18.06.13: 'Kernels and images – the sequel'

Lecturer: Barwick

Friday 4 March 2016

🔚 18.06.13: 'Kernels and images – the sequel'

Column operations work exactly dual to row operations. (Just think of transposing, doing row operations, and transposing back!) So suppose we can apply some column operations:

$$\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) \dashrightarrow \left(\frac{C}{D}\right).$$

Here, *A* and *C* are $m \times n$ matrices, and *B* and *D* are $p \times n$ matrices. What this really means is that there's an invertible $n \times n$ matrix *N* such that AN = C and BN = D.



Well, we're looking for vectors such that $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. So if we take

$$\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)$$
,

then we can start using column operations to get it to some

$$\left(\frac{C}{D}\right)$$

So AD = C.



So when we look at

 $\left(\frac{C}{D}\right),$

if *C* has a column of zeroes, then the corresponding column of *D* will be a vector in the kernel. Furthermore, if you get *C* into column echelon form, then the nonzero column vectors of *D* lying under the zero columns of *C* form a basis of ker(*A*). (Properly speaking, to prove this, you need the Rank-Nullity theorem, which we'll come to soon.)



Let's do this one:

Let us get the top of
$$\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)$$
 into column echelon form.



We obtain

The last three columns of *D* are our basis.

18.06.13: 'Kernels and images – the sequel'

If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix},$$

we already found a basis of ker(A):

$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-2\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -3\\0\\2\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

🔏 18.06.13: 'Kernels and images – the sequel'

To use our column method, we don't have to get the top in reduced column echelon form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$



We obtain:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 3 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 13 & 8 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

So we claim that this is a new basis of ker(A):

$$\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Note that this isn't the same as $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$, but

$$\begin{split} \vec{v}_2 &= \vec{w}_1; \qquad \vec{v}_1 = 2\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2; \\ \vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 &= \vec{w}_2; \qquad \vec{v}_2 = \vec{w}_1; \\ 3\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 &= \vec{w}_3; \qquad \vec{v}_3 = -3\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_3. \end{split}$$



This gives what's called the *change of basis matrix*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \vec{w}_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_1 & \vec{w}_2 & \vec{w}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$



Dual to finding a basis of the kernel, we can find a basis of the *image* of a matrix, im(A). The image of A is the span of the column vectors of A.

If *A* is an $m \times n$ matrix, then *A* eats a vector of \mathbb{R}^n , and it poops a vector of \mathbb{R}^m . The kernel of *A* is thus a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , and the image of *A* is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m .

The way we *compute* a basis of the image is not wildly different from the way in which we compute a basis of the kernel, but the operations are dual, and that can get confusing. To clear up our confusion, we'll need some theorems!!



Theorem (Rank-Nullity Theorem). If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then

 $\dim(\ker(A)) + \dim(\operatorname{im}(A)) = n.$

We are going to spend some quality time with this result.