &= 0 \\
 2x_1 + 2x_2 &= 1
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + x_2 &= 0 \\
 x_1 + 2x_2 &= 1
 \end{aligned} 
 \tag{4}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + x_2 &= 0 \\
 2x_1 + 2x_2 &= 0
 \end{aligned} 
 \tag{5}$$

The question we ask is: Why does (3) admit no solution at all, (4) only one solution, and (5) a continuum of solutions? [Can you verify that claim? Can you find the set of solutions yourself?]

## 5.2 Nonlinear Equation Systems

Let  $f_i$  be a real function with domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or a subset of it, let  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , for i = 1, ..., m; also let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  in the intersection of the domains of all  $f_i$ 's. Then

$$f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = b_i$$
 or  $f_i(x) = b_i$ 

is an equation in x for each i = 1, ..., m. The set of m such equations,

$$f_1(x) = b_1$$
...
$$f_m(x) = b_m$$
(6)

forms a general, possibly nonlinear, system of m equations in n unknowns.

If we let b be the  $m \times 1$  column vector of  $b_i$ 's, and F be the vector-valued function (with values in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ) defined by

$$F(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, ..., x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, ..., x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

then we can write (6) as

$$F(x) = b$$

The set of solutions is then

$$X^* \equiv X^*(F, b) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | F(x) = b\}$$

In general  $X^*$  may be empty (no solution), or be a singleton (unique solution), or have more than one elements (multiple solutions).

Notice that a linear system is just the special case where F is a **linear transformation**, meaning F(x) = Ax for some matrix A.

**Example 141** (Amemiya 1985) Empirical work often involves estimating a system of nonlinenear simultaneous equations. Such a system (with N equations) is defined by

$$f_{it}(\mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_t, \alpha_i) = u_{it},$$
  $i = 1, 2, ..., N,$   $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ 

where  $\mathbf{y}_t$  is an N-vector of endogenous variables,  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is a vector of exogenous variables, and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$  is a  $K_i$ -vector of unknown parameters to be estimated. In the base case it is assumed that the N-vector  $\mathbf{u}_t = (u_{1t}, u_{2t}, ..., u_{Nt})'$  is an i.i.d. vector random variable with zero mean and variance-covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Not all of the elements of vectors  $\mathbf{y}_t$  and  $\mathbf{x}_t$  may actually appear in the arguments of each  $f_{it}$ . We assume that each equation has its own vector of parameters  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$  and that there are no constraints among  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$ 's, but the subsequent results can easily be modified if each  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$  can be parametrically expressed as  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , where

the number of elements in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is less than  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} K_i$ . Strictly speaking, this is not

a complete model by itself because there is no guarantee that a unique solution for  $\mathbf{y}_t$  exists for every possible value of  $u_{it}$  unless some stringent assumptions are made on the form of  $f_{it}$ . Therefore we assume either that  $f_{it}$  satisfies such assumptions or that if there is more than one solution for  $\mathbf{y}_t$ , there is some additional mechanism by which a unique solution is chosen.

#### 5.3 Solution of Linear System of Equations

We now return to linear systems. Consider the  $m \times n$  system:

$$Ax = b$$

where  $A = [a_j] = [a_{ij}]$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix of coefficients,  $x = [x_j]$  is the  $n \times 1$  vector of unknowns, and  $b = [b_i]$  the  $m \times 1$  vector of constants; let also  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be the j-th column of A, so that  $A = [a_1...a_n]$ .

What does b = Ax means? Notice that

$$Ax = x_1a_1 + x_2a_2 + \dots + x_na_n$$

This is just a linear combination of the columns  $a_j$ 's of A, with the  $x_j$ 's being the corresponding weights. Therefore, b = Ax simply means that the given vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  can be written as a linear combination of the columns  $a_j$ 's of A. Equivalently, b = Ax means that b falls into the subspace spanned by A.

But recall that b falls into the span of A, and can be written as a linear combination of the  $a_j$ 's, if and only if the matrix formed by stacking b together with all  $a_j$ 's is singular, which also means that its span coincides with that of A alone.

Thus, letting

$$\begin{array}{ll} S(A) & \equiv & S[a_1,...,a_n] \equiv \\ & \equiv & \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \ y = Ax = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_j \ \text{ for some } x = [x_j] \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \end{array}$$

be the span of  $A = [a_i]$  and

$$[A,b] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & \dots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} & b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

be the **bordered matrix** of coefficients, and by appealing to Theorem 137, we have:

**Lemma 142** The set of solutions to Ax = b is nonempty if and only if b falls into the span of A; and this holds if and only if the bordered matrix [A, b] is of the same rank with A, or equivalently spans the same space with A:

$$X^*(A, b) \neq \emptyset$$
  $\Leftrightarrow$   $b \in S(A)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $S[A, b] = S(A)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$   $rank[A, b] = rank(A)$ 

Also, recall that, given an  $m \times n$  matrix A, the span S(A) and the nullspace N(A') of A form an orthogonal partition for the whole  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Thus the set of solutions is empty if and only if the residual of the projection of b on S(A) is nonzero, or equivalently b is not orthogonal to N(A').

We now consider two complementary subclasses of linear systems: those that have b = 0, and those that have  $b \neq 0$ . We call the former **homogenous** and the latter **non-homogeneous**.

## 5.4 Homogeneous Linear Systems

We here consider linear systems Ax = b with b = 0. Such systems are called **homogeneous**.

Recall that Ax = b has a solution (at least one) if and only if  $b \in S(A)$ ; here, if and only if  $0 \in S(A)$ . Observe then that, since the span S(A) of any matrix A is a subspace, the zero vector is always an element of the span of S(A). Thus, if b = 0, the system Ax = 0 always has a solution, whatever the matrix A is. Indeed, x = 0 is always a solution to Ax = 0:

$$b = 0 \Rightarrow X^* \ni 0 \tag{7}$$

Moreover, by definition indeed, for a homogeneous system we have that

$$b = 0 \Rightarrow X^* = \{x | Ax = 0\} \equiv N(A)$$

so that the set of solutions to Ax = 0 is simply the nullspace of the coefficient matrix A. And since N(A) is a vector space as well,  $0 \in N(A)$ , which is an alternative way to say (7).

But in general x=0 may not be the unique solution. When will x=0 be the unique solution to Ax=0? From Theorem 137 we know that Ax=0 implies that x=0 necessarily, if and only if all the columns  $a_j$  of A are linearly independent. That is, x=0 is the unique solution to Ax=0 if and only if rank(A)=n. In this case, the span of A is the whole space,  $S(A)=\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $rank(A)=\dim(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and its nullspace is zero-dimensional,  $N(A)=\{0\}$  and null(A)=0.

But we know that  $rank(A) \leq \min\{n, m\}$ , and thus rank(A) = n implies  $m \geq n$  necessarily. That is, for the solution to be unique we need at least as many equations as unknowns.

It follows that if there are **less equations than unknowns**, m < n, then Ax = 0 must have more than one solutions. With m < n, indeed, the columns of A are necessarily linearly dependent; in particular, the nullspace of A has dimension at least 1, and exactly as many as  $null(A) = n - rank(A) \ge 1$  columns of A can be written as linear combinations of the rest.

In this case, Ax=0 has a nonzero solution as well. Since Ax=0 implies Az=0 for any  $z\in S(x)$ , and  $x\neq 0\Rightarrow S(x)=\mathbb{R}$ , it follows that Ax=0 has indeed a continuum of solutions. More precisely, if we can find as many as k linearly independent solutions  $x^1,...,x^k$  to Ax=0, then any  $z\in S[x^1,...,x^k]$  is a solution as well:

**Exercise 143** Prove the above claim. That is, prove that  $Ax^1 = Ax^2 = 0 \Rightarrow Az = 0 \forall z \in S[x^1, x^2]$ 

We observe that the maximum number k of independent solutions  $x^1, ..., x^k$  to Ax = 0 is simply (by definition indeed) the dimension of the nullspace  $N(A) \equiv \{x | Ax = 0\}$  of A; that is  $k = null(A) = \dim(N(A))$  of A. Recall then that, for an  $m \times n$  matrix A, it is true that null(A) = n - rank(A).

Therefore, there are as many independent solutions to Ax = 0 as k = n - rank(A). That is:

**Lemma 144** For any homogeneous system Ax = 0,

$$X^* = N(A)$$
 and  $\dim(X^*) = n - rank(A)$ 

Further, if m > rank(A), then as many as m - rank(A) equations are redundant, in the sense that they are implied by the rest rank(A) equations. Thus, any  $m \times n$  homogeneous system with  $m > \rho$  can be reduced to an  $\rho \times n$  system, where  $\rho = rank(A)$ .

Therefore, without any loss of generality, from now on consider only  $m \times n$  systems with  $m = \rho = rank(A) \le n$ . We can then distinguish two cases: either m = n = rank(A), or m = rank(A) < n. Then m = rank(A) is the 'effective' number of equations (that is, the number of linearly independent equations), while n is the number of unknowns.

• Case I: n = m = rank(A)

In this case we have as many equations as unknowns and A is a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix. Then, Ax = 0 if and only if x = 0. Hence, x = 0 is the unique solution to Ax = 0,  $X^* = \{0\}$ , and  $\dim(X^*) = 0 = n - m$ .

• Case II: n > m = rank(A)

In this case we have more unknowns than equations and A is a singular  $m \times n$  matrix with rank(A) = m < n. Then, Ax = 0 has as many independent solutions as k = n - rank(A) = n - m. This means that we may freely choose n - m values for, say, the first n - m unknowns  $(x_1, ..., x_{n-m})$  and then the system Ax = 0 pins down the values for the rest m unknowns  $(x_{n-m+1}, ..., x_n)$ . And then  $\dim(X^*) = n - m \ge 1$ .

So, now let us generalize to arbitrary number of equations and unknowns. Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix for arbitrary m, n and let  $\rho \leq \min\{m, n\}$  be its rank. Then, partition A and x as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} D & B \\ C & \tilde{A} \end{bmatrix} \qquad x = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \tilde{x} \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

where  $\tilde{A}$  is a full-rank  $\rho \times \rho$  matrix, for  $\rho = rank(A) = rank(\tilde{A})$ ,  $z = (x_1, ..., x_{n-\rho})$  is  $(n-\rho) \times 1$  and  $\tilde{x} = (x_{n-\rho+1}, ..., x_n)$  is  $\rho \times 1$ . Check the dimensions of B, C, D, and notice that

$$Ax = \left[ \begin{array}{c} Dz + B\tilde{x} \\ Cz + \tilde{A}\tilde{x} \end{array} \right]$$

so that

$$Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Dz + B\tilde{x} = 0 \\ Cz + \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

As we explained before, the first  $m - \rho$  equations are redundant. That is,  $Cz + \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = 0$  implies  $Dz + B\tilde{x} = 0$  as well. Thus,

$$Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow Cz + \tilde{A}\tilde{x} = 0$$

Since  $\tilde{A}$  has full rank, it is invertible, and therefore we get

$$Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} = -\tilde{A}^{-1}Cz$$

This means that any  $x = (z, \tilde{x})$  such that  $\tilde{x} = -\tilde{A}^{-1}Cz$ , for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-\rho}$ , is a solution to Ax = 0. Therefrom it also follows that  $\dim(X^*) = n - \rho$ . And conversely, x is a solution to Ax = 0 only if a partition like the above is possible.

Therefore, we can summarize our results so far in the following theorem:

**Theorem 145** Consider the  $m \times n$  homogeneous system Ax = 0. The **set of** solutions always includes 0 and thus is nonempty; and is given by the nullspace of A:

$$X^* = N(A) \equiv \{x | Ax = 0\}$$

The dimension of  $X^*$  is simply the nullity of A:

$$\dim(X^*) = null(A) = n - rank(A) \ge 0$$

Whenever m > rank(A), as many equations as m - rank(A) are redundant. Further, the solution is unique at x = 0 if and only if A is of full rank,

$$X^* = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow rank(A) = n$$

Otherwise, there is a continuum of solutions of the form

$$X^* = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x = (z, -\tilde{A}^{-1}Cz) \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-rank(A)} \right\}$$

with  $\tilde{A}$  being any square submatrix of A with  $rank(\tilde{A}) = rank(A)$  and C then being as in (8).

**Exercise 146** Consider the  $3 \times 3$  system Ax = 0 for

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

Show that rank(A) = 2, and partition A appropriately so as to apply what we did before. What is the set of solutions?

### 5.5 Non-homogeneous Linear Systems

In the previous subsection we consider linear equation systems with b=0. Now consider systems

$$Ax = b$$
 for  $b \neq 0$ 

We repeat that existence of a solution means that  $b \neq 0$  can be written as a linear combination of the columns in A, or that b falls into the span of A.

Consider first the case that m = n = rank(A). Then A is square and has full rank, so that it is nonsingular and is a basis for the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It follows that  $b \in S(A)$  necessarily. Moreover, since A is invertible,

$$Ax = b \Leftrightarrow x = A^{-1}b$$

Thus in this case the set of solutions is singleton,  $X^* = \{A^{-1}b\}$ . The result works conversely as well, and even if b = 0. Thus we have

**Lemma 147** A square  $n \times n$  system Ax = b has a unique solution if and only if A has full rank, which means that A is nonsingular, or equivalently  $|A| \neq 0$ . Then,  $x = A^{-1}b$  is the unique solution.

**Remark:** Notice that, in the above case, A is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where b belongs. Hence the geometric interpretation of the solution is that  $x = A^{-1}b$  gives the (unique) coordinates of b with respect to the basis A.

Now suppose that A is not of full rank, but still rank[A, b] = rank(A). This still implies  $b \in S(A)$ , and at least one solution exists. But now (with  $b \neq 0$ ) the solution is not unique. Instead, we have a whole continuum of solutions!

On the other hand, letting [A, b] be the bordered matrix formed as

$$[A,b] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

we observe that if S[A, B] is strictly bigger than S(A), which is equivalent to rank[A, b] > rank(A), then it must be the case that b can not be written as a linear combination of the columns of A; that is,  $b \notin S(A)$  and rather the projection of b on N(A) is nonzero. Therefore:

**Lemma 148** The (nonhomogeneous) system Ax = b has no solution if and only if the rank of [A, b] exceeds that of A,

$$X^* = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow rank[A, b] > rank(A)$$

The situation is indeed similar to the homogeneous case. In particular, we may rewrite Ax = b equivalently as

$$[A, b] y = 0$$

where  $y = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = (x_1, ..., x_n, -1)$ . Notice that [A, b] is  $m \times (n+1)$  and y is  $(n+1) \times 1$ , with  $y \neq 0$  by construction. This way we have in fact transformed the non-homogeneous system Ax = b to a homogeneous one, [A, b]y = 0. The important constraint is only that we require, by construction indeed, that  $y \neq 0$ . Thus, for Ax = b to have any solution we need that [A, b]y has a non-zero solution. But the latter, as we showed before, is possible if and only

if the bordered matrix [A, b] is singular. If instead [A, b] is nonsingular, and null[A, b] = 0, then Ax = b has no solution.

Moreover, if null[A, b] = 1, then the set of solutions y of [A, b]y = 0 is a single-dimensional line, and thus Ax = b has a unique solution. In particular, the point y of this line that has -1 as its last coordinate gives us the unique solution to Ax = b. In fact:

**Exercise 149** Show that null[A, b] = 1 if and only if rank[A, b] = rank(A).

If  $null[A, b] \ge 2$ , then the set of solutions y of [A, b]y = 0 is a hyperplane of dimension equal to  $null[A, b] \ge 2$ , and thus the set of solutions x of Ax = b is a hyperplane with dimension equal to  $null[A, b] - 1 \ge 1$ .

**Exercise 150** Persuade yourself that, if rank([A, b]) = rank(A), then and only then  $X^* \neq \emptyset$ , and further  $\dim(X^*) = null[A, b] - 1$ .

We can thus summarize our results in the following theorem:

**Theorem 151** Consider the  $m \times n$  system Ax = b, with either  $b \neq 0$  or b = 0. We distinguish the following cases:

- (Unique Solution) If  $rank[A, b] = rank(A) = n \le m$ , then and only then the system has a unique solution. In this case, indeed, as many as m n equations are redundant, and, provided an appropriate partition,  $X^* = \{\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{b}\}.$
- (No Solution) If rank[A, b] > rank(A), which necessarily implies  $b \neq 0$  and m > rank(A), then and only then the system has no solution,  $X^* = \emptyset$ .
- (Multiple Solutions) If rank[A, b] = rank(A) but rank(A) < n, then and only then the system has multiple solutions, and then  $\dim(X^*) = null[A, b] 1 = n rank(A) \ge 1$ .

When there is a unique solution, we say that the system is **exactly determined**. When there is no, the system in **overdetermined**. When there are many solutions, the system is **underdetermined** (or indeterminate).

**Exercise 152** Let m=n, and consider Ax=b. Suppose that |A|=0, so that the system is either underdetermined or overdetermined. What of the two cases arises if b=0? And what happens if  $b\neq 0$ ? Next consider m>n=rank(A) and characterize the appropriate partition that gives  $X^*=\{\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{b}\}.$ 

#### 5.6 Finding the Solution: Cramer's Rule

We have identified the conditions under which a square system Ax = b has a unique solution: This is so if and only if A is invertible. Then and only then the unique solution is given by

$$x = A^{-1}b$$

Calculating this requires that we first calculate the inverse  $A^{-1}$ . This can be done with the algorithm that we presented in Subsection 4.9; the inverse of A is then given as

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|} a dj A =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{bmatrix} +|A_{11}| & -|A_{21}| & \dots & (-1)^{n+1}|A_{n1}| \\ -|A_{12}| & +|A_{22}| & \dots & (-1)^{n+2}|A_{n2}| \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (-1)^{n+1}|A_{1n}| & (-1)^{n+2}|A_{1n}| & \dots & +|A_{nn}| \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix formed by erasing the *i*-th raw and the *j*-th column of A, and  $|A_{ij}|$  is the (i,j) minor of A.

An alternative way to calculate the solution is to use the Cramer Rule. Let  $B_j$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix formed by taking A and substituting its j-th column,  $a_j$ , with the constants vector, b. For instance, for j = 2,

$$B_2 = [a_1 \ b \ a_3 \dots a_n] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & b_2 & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & b_n & a_{n3} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so on. Let  $|A| \neq 0$  and  $|B_j|$  be the determinants of A and  $B_j$ , respectively. Cramer's rule then says that the j-th element of the solution  $x = A^{-1}b$  is given by

$$x_j = \frac{|B_j|}{|A|} \ \forall j = 1, ..., n$$

♠ Cramer's rule is good to know, but if you ever have to invert a numerical matrix, you'd better turn to Matlab/Mathematica.