


Reactive Planning of Hidden States in Large State Spaces Through Decomposition and Serialization

Brian C. Williams
Joint with Seung H. Chung


16.412J/6.834J
March 16th, 2005



Outline

- The need for model-based reactive planning
- The Burton model-based reactive planner

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology




How do we reconfigure a valve?

The diagram shows a Computer connected to a Bus Control unit. The Bus Control is connected to two Remote Terminal units. Each Remote Terminal is connected to a Driver, which in turn controls a Valve.

- Device modes are changed through indirect commanding.
- Communication paths are established by reconfiguring other devices.
- The task of reconfiguring devices in the proper order generalizes state-space planning to handle indirect effects.
- To achieve reactivity all possible plans for all possible goal states should be pre-compiled (a generalization of universal plans).


⇒ To achieve compactness we decompose these universal plans according to a goal/sub-goal hierarchy.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Example: Driver Valve Command Sequence

Goal: No thrust



	Commands	Driver State	Valve State
ME:		dr = off,	v1v = open
GI:		dr = off,	v1v = closed
MRP	dcmdin = on		
ME:		dr = on,	v1v = open
MRP	dcmdin = close		
ME:		dr = reset failure,	v1v = open
MRP	dcmdin = reset		
ME:		dr = on,	v1v = open
MRP	dcmdin = off		
ME:		dr = off,	v1v = open

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

To achieve reactivity we eliminate all forms of search.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Model-based Reactive Planning

Achieved by:

1. Eliminate Indirect Control
... through Compilation
2. Eliminate Search for Goal Ordering
... through Reversibility and Serialization
3. Eliminate Search to find Suitable Transitions
... by Constructing Hierarchical Polices

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology


Model-based Reactive Planning

Achieved by:

1. Eliminate Indirect Control
... through Compilation
2. Eliminate Search for Goal Ordering
... through Reversibility and Serialization
3. Eliminate Search to find Suitable Transitions
... by Constructing Hierarchical Polices


CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

To Handle Indirect Control . . .




CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

. . . Compile Out Constraints



CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology



To Compile Out Constraints

- Eliminate intermediate variables.

⇒ Transitions are conditioned on mode and control variables

- Generate transitions as prime implicates:

$$\Phi_i \Rightarrow \text{next}(y_i = e_i)$$

where Φ_i is a conjunction of mode and control variable assignments.

⇒ Prime implicates for transitions enumerated using OpSAT

- 40 seconds on SPARC 20 for 12,000 clause spacecraft model.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Model-based Reactive Planning

Achieved by:

1. Eliminate Indirect Effects
 - ... through Compilation
2. Eliminate Search for Goal Ordering
 - ... through Reversibility and Serialization
3. Eliminate Search to find Suitable Transitions
 - ... by Constructing Hierarchical Policies

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Why Search is Needed

1) An achieved goal can be clobbered by a subsequent goal.

- Example
 - Current State: driver = on, valve = closed
 - Goal State: driver = off, valve = open
 - Achieving (driver = off) and then (valve = open) clobbers (driver = off)

→ Achieve Valve goal before Driver goal

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Observation: Engineered systems tend not to have loops

- Define: Causal Graph G of compiled transition system S
 - vertices are state variables.
 - edge from v_i to v_j if v_j 's transition is conditioned on v_i .
- Requirement: The causal graph is acyclic.

→ Work conjunctive goals upstream from outputs to inputs

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Solution

- The only variables used to set some variable (y_j) is its ancestors,

⇒ y_j can be changed without affecting its descendants.


⇒ Safe to achieve goals in an upstream order.

- Simple check:
 - Number causal graph depth first
 - achieve goals in order of increasing depth first number.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology


Why Search is Needed

2) Two goals can compete for the same variable in their subgoals.




- Example
 - Latch1 and Latch2 compete for the position of Switch if latch goals achieved concurrently.

• Sibling goals (7,4) may both need shared ancestors.




• But ancestors no longer needed once goal (7) is satisfied.



- Solution: Solve one goal before starting next sibling (Serialization).
- Feature: Generates first control action of plan first!


Why Search is Needed

3) A state transition of a subgoal variable has irreversible effect.




- Example
 - Assume Switch can be used once,
 - Then Latch1 must be latched before Latch2.
- But irreversible effects aren't desirable for reactive planners
 - Don't allow irreversible actions
 - ... Except to repair failure modes

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments




- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments



- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:
- For each mode variable v , in decreasing order of DF number:
 - Select each transition of v , whose guard has only allowed assignments.

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments



- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:
- For each mode variable v , in decreasing order of DF number:
 - Select each transition of v , whose guard has only allowed assignments.
 - Given current assignment $v = I$ for v :
 - Find strongly connected component of selected transitions that contains I .
 - Mark assignments and transitions in SCC allowed.

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments

- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:
- For each mode variable v , in decreasing order of DF number:
 - Select each transition of v , whose guard has only allowed assignments.
 - Given current assignment $v = I$ for v :
 - Find strongly connected component of selected transitions that contains I .
 - Mark assignments and transitions in SCC allowed.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments

- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:
- For each mode variable v , in decreasing order of DF number:
 - Select each transition of v , whose guard has only allowed assignments.
 - Given current assignment $v = I$ for v :
 - Find strongly connected component of selected transitions that contains I .
 - Mark assignments and transitions in SCC allowed.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Solution: Mark Allowed Transitions/Assignments

- Mark all control variable assignments allowed:
- For each mode variable v , in decreasing order of DF number:
 - Select each transition of v , whose guard has only allowed assignments.
 - Given current assignment $v = I$ for v :
 - Find strongly connected component of selected transitions that contains I .
 - Mark assignments and transitions in SCC allowed.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Model-based Reactive Planning

Achieved by:

1. Eliminate Indirect Effects
 - ... through Compilation
2. Eliminate Search for Goal Ordering
 - ... through Reversibility and Serialization
3. Eliminate Search to find Suitable Transitions
 - ... by Constructing Hierarchical Policies

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Solution

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

- Convert automata into hierarchical policies, one per automaton
 - Policy selects first transition towards achieving each automata goal state, given current state.
 - Policy maps goals to subgoals and commands, in proper order
 - Ensures only reversible transitions are taken, by only using transitions marked allowed.

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
Current: Driver = off, Valve = open

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = off, Valve = open

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = off, Valve = open

Send: cmd = on

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = resettable, Valve = open

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = resettable, Valve = open

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = resettable, Valve = open

Send: cmd = reset

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = on, Valve = open

Send: cmd = close

Current \ Goal	On	Off
On	idle	cmd = off
Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Current \ Goal	Open	Closed
Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close ☆
Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = on, Valve = closed

Send cmd = off

Goal	On	Off
Current On	idle	cmd = off
Current Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Goal	Open	Closed
Current Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Current Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Plan by passing sub-goals up causal graph

Goal: Driver = off, Valve = closed
 Current: Driver = off, Valve = closed

Success

Goal	On	Off
Current On	idle	cmd = off
Current Off	cmd = on	idle
Resettable	cmd = reset	cmd = off

Goal	Open	Closed
Current Open	idle	driver = on cmd = close
Current Closed	driver = on cmd = open	idle
Stuck	fail	fail

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Hierarchical, Model-based Reactive Planning

- Compile-time Analysis:
 - Compile-out interactions
 - Confirm schematics are loop free.
 - Depth first number variables.
- Periodic, Run-time Analysis:
 - Given initial state
 - Identify allowed transitions and assignments
 - Given autonomous jump to failure state
 - Identify allowed transitions and assignments
- Run-time Plan Execution:
 - Work conjunctive goals from outputs to inputs.
 - Achieve goals serially.
 - Only perform reversible transitions.
 - Lookup control actions and sub-goals in policies

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Complexity of Reactive Planning

- Worst Case per action: Depth * Sub-goal branch factor
- Average Cost per action: Sub-goal branch factor

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

What If Plan is Not Serializable?

- What if causal graph G contains cycles?
- Solution:
 - Isolate the cyclic components (compute SCCs)
 - compose each cycle into a single component.
- New causal graph G' is acyclic,
- Goals of G' are serializable


CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Composing Cyclic Components

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Policy for Composed Components

- Problem: Composition grows exponential in space usage.
- Solution: Use BDD encoding (Seung Chung SM).



on_T on_A $\begin{cases} cmd_A = off \\ cmd_A = on \end{cases}$ on_T off_A
 off_T on_A $\begin{cases} cmd_A = off \\ cmd_A = on \end{cases}$ off_T off_A

$cmd_T = on$ $cmd_T = off$

Goal				
Current	on_T, on_A	on_T, off_A	off_T, off_A	off_T, on_A
on_T, on_A	idle	$cmd_A = off$	$cmd_A = off$	fail
on_T, off_A	$cmd_A = on$	idle	$cmd_A = off$	fail
off_T, off_A	$cmd_T = on$	$cmd_T = on$	idle	fail
off_T, on_A	fail	fail	$cmd_A = off$	idle

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Model-based Reactive Planning

1. Compile away constraints from the model
2. Compile away cyclic components
3. Plan serially pursuing causal graph upstream
4. Generate actions using hierarchical policies

Only performs reversible actions
 Responds to failure at each step
 Average cost per step = subgoal branching factor

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Next Challenge: Mars Smart Lander (2009)

Mission Duration: 1000 days
 Total Traverse: 3000-69000 meters
 Meters/Day: 230-450
 Science Mission: 7 instruments, sub-surface science package (drill, radar), in-situ sample "lab"

Technology Demonstration: (2005).

CSAIL Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Model-based Programming of Embedded Systems

- To survive decades embedded systems orchestrate complex regulatory and immune systems.
- Future systems will be programmed with models, describing themselves and their environments.
- Runtime kernels will be agile, deducing and planning by solving optimization problems with propositional constraints.
- Model-based reactive planners respond quickly to failure, while using compile-time analysis of structure to respond quickly and concisely to indirect effects.

Appendix 1


Handling Cycles In The Causal Graph







Slides by Seung Chung

Telecommunication Subsystem Example

Computer

Bus Controller





Assuring Proper Execution of Interdependent Transitions

