6.003: Signals and Systems

CT Feedback and Control

March 16, 2010

Feedback and Control

Feedback: simple, elegant, and robust framework for control.



Last time: robotic driving.



Feedback and Control

This week: using feedback to enhance performance.

Examples:

- increasing speed and bandwidth
- controlling position instead of speed
- reducing sensitivity to parameter variation
- reducing distortion
- stabilizing unstable systems
 - magnetic levitation
 - inverted pendulum

Op-amps

An "ideal" op-amp has many desireable characteristics.

$$V_{+} \circ + K$$

$$V_{-} \circ V_{o} = K (V_{+} - V_{-})$$

- high speed
- large bandwidth
- high input impedance
- low output impedance
- ...

It is difficult to build a circuit with all of these features.

The gain of an op-amp depends on frequency.



Frequency dependence of LM741 op-amp.

Low-gain at high frequencies limits applications.



Unacceptable frequency response for an audio amplifier.

An ideal op-amp has fast time response.







Determine the step response of an LM741.

System function:

$$K(s) = \frac{\alpha K_0}{s+\alpha}$$

Impulse response:

$$h(t) = \alpha K_0 e^{-\alpha t} u(t)$$

Step response:

$$s(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} h(\tau) d\tau = \int_{0}^{t} \alpha K_{0} e^{-\alpha \tau} d\tau = \left. \frac{\alpha K_{0} e^{-\alpha \tau}}{-\alpha} \right|_{0}^{t} = K_{0} (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) u(t)$$

Parameters:

$$A = K_0 = 2 \times 10^5$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{40} \, \mathrm{s}$$



Performance parameters for real op-amps fall short of the ideal.

Frequency Response: high gain but only at low frequencies.



Step Response: slow by electronic standards.



We can use feedback to improve performance of op-amps.

circuit

6.003 model



Dominant Pole

Op-amps are designed to have a dominant pole at low frequencies: \rightarrow simplifies the application of feedback.



Using feedback to improve performance parameters.





Using feedback to improve performance parameters.



What is the most negative value of the closed-loop pole that can be achieved with feedback?

Open loop system function: $\frac{\alpha K_0}{s+\alpha}$ \rightarrow pole: $s = -\alpha$.

Closed-loop system function: $\frac{\alpha K_0}{s + \alpha + \alpha \beta K_0}$

 \rightarrow pole: $s = -\alpha(1 + \beta K_0)$.

The feedback constant is $0 \le \beta \le 1$.

 \rightarrow most negative value of the closed-loop pole is $s = -\alpha(1 + K_0)$.



Feedback extends frequency response by a factor of $1 + \beta K_0$ ($K_0 = 2 \times 10^5$).



Feedback produces higher bandwidths by **reducing** the gain at low frequencies. It trades gain for bandwidth.



Feedback makes the time response faster by a factor of $1 + \beta K_0$ ($K_0 = 2 \times 10^5$).

$$s(t) = \frac{K_0}{1 + \beta K_0} (1 - e^{-\alpha(1 + \beta K_0)t})u(t)$$

$$\frac{K_0}{1 + \beta K_0} \frac{s(t)}{\beta = 1}$$

$$\beta = 0$$

$$t \text{ [seconds]}$$

Feedback produces faster responses by **reducing** the final value of the step response. It trades gain for speed.



Feedback improves performance parameters of op-amp circuits.

- can extend frequency response
- can increase speed

Performance enhancements are achieved through a reduction of gain.

We wish to build a robot arm (actually its elbow). The input should be voltage v(t), and the output should be the elbow angle $\theta(t)$.

$$v(t) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{robotic} \\ \text{arm} \end{array} \longrightarrow \theta(t) \propto v(t)$$

We wish to build the robot arm with a DC motor.

$$v(t) \longrightarrow \mathsf{DC} \text{ motor} \longrightarrow \theta(t)$$

This problem is similar to the head-turning servo in 6.01!



$$v(t) \longrightarrow \mathsf{DC} \text{ motor} \longrightarrow \theta(t)$$

- 1. $\theta(t) \propto v(t)$
- 2. $\cos \theta(t) \propto v(t)$
- 3. $\theta(t) \propto \dot{v}(t)$
- 4. $\cos\theta(t) \propto \dot{v}(t)$
- 5. none of the above

What is the relation between v(t) and $\theta(t)$ for a DC motor?

To first order, the rotational speed $\dot{\theta}(t)$ of a DC motor is proportional to the input voltage v(t).



First-order model: integrator

$$V \longrightarrow \gamma \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \Theta$$



$$v(t) \longrightarrow \mathsf{DC} \text{ motor} \longrightarrow \theta(t)$$

- 1. $\theta(t) \propto v(t)$
- 2. $\cos \theta(t) \propto v(t)$
- 3. $\theta(t) \propto \dot{v}(t)$
- 4. $\cos\theta(t) \propto \dot{v}(t)$
- 5. none of the above

Use proportional feedback to control the angle of the motor's shaft.



The closed loop system has a single pole at $s = -\alpha\beta\gamma$.



As α increases, the closed-loop pole becomes increasingly negative.

Find the impulse and step response.

The system function is

$$\frac{\Theta}{V} = \frac{\alpha \gamma}{s + \alpha \beta \gamma} \,.$$

The impulse response is

$$h(t) = \alpha \gamma e^{-\alpha \beta \gamma t} u(t)$$

and the step response is therefore

$$s(t) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha\beta\gamma t} \right) u(t).$$



The response is faster for larger values of α . Try it: Demo.

The speed of a DC motor does not change instantly if the voltage is stepped. There is lag due to rotational inertia.

First-order model integrator

Second-order model integrator with lag





Step response of the models:



Analyze second-order model.



For second-order model, increasing α causes the poles at 0 and -p to approach each other, collide at s = -p/2, then split into two poles with imaginary parts.



Increasing the gain α does not increase speed of convergence.











Feedback and Control: Summary

- CT feedback is useful for many reasons. Today we saw two:
- increasing speed and bandwidth
- controlling position instead of speed

Next time we will look at several others:

- reduce sensitivity to parameter variation
- reduce distortion
- stabilize unstable systems
 - magnetic levitation
 - inverted pendulum