18.06 PSet 3 Solution

Problem 1

- (a) A is invertible, so ker $A = \{0\}$.
- (b), (c) and so on:

Let us solve the $n \times n$ case for $n \ge 3$. Let c_j be the *j*-th column of our matrix A. A direct computation shows that

$$c_2 - c_1 = c_3 - c_2 = \dots = c_n - c_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence $c_1 - 2c_2 + c_3 = c_2 - 2c_3 + c_4 = \cdots = c_{n-2} - 2c_{n-1} + c_n = 0$. From these linear relations between columns of A, we can extract n-2 solutions to the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. They are

$$\vec{x}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{x}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \vec{x}_{n-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is not hard to check that $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_{n-2}$ are linearly independent vectors, so

(1)
$$\dim(\ker A) \ge n-2.$$

On the other hand, notice that the first 2 columns of A are linearly independent, therefore dim(im A) \geq 2. By the Rank-Nullity Theorem (see Lecture 13 slides), we know that

(2)
$$\dim(\ker A) = n - \dim(\operatorname{im} A) \le n - 2.$$

Compare (1) and (2) we conclude that dim(ker A) = n - 2 and therefore $\{\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_{n-2}\}$ forms a basis of ker A.

Remark 0.1. What described above is exactly the column operation method covered in Lecture 13.

Problem 2

Both the method and answer are identical to Problem 1.

Problem 3

If we write down the matrix A, it is easy to find that

$$c_1 = c_3 = c_5 = \dots = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(1)

and

$$c_2 = c_4 = c_6 = \dots = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Use the argument in Problem 1, one conclude that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\-1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a basis of ker A. There are (n-2) of them.

Problem 4

Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a vector in ker X. It is equivalent to say that

$$\begin{cases} Av_1 + Bv_2 = 0\\ Cv_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence for any $v_1 \in \ker A$, the vector $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ lives in ker X, i.e.,

$$\binom{\ker A}{0} \subset \ker X,$$

in particular

 $\dim \ker X \ge \dim \ker A.$

On the other hand, for $\binom{v_1}{v_2} \in \ker X$, we know that $v_2 \in \ker C$. Moreover, fix $v_2 \in \ker C$, the solutions to

$$Av_1 = -Bv_2$$

is either the empty set or an affine space of dimension dim ker A (meaning that the difference of any two solutions v_1 and v'_1 is a vector in ker A), so we conclude that

 $\dim \ker X \le \dim \ker A + \dim \ker C.$

Combining two parts, we see that

(3)
$$\dim \ker A \le \dim \ker X \le \dim \ker A + \dim \ker C.$$

Remark 0.2. Maybe a better way to reach the final result is to observe that

q +column rank of $A \ge$ column rank of $X \ge$ column rank of C +column rank of A,

and deduce the final result from the Rank-Nullity Theorem.

Problem 5

Direct computation shows that

$$Q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1\\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $Q^2 - Q - I = 0$, where I is the 2 by 2 identity matrix. Use the relation $Q^2 = Q + I$ repetitively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{-1} &= Q^{-1} \cdot I = Q^{-1} (Q^2 - Q) = Q - I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q^{-2} &= (Q^{-1})^2 = (Q - I)^2 = Q^2 - 2Q + I = 2I - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q^{-3} &= Q^{-2}Q^{-1} = (2I - Q)(Q - I) = -Q^2 + 3Q - 2I = 2Q - 3I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q^3 &= Q^2Q = (Q + I)Q = Q^2 + Q = 2Q + I = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have

$$Q^n = \begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} & f_n \\ f_n & f_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we can deduce this by induction on n, using the fact that

$$Q^n = Q^{n-2}Q^2 = Q^{n-2}(Q+I) = Q^{n-1} + Q^{n-2}.$$

Taking the determinant of Q^n , we see that

$$f_{n+1}f_{n-1} - f_n^2 = \det Q^n = (\det Q)^n = (-1)^n,$$

therefore

$$f_n^2 + (-1)^n = f_{n-1}f_{n+1}.$$

There are many things we can do by playing with Q. For instance, consider

$$Q^{n+m} = Q^n Q^m = Q^m Q^n,$$

then we can write down

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{n+m+1} & f_{n+m} \\ f_{n+m} & f_{n+m-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} & f_n \\ f_n & f_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{m+1} & f_m \\ f_m & f_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Compare the (1, 1)-entry, we see that

$$f_{n+m+1} = f_{n+1}f_{m+1} + f_n f_m.$$

In particular, if we choose n = m, we see that

$$f_{2n+1} = f_{n+1}^2 + f_n^2.$$

Therefore f_{2n+1} can be expressed as a sum of two squares, hence (if you know some number theory) f_{2n+1} is not a multiple of 3, 7, 11, 19, 23,...

Problem 6

Let c_j be the *j*-th column of our matrix *F*. By the definition of Fibonacci numbers, we see that

$$c_1 + c_2 - c_3 = c_2 + c_3 - c_4 = \dots = c_{n-2} + c_{n-1} - c_n = 0.$$

Use the method in Problem 1, we find that a basis of ker F is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\-1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\-1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\\vdots\\1\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are (n-2) of them.