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In [1]: using Interact, PyPlot

1 Exponential growth and decay

Consider the following system of two coupled first-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = A\vec{x}
\]

for the 2×2 matrix:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0.1 & -0.1 \\
0.5 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In [2]: A = 
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0.1 & -0.1 \\
0.5 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Out[2]: 2×2 Array{Float64,2}:

0.1  -0.1
0.5  -1.0

To start with, let's “blindly” just plot the “brute-force” solutions for an initial condition \(\vec{x}(0) = (1,10)\):

In [3]: t = linspace(0, 20, 1000)

# find solution by the brute-force e^[Ut]x[0]

x = [expm(A*t)*[1,10] for t in t]

plot(t, [x[1] for x in x], "r-")
plot(t, [x[2] for x in x], "b-")

xlabel("time $t$")
ylabel("solution $x(t)$")
legend(["x_1", "x_2"])
title("solution of 2 coupled ODEs")
grid()
At first, it looks like the solutions are decaying, but then they start to grow. If we plot it for a longer time, we can see that it eventually grows exponentially:

```python
In [4]: t = linspace(0, 100, 1000)
   # find solution by the brute-force e^{At}[1,10]:
   x = [expm(A*t)*[1,10] for t in t]
   plot(t, [x[1] for x in x], "r-")
   plot(t, [x[2] for x in x], "b-")
   xlabel("time $t$")
   ylabel("solution $x(t)$")
   legend(["x_1", "x_2"])
   title("solution of 2 coupled ODEs")
   grid()
```
To understand this problem, we need only look at the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \( A \).

Since this is a 2 × 2 system, we could solve for them analytically via a quadratic equation, but let’s just do it numerically. (Partly because I am lazy, partly because the details of solving for the eigenvalues are uninteresting, and partly because in larger problems we will have no choice but to do it numerically anyway.)

\[
\lambda, X = \text{eig}(A)
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{Out}[5]: \quad 2\text{-element Array}\{\text{Float64,1}\}:
\{0.0524938, -0.952494\}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{In } [6]: \quad X
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{Out}[6]: \quad 2\times2 \text{ Array}\{\text{Float64,2}\}:
\{
0.903256, 0.0945865,
0.429103, 0.995517
\}
\end{array}
\]

There are two eigenvalues, \( \lambda_1 \approx 0.05 \) and \( \lambda_2 \approx -0.95 \). We can expand any solution in the basis of the eigenvectors as:

\[
\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{x}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \vec{x}_2
\]

where the coefficients \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are typically determined by suppling an initial condition \( \vec{x}(0) \) via \( \vec{c} = X^{-1} \vec{x}(0) \).
(It is easy to verify that this solves $\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = A\vec{x}$ just by plugging it in to the ODE.)
From the eigenvalues, we can easily see that the $\vec{x}_1$ solution is slowly exponentially growing and the $\vec{x}_2$ solution is quickly exponentially decaying. That’s why, when we plot the solution, we see a rapid exponential decay followed by a slow exponential growth.
Furthermore, we can solve for the coefficients when $\vec{x}(0) = (1,10)$:

```python
In [7]: c = X \ [1, 10]
Out[7]: 2-element Array{Float64,1}:
0.0578278
10.0201
```

Notice that this initial condition “happens” to almost entirely consist of the $\vec{x}_2$ eigenvector, with only a small $\vec{x}_1$ component. This means that it takes an especially long time for the $e^{\lambda_1t}$ exponential growth to amplify the $\vec{x}_1$ component to the point where it becomes obvious on the plot of the solution.

1.0.1 Key points
- **Negative real** $\lambda$ correspond to exponentially decaying solutions.
- **Positive real** $\lambda$ correspond to exponentially growing solutions.
- **Zero real** $\lambda$ correspond to steady solutions (neither decaying nor growing).
- The initial conditions determine the coefficients of each eigenvector in the solution.

2 A mass and spring
Let’s consider the motion of a mass $m$ sliding without friction and attached to a spring:
Newton’s law for the position $x(t)$ gives the 2nd-order (has up to 2nd derivatives) ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$m\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -kx$$

where $k$ is the spring constant. We can instead write this in terms of a system of first-order (1st derivatives only) ODEs by adding a variable $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (the velocity):

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v, \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{k}{m}x$$

which can be written in matrix form as $\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = A\vec{x}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k/m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

Let’s choose $k/m = 1/100$. Then we have

```python
In [8]: A = [ [ 0, 1 \
        -0.01, 0 ] ]
Out[8]: 2×2 Array{Float64,2}:
0.0 1.0
-0.01 0.0
```

If we have an initial position $x(0) = 0$ and an initial velocity $v(0) = 1$, so that $\vec{x}(0) = (0,1)$, the solutions $x(t)$ look like:
In [9]: t = linspace(0, 20π*5, 1000)

# find solution by the brute-force e[t M t] [0,1]:
plot(t, [expm(A*t)*[0,1][1] for t in t], "r-")
xlabel("time $t$")
ylabel("solution $x(t)$")
title("motion of a frictionless mass on a spring")
grid()

The key to understanding this behavior is to look at the eigenvalues of $A$, because each eigenvector has time dependence $e^{\lambda t}$.

$A$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0.1i, \lambda_2 = -0.1i$, which can easily be computed by hand or via computer:

In [10]: $A$, X = eig(A)

Out[10]: 2-element Array{Complex{Float64},1}:
0.0+0.1im
0.0-0.1im

The corresponding eigenvectors are complex as well, but come in a complex conjugate pair since $A$ is real:

In [11]: X

Out[11]: 2×2 Array{Complex{Float64},2}:
0.995037+0.0im 0.995037-0.0im
0.0+0.0995037im 0.0-0.0995037im
If we expand our initial condition in this basis, we have:

\[
\vec{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \vec{x}_1 + c_2 \vec{x}_2 = X \vec{c}
\]

where \( \vec{c} = X^{-1} \vec{x}(0) \) is:

```
In [12]: c = X \[0, 1\]
Out[12]: 2-element Array{Complex{Float64},1}:
0.0-5.02494im
0.0+5.02494im
```

Note that the coefficients are complex conjugates as well!
In fact, this must happen in order to get a real vector from the sum of our two complex-conjugate eigenvectors. Adding two complex conjugates cancels the imaginary parts and gives us twice the real part:

\[
\vec{x}(0) = c_1 \vec{x}_1 + \overline{c_1 \vec{x}_1} = 2 \text{Re}[c_1 \vec{x}_1]
\]

which is real. Any coefficient \( c_2 \neq \overline{c_1} \) would not have summed to a real vector. Let’s check this formula (\texttt{real} computes the real part in Julia):

```
In [13]: 2*real(c[1]*X[:,1])
Out[13]: 2-element Array{Float64,1}:
0.0
1.0
```

Now, for the eigenvectors, the matrix \( A \) acts just like a scalar \( \lambda \), and the solution of the scalar ODE \( dc/dt = \lambda c \) is just \( e^{\lambda t}c(0) \).

So, we just multiply each eigenvector component of \( \vec{x}(0) \) by \( e^{\lambda t} \) and sum to get the solution:

\[
\vec{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{x}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \vec{x}_2 = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{x}_1 + \overline{c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{x}_1} = 2 \text{Re}[c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \vec{x}_1]
\]

where we have used the fact that the eigenvalues are complex conjugates.

Now, let’s try to write this in some more comprehensible form. The position \( x(t) \) is just the first component of this result, i.e. it is some value of the form:

\[
x(t) = \text{Re}[\xi e^{\lambda t}]
\]

where \( \xi = 2c_1(1,0)^T X_1 \) is the first component of the coefficient vector. If we write \( \xi = re^{i\phi} \) in polar form, this simplifies even more:

```
In [14]: \[\xi\] = 2 * c[1] * X[1,1]
Out[14]: 0.0 - 10.000000000000002im
```

```
In [15]: # polar form of \( \alpha \):
   r = abs(\[\xi\])
   \[\phi\] = angle(\[\xi\])
   r, \phi/\pi
Out[15]: (10.000000000000002, -0.5)
```

In terms of this, we have:

\[
x(t) = \text{Re}[re^{i(0.1t+\phi)}] = r \cos(0.1t + \phi)
\]

using Euler’s identity \( e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \). Let’s check this by plotting it along with the numerical solution:
In [16]: t = linspace(0, 20π*5, 1000)

# find solution by the brute-force e[U+1D2C][U+1D57] [0,1]:
plot(t, [(expm(A*t)*[0,1])[1] for t in t], "r-")
plot(t, [r*cos(0.1*t + φ) for t in t], "k--")
xlabel("time $t$")
ylabel("solution $x(t)$")
title("motion of a frictionless mass on a spring")
grid()
legend(["numerical", "analytical"])

Out[16]: PyObject <matplotlib.legend.Legend object at 0x32d4a0990>

Yup, they fall right on top of one another!

2.0.1 Key points:

- A purely imaginary $\lambda$ corresponds to an oscillating ODE solution, and $\omega = \text{Im} \lambda$ is the angular frequency.
- The amplitude and phase of the oscillations are determined by the initial conditions.
- For real A, the eigensolutions come in complex-conjugate pairs, so that real initial conditions lead to real solutions.

Given an angular frequency $\omega$, corresponding to time dependence $e^{i\omega t}$ or $\cos(\omega t + \phi)$, the period of the oscillation (the time to repeat) is $2\pi/\omega$: 

![motion of a frictionless mass on a spring](image)
Here, it repeats every 62 time units, which matches the graph above.

3 Mass and spring with damping

We can also add some damping or friction to the problem. For example, a simple model of air resistance is a drag force that is proportional to velocity and opposite in sign.

This changes our equations to:

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = v \quad \frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{k}{m}x - dv
\]

where \(d\) is the drag coefficient, which can again be written in matrix form as \(d\mathbf{x}/dt = B\mathbf{x}\):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dt} & (x) \\
\frac{dv}{dt} & (v)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-k/m & -d
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ v \end{bmatrix}
\]

Let's try it out for a drag coefficient \(d = 0.02\):

\[
\text{In [18]: } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -0.01 & -0.02 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\text{Out[18]: } 2 \times 2 \text{ Array\{Float64,2\}}: \\
\begin{bmatrix} 0.0 & 1.0 \\ -0.01 & -0.02 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\text{In [19]: } t = \text{linspace}(0, 2\pi*5, 1000) \\
\# \text{ find solution by the brute-force } \mathbf{e}^{Bt} \mathbf{x} = [0,1]: \\
\text{plot}(t, [(\exp(B*t)*[0,1])[1] \text{ for } t \text{ in } t], \text{"r-"}) \\
xlabel(\text{"time $t$"}) \\
ylabel(\text{"solution $x(t)$"}) \\
title(\text{"motion of a mass on a spring + drag"}) \\
grid()
\]

8
As you might expect, adding drag causes the mass to slow down more and more. How is this reflected in the eigenvalues?

```
In [20]: eigvals(B)
```

```
Out[20]: 2-element Array{Complex{Float64},1}:
    -0.01+0.0994987im
    -0.01-0.0994987im
```

The eigenvalues are \( \approx -0.01 \pm 0.0994987i \).

Again, for this \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix problem we could easily calculate the eigenvalues analytically. (In 18.03 you do this over and over again.) Skipping the simple algebra (just a quadratic equation), they are:

\[
-\frac{d}{2} \pm i \sqrt{0.01 - \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2} = -\alpha \pm i\omega
\]

where I’ve defined \( \alpha = -\text{Re} \lambda \) and \( \omega = |\text{Im} \lambda| \).

```
In [21]: sqrt(0.01 - (0.02/2)^2)
```

```
Out[21]: 0.099498743710662
```

What will this do to the solutions? Well, the basic solution process will be the same. We will still get a solution of the form:

\[
\bar{x}(t) = 2 \text{Re} \left[ c_1 e^{\lambda t} \bar{x}_1 \right]
\]
where $\vec{x}_1$ is the first eigenvector of $B$ and $c_1$ is an expansion coefficient for the initial condition. But now we have $\lambda_1 = -\alpha + i\omega$ and, similar to before, we get:

$$x(t) = \text{Re} \left[ r e^{-\alpha t + i\omega t + i\phi} \right] = r e^{-\alpha t} \cos(\omega t + \phi)$$

So, $\alpha = -\text{Re} \lambda$ is an exponential decay rate and $\omega = |\text{Im} \lambda|$ is still a frequency (with a value slightly changed from the frictionless case). It is nice to plot this $e^{-\alpha t}$ factor on top of our solution:

```
In [22]: t = linspace(0, 20\pi*5, 1000)
# find solution by the brute-force $e^{[U+1D2C][U+1D57] [0,1]}$:
plot(t, [(expm(B*t)*[0,1])[1] for t in t], "r-")
plot(t, 10*exp.(-0.01 * t), "k--")
xlabel(L"time $t$")
ylabel(L"solution $x(t)$")
title("motion of a mass on a spring + drag")
legend(["solution \$x(t)$", "exponential decay \$e^{-\alpha t}\$"])
grid()
```

3.0.1 Key points:
- For complex $\lambda$, $\text{Re}(\lambda)$ is an exponential growth rate ($> 0$) or decay rate ($< 0$).
- $\text{Im}(\lambda)$ is an angular frequency
- If all $\lambda$ have $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$, the solution decays to zero.
• If any \( \lambda \) have \( \text{Re}(\lambda) > 0 \), the solution blows up.

• A \( \lambda = 0 \) solution corresponds to a **steady state**. If only the real part is zero, it is a solution that oscillates forever without growing or decaying.

### 3.1 Overdamping:

From the formula above for the eigenvalues of the damped-spring system:

\[
-\frac{d}{2} \pm i \sqrt{0.01 - \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}
\]

you might notice something: if \( d \) gets large enough, then the eigenvalues become **purely real** and negative. In particular, if \( (d/2)^2 > 0.01 \), or equivalently if \( d > 0.2 \), then the eigenvalues are

\[
-\frac{d}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2 - 0.01}
\]

which are real and negative. The solutions don’t oscillate at all, they just decay! This is called **overdamping**. Let’s check this for \( d = 0.3 \):

\[
\text{In [23]: eigvals([ 0 1 \\
-0.01 -0.3 ])}
\]

\[
\text{Out[23]: 2-element Array{Float64,1}:}
-0.0381966
-0.261803
\]

Yup, two negative eigenvalues, as predicted. It is interesting to plot the solutions for different values of \( d \) to compare them:

\[
\text{In [24]: ds = [0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]}
\text{t = linspace(0, 20\pi*5, 1000)}
\text{for d in ds}
\text{Bd = [ 0 1 \\
-0.01 -d ]}
\text{plot(t, [(expm(Bd*t)*[0,1])[1] for t in t], "}-")}
\text{end}
\text{xlabel("time $t$")}
\text{ylabel("solution $x(t)$")}
\text{title("mass on a spring for different drags")}
\text{legend(["$d=d$" for d in ds])}
\text{grid()}
\]
It's even more fun to use a slider control for $d$:

```
In [25]: t = linspace(0, 20\pi*5, 1000)
    fig = figure()
    @manipulate for d in 0.0:0.01:0.3
        Bd = [ 0 1
               -0.01 -d ]
        withfig(fig) do
            plot(t, [(expm(Bd*t)*[0,1])[1] for t in t], "-")
            xlabel(L"time $t$")
            ylabel(L"solution $x(t)$")
            title("mass on a spring for drag $d=d$")
            ylim(-4,8)
            grid()
        end
    end
```

Out[25]:
The case of $d = 0.2$, where the discriminant $\sqrt{\cdots} = 0$ and the two eigenvalues are equal, is called critically damped. This is a bit of an odd case because the matrix becomes defective (non-diagonalizable): there is only a single eigenvector.

We will analyze such defective cases later in 18.06. They are not our primary concern, though, because they are kind of a weird limiting case that doesn’t show up most of the time.

### 4 Two coupled masses on springs

When we are solving things by hand, it is hard to go beyond $2 \times 2$ matrices, but on the computer we have no such limitations. **Practical engineering problems are solved every day involving millions of coupled differential equations.**

Let’s try upgrading to two coupled masses on springs:

Now, our equations look like:

\[
\frac{dx_1}{dt} = v_1 \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} = v_2 \\
\frac{dv_1}{dt} = -\frac{k_1}{m}x_1 - \frac{k_2}{m}(x_1 - x_2) \\
\frac{dv_2}{dt} = -\frac{k_3}{m}x_2 + \frac{k_2}{m}(x_1 - x_2)
\]

where the spring $k_2$ connecting the two masses exerts a force $\pm k_2(x_1 - x_2)$, with the two masses feeling an equal and opposite force from that spring.

This can be written in matrix form as $d\vec{x}/dt = C\vec{x}$:
\[
\frac{d}{dt}
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  v_1 \\
  v_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  -(k_1 + k_2)/m & k_2/m & 0 & 0 \\
  k_2/m & -(k_3 + k_2)/m & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}
  x_1 \\
  x_2 \\
  v_1 \\
  v_2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Let’s set \(m_1 = m_2 = m\) and \(k_1/m = k_2/m = k_3/m = 0.01\) for simplicity.

\textbf{In [26]:} \(C = \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
  -0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0 \\
  0.01 & -0.02 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}\)

\textbf{Out[26]:} 4×4 Array{Float64,2}:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 \\
  0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 \\
  -0.02 & 0.01 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
  0.01 & -0.02 & 0.0 & 0.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Again, let’s just try plotting the solutions \(x_1(t)\) and \(x_2(t)\) computed by “brute force” first, assuming an initial condition \(\vec{x}(0) = (0, 0, 1, 0)\) where we start \(x_1\) moving from rest:

\textbf{In [27]:} \(t = \text{linspace}(0, 20\pi*5, 1000)\)
\[\text{# find solution by the brute-force } e^{\text{U+1D2C}\text{U+1D57}[0,1]}:\]
\(x = [(\text{expm}(C*t)*[0,0,1,0]) \text{ for } t \text{ in } t]\)
\(\text{plot}(t, [x[1] \text{ for } x \text{ in } x], "r-")\)
\(\text{plot}(t, [x[2]+20 \text{ for } x \text{ in } x], "b-")\)
\(\text{xlabel}("time $t$")\)
\(\text{ylabel}("solutions $x(t)$")\)
\(\text{title}("motion of 2 masses on springs")\)
\(\text{legend}(["x_1", "x_2+20"])\)
\(\text{grid()}\)
So, this looks a bit more complicated. But the eigenvalues should clarify the situation for us:

\[
\text{In [28]: } \text{eigvals}(C)
\]

\[
\text{Out[28]: } 4\text{-element Array}\{\text{Complex}\{\text{Float64}\},1\}:
\]
\[
-1.38778e-18+0.173205\text{im}
\]
\[
-1.38778e-18-0.173205\text{im}
\]
\[
-1.59595e-17+0.1\text{im}
\]
\[
-1.59595e-17-0.1\text{im}
\]

There are four purely imaginary (=oscillating!) eigenvalues, coming in two complex-conjugate pairs. So, there are only two frequencies in this problem: \(\omega_1 = 0.1\) and \(\omega_2 = 0.1\sqrt{2} \approx 0.173205\).

It is possible to get only one of these solutions at a time if we choose our initial conditions to excite only one eigenvector (or one complex-conjugate pair).

In particular, for a given eigenvector \(\vec{x}_k\), there is a solution \(\vec{x}(t) = e^{\lambda_k t} \vec{x}_k\) with initial condition \(\vec{x}(0) = \vec{x}_k\).

Or, we could get a real solution from an eigenvector by adding the complex-conjugate solution (which is also an eigenvector since the matrix is real), corresponding to a solution:

\[
\vec{x}(t) = \text{Re} \left[ ce^{\lambda_k t} \vec{x}_k \right]
\]

with an initial condition \(\vec{x}(0) = \text{Re} \left[ c\vec{x}_k \right]\), where \(c\) is an arbitrary complex number that determines the amplitude and phase of the oscillation.

For an oscillating system, these are often called the normal modes of oscillation. Let’s plot these two “eigensolutions” for our 2-mass problem:
In [29]: $\lambda$, $X = \text{eig}(C)$
    $\quad$ $t = \text{linspace}(0, 20\pi \times 5, 1000)$
    $\quad$ # initial condition from the real part of the first eigenvector:
    $\quad$ x = [(expm(C*t)*X[:,3]) for t in t]
    $\quad$ plot(t, [real(x[1]) for x in x], "r-")
    $\quad$ plot(t, [real(x[2])+2 for x in x], "b-")
    $\quad$ xlabel(L"time $t$")
    $\quad$ ylabel(L"solutions $x(t)$")
    $\quad$ title("first normal mode $\omega_1 = 0.1$")
    $\quad$ legend([L"x_1", L"x_2+2"])
    $\quad$ grid()

In [30]: # initial condition from the real part of the first eigenvector:
    $\quad$ x = [(expm(C*t)*X[:,1]) for t in t]
    $\quad$ plot(t, [real(x[1]) for x in x], "r-")
    $\quad$ plot(t, [real(x[2])+2 for x in x], "b-")
    $\quad$ xlabel(L"time $t$")
    $\quad$ ylabel(L"solutions $x(t)$")
    $\quad$ title("second normal mode $\omega_2 = \sqrt{2}$")
    $\quad$ legend([L"x_1", L"x_2+2"])
    $\quad$ grid()
If you look carefully at the plots, you will see a simple pattern:

- The lower-frequency solution is when the masses are moving in the same direction.
- The higher-frequency solution is when the masses are moving in opposite directions.

There are lots of interesting phenomena to think about in coupled mass-spring systems, but let’s leave most of the physics to 8.03.

5 Hints of things to come: Eigenvalues and matrix structure

From basic physical intuition, these coupled mass/spring systems must have purely imaginary eigenvalues if there is no damping/drag/friction! In a physics class, we would say that “energy is conserved”: the oscillations cannot increase ($\text{Re}\lambda > 0$) or decrease ($\text{Re}\lambda < 0$) because there is no place else for the energy to go.

And if there is drag, then the eigenvalues must have negative real parts: the oscillations must be losing energy, not gaining it.

But these physical laws must arise algebraically somehow! There must be something in the structure of the matrices (the pattern of their entries) that guarantees it for any positive values of $k$, $m$, or $d$. This turns out to be an extremely important topic in linear algebra: deriving general facts about the eigenvalues of matrices from their structure (even though the specific values of the eigenvalues must be found by a computer).

We’ve already seen this for Markov matrices: the fact that their columns summed to one guaranteed a $\lambda = 1$ eigenvalue and other $|\lambda| \leq 1$ ($< 1$ for positive entries). In the case of masses and springs, the physical
properties of the normal modes turn out to be closely related to real-symmetric matrices $A = A^T$, which we will soon see have very special eigen-properties.