

# MIT SLOAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT

J. Wang  
E52-435

Finance Theory 15.415  
Spring 1999

## Solution to Assignment 1: Present Value

Due: February 18 (Thursday), 1999

### 1. NFL Television Rights

- (a) The reported amounts do not take into account the time value of money. Thus, the undiscounted reported amounts, are greater than the present value of the promised amounts.
- (b) The PV of the eight payments that ABC has to make, with the first payment starting right away, is:

$$\sum_{t=0}^7 \frac{550}{1.059^t} = \$3.63125 \text{ billion},$$

which is substantially less than the reported \$4.4 billion.

Similarly, the PV of the payments to be made by ESPN is:

$$\sum_{t=0}^7 \frac{600}{1.059^t} = \$3.96137 \text{ billion},$$

which is a lot smaller than \$4.8 billion.

### 2. The Reborn VW Beetle

First use the annuity formula to determine the monthly payments  $C_a$  and  $C_b$  for dealerships A and B, respectively, ignoring the initial down payments:

- (a) Dealership A:  $PV_a = \$18,000$ ,  $r_a = 0.08/12$ , and  $t = 36$  months  $\Rightarrow C_a = \$564.05$
- (b) Dealership B:  $PV_b = \$15,500$ ,  $r_b = 0.10/12$ , and  $t = 36$  months  $\Rightarrow C_b = \$500.14$

If the monthly discount rate is currently  $r$ , then the net present values of the two packages are

$$\begin{aligned} NPV_a &= 2,000 + C_a \left[ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r(1+r)^{36}} \right], \\ NPV_b &= 4,000 + C_b \left[ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r(1+r)^{36}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clearly more advantageous to accept dealership A's offer if and only if  $NPV_a < NPV_b$ . Substituting the expressions from above and simplifying, we have that  $NPV_a < NPV_b$  if and only if

$$\left[ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r(1+r)^{36}} \right] < 31.29$$

By trial and error, the cross-over point is at  $r = 0.00778$ . The conclusion is that if the current annual interest rate for a 36-month period (compounded monthly) is above 9.34%, you should choose dealership A. If the current annual interest rate for a 36-month period (compounded monthly) is below 9.34%, you should choose dealership B.

### 3. Buying a lottery

(a) We get that Singer paid \$139,999.86 by solving the equation

$$\text{Buying Price} = \sum_{t=1}^9 \frac{65276 \times 0.5}{(1 + 0.18095)^t}.$$

To get the selling price, we solve

$$\text{Selling Price} = \sum_{t=1}^9 \frac{65276 \times 0.5}{(1 + 0.0896)^t}.$$

Given that Singer paid \$139,999.86 and received \$195,993.83, implies a profit of \$55,993.97.

- (b) No – the statement is false because it ignores the time value of money.  
 (c) The amount is \$50,000 + present value of a 19-year ordinary annuity at 7.16% interest, or \$50,000 + \$510,636.42 = 560,636.42.

### 4. IRA Accounts and Taxes

(a) Yearly contribution:  $2000 \times (1 - 28\%) = \$1440$

UW approach:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{30} \left( 2000 \times (1 - 0.28) \times [1 + 0.06 \times (1 - 0.28)]^{30-t} \right) = \$85,218.$$

VW approach:

Effective interest rate:  $6\% \times (1 - 28\%) = 4.32\%$

Note this is a "future value" question. There could be two ways to calculate the future value:

- (1) use a future value formula:  $\frac{x \times ((1+r)^N - 1)}{r}$   
 (2) first calculate the NPV of the annuity, then times  $(1+r)^N$  to get the future value

I am doing it with the second method. You should be able to verify that the first method gives the same result.

$$1440/4.32\% \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + 4.32\%)^{30}}\right) \times ((1 + 4.32\%)^{30}) = \$85,218.$$

(b) UW: To get the total amount at end of 30 years:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{30} \left(2000 \times (1 - 0.28) \times [1 + .06 \times (1 - .00)]^{30-t}\right) = \$113,843.$$

of which the principal is  $\sum_{t=1}^{30} 2000 \times (1 - 0.28) = \$43,200$ , implying that the interest is  $113,843 - 43,200 = \$70,643.79$ . Tax on this is  $70,643.79 \times 0.28$  implying that the take-home amount is  $\$94,063$ .

VW approach:

$$1440/6\% \times \left(1 - 1/(1 + 6\%)^{30}\right) \times ((1 + 6\%)^{30}) = \$113,843.$$

Tax on interest accrued:  $(113,843 - 1440 \times 30) \times 0.28 = 19,780$ .

Take-home amount:  $113,843 - 19,780 = \$94,063$ .

(c) UW approach: The total amount is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{30} \left(2000 \times [1 + .06]^{30-t}\right) = \$158,116.37.$$

After taxes, this is only  $158,116.37 \times (1 - 0.28) = 113,843.789$ .

VW approach:

$$\frac{2000}{6\%} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + 6\%)^{30}}\right) = \$158,116.$$

$$158,116 \times (1 - 28\%) = \$113,843.$$

(d) Increase, because more deferred tax can be used to accrue interest.