

TA: JOSÉ TESSADA
(tessado@mit.edu)

1. (a) p. 99 C&B
 $X \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$f(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta} \quad 0 < x < \infty$$

$\alpha, \beta > 0$

to check that it is a pdf, use the change of variable $t = \beta x$ and the definition of the gamma function.

$$(b) \quad E(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x \cdot x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{-x/\beta} dx$$

change of variable $t = \beta x$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \beta^{\alpha+1} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty t^\alpha e^{-t} dt}_{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \quad (*)$$

by def. of the $\Gamma(\cdot)$ function

$$= \frac{\beta \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \frac{\beta \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \alpha \beta$$

property of $\Gamma(\cdot)$ [C&B. p. 99]

I didn't use the fact that the pdf integrates to 1, but if in (*) instead of using the change of variables you "complete" the integral [which is way trickier because you will still need to change variables] to get the pdf, then you can claim that $\int f(x) dx = 1$.

If you want to check that $\Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 0$, start from the def. of $\Gamma(\alpha)$ and integrate by parts the RHS.

$$E(x^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2 x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} e^{-x/\beta} dx$$

Using the same trick as in (a) we obtain

$$E(x^2) = \alpha(\alpha+1)\beta^2$$

because $\Gamma(\alpha+2) = \alpha(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha)$.

$$\text{Var}(x) = E(x^2) - E(x)^2 = \alpha(\alpha+1)\beta^2 - \alpha^2\beta^2 = (\alpha^2 + \alpha)\beta^2 - \alpha^2\beta^2$$

$$\text{Var}(x) = \alpha\beta^2 //$$

$$(c) M_x(t) = E e^{tx} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{tx} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha-1} e^{(t-1/\beta)x}}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} dx = \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(1/\beta - t)x} dx$$

change variable

$$y = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - t\right)x$$

$$dy = \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - t\right)dx$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{\beta - t}\right)y$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\beta - t}\right)^{\alpha-1} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y} \frac{1}{(\beta - t)} dy$$

transf. valid

iff $t < \frac{1}{\beta}$ so $y > 0$ if $x > 0$ //

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\beta - t)^{\alpha}} \int_0^{\infty} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y} dy$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^{\alpha} (\beta - t)^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta t)^{\alpha}} //$$

2. C&B 3.8.

(a) We want $P(X > N) < 0.01$ where X is a random variable (r.v.) with binomial(1000, $1/2$).

With the customers being randomly chosen we fix $p = 1/2$.

$\Rightarrow$ we need

$$P(X > N) = \sum_{x=N+1}^{1000} \binom{1000}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1000-x} < 0.01$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1000} \sum_{x=N+1}^{1000} \binom{1000}{x} < 0.01$$

N is the smallest integer that satisfies the previous inequality.

Solution: $N = 537$.

(b) Following the book we take approximation using
 $X \sim N(500, 250) \Rightarrow \mu = 1000 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$
 $\sigma^2 = 1000 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$

Then, $P(X > N) = P\left(\frac{X - 500}{\sqrt{250}} > \frac{N - 500}{\sqrt{250}}\right) < 0.01$

thus, $P\left(Z > \frac{N - 500}{\sqrt{250}}\right) < 0.01$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$

We can use the normal standard table (or Excel or Matlab or...) to get

$$P(Z > 2.33) \approx 0.0099 < 0.01$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{N - 500}{\sqrt{250}} = 2.33 \Rightarrow N \approx 537$$

3. C&B 3.9

(a) We can think of each one of the 60 children entering kindergarten as 60 independent Bernoulli trials with probability of success (a twin birth) of approximately $1/90$. The probability of having 5 or more successes approximates the probability of having 5 or more sets of twins entering kindergarten. Then $X \sim \text{binomial}(60, 1/90)$ and

$$P(X \geq 5) = 1 - \sum_{x=0}^4 \binom{60}{x} \left(\frac{1}{90}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{1}{90}\right)^{60-x} = 0.0006$$

which is small and may be rare enough to be newsworthy.

(b) let X be the number of elementary schools in New York that have 5 or more sets of twins entering kindergarten. Then the probability of interest is $P(X \geq 1)$ where $X \sim (310, 0.0006)$.

$$\Rightarrow P(X \geq 1) = 1 - P(X = 0) = 0.1698$$

(c) Let X be the number of states that have 5 or more sets of twins entering kindergarten during any of the last ten years. Then the probability of interest is $P(X \geq 1)$ where $X \sim \text{binomial}(500, 0.1698)$.

$$\Rightarrow P(X \geq 1) = 1 - P(X=0) = 1 - 3.90 \times 10^{-41} \approx 1$$

5. C&B 3.23

$$(a) \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} x^{-\beta-1} dx = -\frac{1}{\beta} x^{-\beta} \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}{x^{\beta+1}} dx = 1$$

(b) to make it simpler, let's find a formula for $E[X^n]$ first:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^n] &= \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} x^n \frac{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}{x^{\beta+1}} dx = \beta \alpha^{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} x^{n-\beta-1} dx \\ &= \beta \alpha^{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{n-\beta} x^{n-\beta} \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty} \right] \end{aligned}$$

now notice that the integral exists if $\beta > n$ (formal argument at the end of the exercise).

$$E[X^n] = \beta \alpha^{\beta} \cdot \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{n-\beta} x^{n-\beta} \Big|_{x=\infty}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ if } n < \beta} - \frac{1}{n-\beta} x^{n-\beta} \Big|_{\alpha} \right]$$

$$E[X^n] = \frac{\beta \alpha^n}{\beta - n} > 0 \text{ given } \beta > n.$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X] = \frac{\beta \alpha}{\beta - 1}$$

$$E[X^2] = \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{\beta - 2}$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$

$$= \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{\beta - 2} - \frac{\beta^2 \alpha^2}{(\beta - 1)^2} = \frac{\beta \alpha^2}{(\beta - 1)^2 (\beta - 2)}$$

Why do we need $\beta > n$? (It is also the answer for (c) if we set $n=2$).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{take } \int_{\alpha}^m x^n \frac{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}{x^{\beta+1}} dx &= \beta \alpha^{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^m x^{n-\beta-1} dx \\ (\text{assuming } n > \beta) &\geq \beta \alpha^{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^m x^{-1} dx \\ &= \beta \alpha^{\beta} \left(\log x \Big|_{x=\alpha}^m \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } \beta \alpha^{\beta} \left(\log x \Big|_{x=\alpha}^m \right) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

so the integral doesn't exist (is infinite!).

Set $n=2 \rightarrow$ apply the same logic and you can prove it (or at least show it if you just evaluate the function).

6.

$$(a) \quad X \sim U(0,1) \rightarrow \text{pdf: } f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{cdf: } F_X(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in [0,1) \\ 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_X(x|X>a) = \begin{cases} 1/(1-a) & \text{for } x \in (a,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b) From part (a) we can see that

$$(X|X>a) \sim U(a,1)$$

[for a more formal proof, compute the cdf and check it is the same]

$$\Rightarrow E(X|X>a) = \frac{1+a}{2}$$

$$\text{Var}(X|X>a) = \frac{(1-a)^2}{12}$$

$$(c) \quad \Pr(X < a) = \Pr\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} < \frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$\text{since } \frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$

An easy way to proceed is the following:

$$f(x) = \frac{d}{da} \Pr(X < a) \Big|_{a=x} = \frac{d}{da} \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \Big|_{a=x} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

which is a way to write a pdf of a normal in terms of the pdf of the normal (standard) ϕ .

$\Rightarrow$ we can write the pdf of the truncated distribution as:

$$f(x|x>a) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)}{P_r(x>a)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)}{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right)} // = \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \lambda(x)$$

$$(d) \quad E[x|x>a] = \int_a^{\infty} x \cdot f(x|x>a) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right)]} \int_a^{\infty} x \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma}\right)]} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (\sigma u + \mu) \phi(u) \sigma du$$

change of variable
 $u = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$
 $(\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{a-\mu}{\sigma})$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi(\alpha)]} \left[\sigma \mu \Phi(u) - \sigma^2 \phi(u) \right] \Big|_{\alpha}^{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma \mu [1 - \Phi(\alpha)] + \sigma^2 \phi(\alpha)}{\sigma [1 - \Phi(\alpha)]}$$

$$E[x|x>a] = \mu + \sigma \cdot \lambda(x) //$$

$$E[x^2|x>a] = \int_a^{\infty} x^2 f(x|x>a) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi(\alpha)]} \int_a^{\infty} x^2 \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) dx$$

apply the same
change of variables

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi(\alpha)]} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (\sigma u + \mu)^2 \phi(u) \sigma du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma [1 - \Phi(\alpha)]} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} (\sigma^3 u^2 + 2\sigma^2 \mu u + \sigma \mu^2) \phi(u) du$$

And some nice properties...

$$\int u^2 \phi(u) du = \Phi(u) - u \phi(u)$$

$$\int u \phi(u) du = -\phi(u)$$

$$\int \phi(u) du = \Phi(u)$$

$\Rightarrow$ applying the three formulas we obtain

$$E[x^2 | x > a] = \frac{1}{\sigma[1-\Phi(\alpha)]} \left[\sigma^3 (\Phi(u) - u \phi(u)) - 2\sigma^2 \mu \phi(u) + \sigma \mu^2 \Phi(u) \right]_{\alpha}^{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)(1 - \Phi(\alpha)) + \sigma^2(\alpha\sigma + 2\mu)\phi(\alpha)}{\sigma[1 - \Phi(\alpha)]}$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \alpha \cdot \lambda(\alpha) + 2\sigma\mu \lambda(\alpha)$$

Hence,

$$\text{Var}(x^2 | x > a) = E(x^2 | x > a) - E(x | x > a)^2$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \alpha \lambda(\alpha) + 2\sigma\mu \lambda(\alpha) - [\mu + \sigma \lambda(\alpha)]^2$$

$$= \sigma^2 [1 - \lambda(\alpha)(\lambda(\alpha) - \alpha)] //$$