

## The Overlapping Generations Model (OLG) -----

### ***Key Difference of OLG Model (relative to Ramsey Model)***

- Agents have finite lives
  - They live in two periods
    - They are “Young”, then “Old”, then dead
    - When one generation becomes “Old”, another “Young” generation is born... hence, the “overlapping”
- This is different than Ramsey where agents lived for infinity

### ***Implications of OLG that Marios wants you to take away***

- Can have multiple steady states
- It is possible to have dynamically inefficient outcomes
  - In Solow Model with exogenous savings, this could happen
  - In Ramsey with endogenous savings, this never happens
  - In OLG, it can happen despite optimal saving at the individual level

### ***One Application of the OLG Model***

- Can look at how taxing people when they are old and young can matter for economic growth, capital accumulation, and savings.
  - Can use it to analyze social security proposals

### ***Further reading Marios wants you to do***

- Blanchard, O. (1985), “Debt, Deficits and Finite Horizons,” JPE, pp. 223-47.

## Basic OLG Assumptions -----

- Agents only lives for 2 periods: Young and Old
  - Generation  $t$  is born and “Young” at time  $t$
  - Generation  $t$  is “Old” at time  $t+1$
- Population grows at rate  $n$ . i.e.

$$N_{t+1} = (1+n)N_t$$

- All agents born with zero assets. Leave nothing to children

## Description of OLG Agents -----

- Lifetime Utility of Agents:

$$U(c_t^Y) + \beta U(c_{t+1}^O)$$

$$\text{Assume } U(c) = \frac{c^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}{1-\frac{1}{q}}$$

- Labor Supply of Agents Exogenous: Assume:  $l^Y \geq 0, l^O \geq 0$
- Per-period Budget Constraints:

$$a_{t+1} + c_t^Y \leq w_t l^Y \tag{1}$$

$$c_{t+1}^O \leq w_{t+1} l^O + (1+r_{t+1})a_{t+1} \tag{2}$$

- Agents takes all prices as given
- Add (1) and (2) to get Intertemporal Budget Constraint:

$$c_t^Y + \frac{c_{t+1}^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \leq w_t l^Y + \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \tag{3}$$

## Description of OLG Firms -----

- Production function:  $F(K, L)$  with typical assumptions
  - Per person output:  $f(k)$
- Perfect competition among firms, i.e.

$$w_t = f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t$$
$$1 + r_{t+1} = f'(k_{t+1})$$

## Market Clearing Conditions -----

$$L_t = N_t I^Y + N_{t-1} I^O = N_t \left( I^Y + \frac{I^O}{1+n} \right)$$
$$K_{t+1} = N_t a_{t+1}$$

## Agent's Optimization Problem -----

$$\max U(c_t^Y) + \mathbf{b}U(c_{t+1}^O) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_t^Y + \frac{c_{t+1}^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \leq w_t I^Y + \frac{w_{t+1} I^O}{1+r_{t+1}}$$

- FOCS for  $c_t^Y$  and  $c_{t+1}^O$  are as follows:

$$U'(c_t^Y) = \mathbf{1}_t$$
$$\mathbf{b}(1+r_{t+1})U'(c_{t+1}^O) = \mathbf{1}_t$$

- Combine to get typical Euler Condition:

$$U'(c_t^Y) = \mathbf{b}(1+r_{t+1})U'(c_{t+1}^O)$$

### Solve for Consumption Growth Rate of Economy -----

- Using  $U(c) = \frac{c^{\frac{1}{q}}}{1 - \frac{1}{q}}$ , we have  $U'(c) = c^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ 
  - Using this in our Euler Condition, we have:

$$\frac{c_{t+1}^O}{c_t^Y} = [\mathbf{b}(1+r_{t+1})]^q$$

- Does this look familiar?

### Solve for the Optimal Consumption -----

- Using our growth rate equation, we have:

$$c_{t+1}^O = [\mathbf{b}(1+r_{t+1})]^q c_t^Y$$

- Plugging this into the budget constraint, we have:

$$c_t^Y + \frac{[\mathbf{b}(1+r_{t+1})]^q c_t^Y}{1+r_{t+1}} = w_t l^Y + \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}}$$

- Solving for  $c_t^Y$ , we have:

$$c_t^Y = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{b}^q (1+r_{t+1})^{q-1}} \right) \left[ w_t l^Y + \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \right]$$

- For simplicity, call  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q}) = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{b}^q (1+r_{t+1})^{q-1}} \right)$ 
  - How can we interpret this expression?

## Solving for Optimal Savings -----

- Using equation (1) we have:

$$a_{t+1} = w_t l^Y - c_t^Y$$

$$a_{t+1} = w_t l^Y - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q}) \left[ w_t l^Y + \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \right]$$

$$a_{t+1} = \left[ 1 - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q}) \right] w_t l^Y - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q}) \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}}$$

- What happens to total savings when future wages are higher?
  - How does total savings,  $a_{t+1}$ , depend on  $\mathbf{b}$  ?
  - How does total savings,  $a_{t+1}$ , depend on  $r_{t+1}$  ?

## Solve for Growth Rate of Capital -----

- Recall that  $K_{t+1} = N_t a_{t+1}$ . So,

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{N_t a_{t+1}}{L_{t+1}}$$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{a_{t+1}}{(1+n)l^Y + l^O}$$

- Plugging in for  $a_{t+1}$  which we got from the agent's optimization problem above

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{1}{(1+n)l^Y + l^O} \left[ [1 - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q})] w_t l^Y - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b}, r_{t+1}, \mathbf{q}) \frac{w_{t+1} l^O}{1+r_{t+1}} \right] \quad (4)$$

- Recall from our firm's problem that our prices are the following:

$$w_t(k_t) = f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t$$

$$r_{t+1}(k_{t+1}) = f'(k_{t+1}) - 1$$

**Note:** I'm implicitly assuming full depreciation:  $\mathbf{d} = 1$ , otherwise, my expression would be  $r_{t+1}(k_{t+1}) = f'(k_{t+1}) - \mathbf{d}$

- Therefore, it should hopefully be clear that (4) is simply a function of  $k_t, k_{t+1}$  and other constants. **In principle**, we could rearrange (4) to get our law of motion:  $k_{t+1} = G(k_t)$ . And we could draw our typical phase diagram (in discrete time).

## Law of Motion in OLG Models -----

- It is not generally true that we have a unique solution.

But we can show that when  $q \geq 1$ ,  $G' > 0$

And, when  $q < 1$ , then shape of  $G$  unknown

- Two examples of what we might find:

Example #1 -----

- How many steady states?
- Which one might we call a “poverty trap”?





## OLG with Log Preferences and Cobb-Douglas Production -----

- Let's now be explicit about our production function. Let,

$$f(k) = k^a$$

Thus,

$$r_{t+1} = \mathbf{a}k_{t+1}^{a-1} - 1$$

$$w_t = (1 - \mathbf{a})k_t^a$$

- Then, let's assume that  $U(c) = \ln c$ . [This is the same as saying  $\mathbf{q} = 1$ ].
- We can now rewrite our capital accumulation equation (4), such that:

$$k_{t+1} = \left[ \frac{1}{J[(1+n)l^Y + l^O]} \right] \mathbf{a}k_t^a$$

$$\text{Where, } J \equiv \frac{(1+\mathbf{b})\mathbf{a} + \left(\frac{1-\mathbf{a}}{1+n}\right)l^O}{(1-\mathbf{a})\mathbf{b}l^Y}$$

- What does the phase-diagram look like?
- Do we have a unique solution?

## OLG and Dynamic Inefficiency -----

- Continue to use Log preferences and Cobb-Douglas production
- Now, we can use this to show that unlike Ramsey, it is possible to have steady states that are dynamically inefficient
- Rewrite the accumulation equation in the following way:

$$k_{t+1} = \left[ \frac{1}{J[(1+n)l^Y + l^O]} \right] (1+r(k_t)) k_t$$

- Then at steady state, it must be that  $k_{t+1} = k_t = k^*$ , and hence,

$$J[(1+n)l^Y + l^O] = 1+r^*$$

Where  $r^* = r(k^*)$ .

- Recall, that in general, an economy is dynamically inefficient when  $f'(k^*) < d+n$ 
  - In our case,  $d=1$  and  $f'(k^*) = r^*$ .
  - So, our economy will be dynamically inefficient when  $1+r^* < 1+n$

Thus, assuming the special case of  $l^Y = 1$ ,  $l^O = 0$

- $J < 1$  implies dynamically inefficient
- $J > 1$  implies dynamically efficient
- Why is it possible to be dynamically inefficient in the OLG model?
- Does more work in retirement make dynamic inefficiency more or less likely?
- Moreover,  $J = \frac{a(1+b)}{b(1-a)}$ , and we have dynamic efficiency when  $b < \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a} - 2}$
- What is the intuition for this result?

## Analyzing Social Security in the OLG Model -----

Let's consider the two proposals for social security

1. Pay As You Go (current U.S. system)
2. Fully Funded (what President Bush wants)

### The Basic Model Setup -----

- Cobb-Douglas Production:  $f(k) = k^a$ 
  - Thus, 
$$\begin{aligned} r_{t+1} &= ak_{t+1}^{a-1} - 1 \\ w_t &= (1-a)k_t^a \end{aligned}$$
- Log Preferences:  $U(c) = \ln c$
- Individuals only work when they are young:  $l^Y = 1, l^O = 0$
- Population growth rate of  $n$

### The "Pay As You Go" System -----

- Government taxes each young individual lump-sum amount  $T$
- Government uses proceeds to pay social security benefits to old individuals
  - How much will each old person receive?
  - What is an individual's budget constraint for each period of life?

$$\begin{aligned} c_t^Y + a_{t+1} &= w_t - T \\ c_{t+1}^O &= (1+r_{t+1})a_{t+1} + (1+n)T \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, the intertemporal budget constraint is:

$$c_t^Y + \frac{c_{t+1}^O}{1+r_{t+1}} = w_t - T \left( \frac{r_{t+1} - n}{1+r_{t+1}} \right)$$

- Maximizing  $\ln c_t^Y + b \ln c_{t+1}^O$  s.t. the intertemporal budget constraint implies:

$$c_t^Y = \left( \frac{1}{1+b} \right) \left[ w_t - T \left( \frac{r_{t+1} - n}{1+r_{t+1}} \right) \right]$$

- As we expected, we consume a constant fraction of lifetime income.
- Does "Pay As You Go" increase or decrease first period consumption?

- What is an individual's savings in this economy?

$$a_{t+1} = w_t - T - c_t^Y$$

$$a_{t+1} = w_t - T - \left( \frac{1}{1+b} \right) \left[ w_t - T \left( \frac{r_{t+1} - n}{1+r_{t+1}} \right) \right]$$

$$a_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right) w_t - T \left( \frac{b(1+r_{t+1}) + (1+n)}{(1+r_{t+1})(1+b)} \right)$$

$$a_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right) w_t - TZ$$

Where  $Z > 0$

- How does "Pay As You Go" affect savings? Why?
- What is the impact of "Pay As You Go" on the capital stock?
    - $K_{t+1} = a_{t+1}N_t$ , so it is easily seen that  $k_{t+1} = \frac{a_{t+1}}{1+n}$ . And, plugging in for  $a_{t+1}$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{1}{1+n} \left[ \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right) w_t - TZ \right]$$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{1}{1+n} \left[ \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right) (1-a) k_t^a - TZ \right]$$

- What happens to the steady state capital stock when  $T > 0$ ?

## The “Fully Funded” System -----

- Government taxes each young individual lump-sum amount  $T$
- Government uses revenue to purchase capital...
  - Then, uses proceeds to finance social security for agents in their old age.
  - How much do individuals receive in old age?
  - What is the agent’s budget constraint now?

$$c_t^Y + a_{t+1} = w_t - T$$

$$c_{t+1}^O = (1 + r_{t+1})a_{t+1} + (1 + r_{t+1})T$$

- Hence, the intertemporal budget constraint is:

$$c_t^Y = \left( \frac{1}{1 + b} \right) w_t$$

- First period consumption no longer effect by system! Why?
- What is an individual’s savings?

$$a_{t+1} = w_t - T - c_t^Y$$

$$a_{t+1} = w_t - T - \left( \frac{1}{1 + b} \right) w_t$$

$$a_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1 + b} \right) w_t - T$$

- Note: Individual saving is still lower

- What is the impact of “Fully Funded” on the capital stock? None!
  - To see, this, we need to look at the accumulation of capital

$$K_{t+1} = a_{t+1}N_t + TN_t$$

- The key is that the government saves  $TN_t$  now, whereas before, it simply gave it to the existing old people.
- Plugging in for individual savings,  $a_{t+1}$ , we find:

$$K_{t+1} = \left[ \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{w_t} - T \right] N_t + TN_t$$

$$K_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{w_t} N_t$$

$$k_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{\frac{w_t}{1+n}}$$

$$k_{t+1} = \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{\frac{(1-a)k_t^a}{1+n}}$$

***The accumulation of capital is unaffected by the “Fully Funded” system, and hence the steady state capital is higher than in the “Pay As You Go”***