# MIT 18.06 Exam 3 **Solutions**, Spring 2022 Johnson

## Problem 1 (10+10+10 points):

The matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{array}\right)$$

has an eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and corresponding eigenvector  $x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (a) What is the other eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  and a corresponding eigenvector  $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ?? \end{pmatrix}$ ?
- (b) *B* is a 2×2 matrix such that  $Bx_k = (1-\lambda_k + \lambda_k^2)x_k$  for the two eigenvectors (k = 1, 2). What is *B*?

(c) What is 
$$A^{3/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
?

#### Solution:

(a) trace(A) =  $3+2=5=\lambda_1+\lambda_2$ , so the other eigenvalue is  $\lambda_2=5-\lambda_1=4$ . To find a corresponding eigenvector, we need to solve

$$(A - 4I)x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1\\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} x_2 = 0$$

By insspection, the second column is minus the first, so a solution is  $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  or any multiple thereof (but you were requested to scale  $x_2$  so that the first component = 1).

(b)  $Bx_k = (1 - \lambda_k + \lambda_k^2)x_k$  is an eigen-equation: *B* has the same eigenvectors as *A* but with the eigenvalues replaced by  $1 - \lambda_k + \lambda_k^2$ . That means that

$$B = I - A + A^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\begin{pmatrix} 11 & 5 \\ 10 & 6 \end{pmatrix}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix}}_{\begin{pmatrix} 11 & 5 \\ 10 & 6 \end{pmatrix}}$$

You could have also solved this by diagonalization:  $B = X \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 \\ 1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2 \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}$ 

where  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is the matrix of eigenvectors, but this may be more work since you have to compute  $X^{-1}$ , unless you happen to remember the formula for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

(c) The key trick, as usual, is that  $A^{3/2}$  multiplies an *eigenvector* (where A acts like a scalar) by  $\lambda^{3/2}$ . So, to apply  $A^{3/2}$  to an arbitrary vector, we just expand that vector in the basis of the eigenvectors and then multiply each term by  $\lambda^{3/2}$ . Here,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -2 \end{pmatrix}}_{x_1} + c_2 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{x_2} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1& 1\\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_X \begin{pmatrix} c_1\\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proceeding by Gaussian elimination, we add twice the first row to the second row to obtain:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}}_{U} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \implies c_2 = 1/3, \ c_1 = 1 - 1/3 = 2/3.$$

(Yes, the answer requires the dread "fractions." Sorry!) Hence

$$A^{3/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \lambda_1^{3/2} x_1 + \frac{1}{3} \lambda_2^{3/2} x_2 = \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{8}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \boxed{\begin{pmatrix} 10/3 \\ 4/3 \end{pmatrix}}$$

# Problem 2 (7+7+7 points):

A is a square matrix such that N(A-I) is spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\2 \end{pmatrix}$  and N(A-5I) is spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\-2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- (a) Without much calculation, you can tell that A is / is not (choose 1) Hermitian because \_\_\_\_\_.
- (b) What is A? You can leave your answer as a **product of matrices and/or matrix inverses** without multiplying/inverting them.
- (c) What is  $e^{A+I}$ ? You can leave your answer as a **product of matrices** and/or matrix inverses without multiplying/inverting them, but your answer should not have exponentials of matrices or infinite series.

#### Solution:

- (a) The two nullspace vectors are eigenvectors of A with  $\lambda = 1$  and 5, respectively, but they are clearly **not orthogonal**, so A is **not** Hermitian.
- (b) From the dimensions of the vectors, A must be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, and we are given two eigenvectors for two eigenvectors. Hence, it is diagonalizable and

$$A = X\Lambda X^{-1} = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right].$$

You weren't required to simplify it further, but it turns out that  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  if you work it all out.

(c)  $e^{A+I}$  has the same eigenvectors as A, with the eigenvalues replaced by  $\lambda \to e^{\lambda+1}$ . So, we can again use the diagonalization

$$e^{A+I} = \boxed{\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} e^2 \\ e^6 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{array}\right)^{-1}}.$$

### Problem 3 (4+4+4+4+4+4 points):

For each of the following, say whether it **must** be true, it **may** be true, or it **cannot** be true. No justification needed.

- (a) If a matrix is diagonalizable, it **must/may/cannot** have orthogonal eigenvectors.
- (b) M is a Markov matrix. If M<sup>n</sup>x converges to a steady state as n → ∞ for any vector x, the M must/may/cannot be a positive Markov matrix (i.e. have all entries > 0).
- (c) If a matrix A is not diagonalizable, then  $det(A \lambda I)$  must/may/cannot have repeated roots.
- (d) If  $A^n x$  goes to zero as  $n \to \infty$  for some x, then A **must/may/cannot** have an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$
- (e) If  $e^{At}x$  goes to zero as  $t \to \infty$  for every x, then A **must/may/cannot** have an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$

(f) If A has an eigenvector 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then it **must/may/cannot** have an eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} -3\\-6\\-9 \end{pmatrix}$ .

#### Solution:

- (a) **May**. (All "normal" matrices  $AA^H = AA^H$ , such as Hermitian matrices, are diagonalizable with orthogonal eigenvectors, but the converse is not true: not all diagonalizable matrices are normal. On the other hand, all diagonalizable matrices are *similar* to normal matrices, so there is *some* change of basis in which their eigenvectors are orthogonal.)
- (b) May. (All positive Markov matrices must yield a steady state—they have a single λ = 1 eigenvalue and all others have |λ| < 1, but the converse is not true: a Markov matrix with zero entries may still have a single |λ| = 1 eigenvalue. On the other hand, although any Markov matrix must have a λ = 1 eigenvalue, it may also have other eigenvalues like λ = -1 that can cause M<sup>n</sup>x to oscillate forever without converging.)
- (c) **Must**. Non-diagonalizable (defective) matrices can only arise when the characteristic polynomial has repeated roots. (The converse is not true, however: a matrix with repeated eigenvalues *may* still be diagonalizable.)
- (d) **May**. Even if there is some  $|\lambda_k| > 1$ , you can still get decaying  $A^n x$  if x is chosen to be an eigenvector  $x_j$  of a different eigenvalue with  $|\lambda_j| < 1$ , or to be a linear combination of such eigenvectors.

- (e) **May**. For  $e^{At}x$  to decay, all of its eigenvalues must have *negative real* parts. This is unrelated to the magnitude  $|\lambda|$ . For example, it could have an eigenvalue  $\lambda = -2$ .
- (f) Must.  $\begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ -6 \\ -9 \end{pmatrix} = -3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , and all nonzero multiples of an eigenvector are also eigenvectors (of the same eigenvalue).

#### Problem 4 (25 points):

Suppose A is a real-symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 3$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 0$ , and  $\lambda_4 = 7$ , with corresponding eigenvectors:

$$x_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, x_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}, x_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\-1\\-1 \\-1 \end{pmatrix}, x_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1\\-1\\1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we construct a sequence of vectors  $y_0, y_1, y_2, \ldots$  where each vector  $y_{k+1}$  in the sequence is computed from the previous vector  $y_k$  by solving

$$(A-2I)y_{k+1} = y_k$$

for  $y_{k+1}$ . If  $y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , give a good approximation for  $y_{100}$ .

#### Solution:

Rearranging, we have  $y_{k+1} = (A - 2I)^{-1}y_k$ , so

$$y_k = (A - 2I)^{-k} y_0.$$

For k = 100, this will be dominated by the largest  $|\lambda|$  eigenvalues of  $(A - 2I)^{-1}$ , but this matrix has the **same eigenvectors** as A with its eigenvalues  $\lambda$  replaced by  $\frac{1}{\lambda-2}$ . So, the eigenvalues of  $(A - 2I)^{-1}$  are

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - 2} = -1, \ \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - 2} = 1, \ \frac{1}{\lambda_3 - 2} = -\frac{1}{2}, \ \text{and} \ \frac{1}{\lambda_4 - 2} = \frac{1}{5}$$

Of these, the largest magnitudes are -1 and +1, which both have magnitude 1, so  $y_{100}$  will be dominated by the  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  terms in the expansion of  $y_0$ . More explicitly, if we expand  $y_0$  in the basis of eigenvectors:

$$y_0 = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + c_3 x_3 + c_4 x_4 \,,$$

then

$$y_{100} = (A - 2I)^{-100} y_0 = (-1)^{100} c_1 x_1 + 1^{100} c_2 x_2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{100} c_3 x_3 + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{100} c_4 x_4 \approx c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2$$

To compute this explicitly, we merely need to compute  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . But A is Hermitian and hence the eigenvectors must be (and are) **orthogonal**, so we just need **orthogonal projection** to compute the coefficients of the basis expansion:

$$c_{1} = \frac{x_{1}^{T}}{x_{1}^{T}x_{1}}y_{0} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{5}{2},$$
  
$$c_{2} = \frac{x_{2}^{T}}{x_{2}^{T}x_{2}}y_{0} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$y_{100} \approx \frac{5}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\3\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

\_

Note that the next biggest term is on the order of  $\frac{1}{2^{100}} \approx 7.9 \times 10^{-31}$ , so this approximation is pretty darn good! Actually, the  $c_3 = 1$  term is the only correction, since  $c_4 = 0$  ( $x_4^T y_0 = 0$ ).