Shared Communications Channels

Shared channel, e.g., wireless or cable

- Basic idea in its simplest form: avoid collisions between transmitters – collision occurs if transmissions are concurrent
- Wanted: a communications protocol (“rules of engagement”) that ensures “good performance”
- Nodes may all hear each other perfectly, or not at all, or partially

“Good Performance”: What are the Metrics?

- High utilization
  - Channel capacity is a limited resource → use it efficiently
  - Ideal: use 100% of channel capacity in transmitting packets
  - Waste: idle periods, collisions, protocol overhead
- Fairness
  - Divide capacity equally among requesters
  - But not every node is requesting all the time…
- Bounded wait
  - An upper bound on the wait before successful transmission
  - Important for isochronous communications (e.g., voice/video)
- Dynamism and scalability
  - Accommodate changing number of nodes, ideally without changing implementation of any given node
- Not all protocols do well on all these metrics
Utilization

- Utilization measures the throughput of a channel:
  \[ U_{\text{channel}} = \frac{\text{total throughput over all nodes}}{\text{maximum data rate of channel}} \]
- Example: 10 Mbps channel, four nodes get throughputs of 1, 2, 2 and 3 Mbps. So utilization is \( \frac{1+2+2+3}{10} = 0.8 \).
- \( 0 \leq U \leq 1 \). Utilization can be less than 1 if
  - The nodes have packets to transmit (nodes with packets in their transmit queues are termed backlogged), but the protocol is inefficient.
  - There is insufficient offered load, i.e., there aren’t enough packets to transmit to use the full capacity of the channel.
- With backlogged nodes, perfect utilization is easy: just let one node transmit all the time! But that wouldn’t be fair...

Fairness

- Many plausible definitions. A standard recipe:
  - Measure throughput of nodes \( x_i \) over a given time interval
  - Say that a distribution with lower standard deviation is “fairer” than a distribution with higher standard deviation.
  - Given number of nodes, \( N \), fairness \( F \) is defined as
    \[ F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2}{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2} \]
- \( 1/N \leq F \leq 1 \), where \( F=1/N \) implies single node gets all the throughput and \( F=1 \) implies perfect fairness.
- We’ll see that there is often a tradeoff between fairness and utilization, i.e., fairness mechanisms often impose some overhead, reducing utilization

Channel Sharing Protocols

- Protocol ≡ “rules of engagement” for good performance
  - Known as media access control (MAC) or multiple access control
- Time division
  - Share time “slots” between requesters
  - Prearranged: time division multiple access (TDMA)
  - Not prearranged: contention protocols (e.g., Alohanet).
- Frequency division
  - Give each transmitter its own frequency, receivers choose “station”
  - Cf. lab for PS 6 – use different carrier frequencies & recv filters
- Code division
  - Uses unique orthogonal pseudorandom code for each transmitter
  - Channel adds transmissions to create combined signal
  - Receiver listens to one “dimension” of combined signal using dot product of code with combined signal
  - Not covered in 6.02

Abstraction for Shared Medium

- Time is divided into slots of equal length
- Each node can start transmission of a packet only at the beginning of a time slot
- All packets are of the same size and hence take the same amount of time to transmit, equal to some integral multiple of time slots.
- If the transmissions of two or more nodes overlap, they are said to collide and none of the packets are received correctly. Note that even if the collision involves only part of the packet, the entire packet is assumed to be lost.
- Transmitting nodes can detect collisions, which usually means they’ll retransmit that packet at some later time.
- Each node has a queue of packets awaiting transmission. A node with a non-empty queue is said to be backlogged.
- Depending on context, nodes may hear each other perfectly (eg, Ethernet), or not at all (e.g., satellite ground stations), or partially (e.g., WiFi devices or cell phones). For now, assume all nodes want to send packets to a fixed “master” (eg, base station)
Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA)

• Suppose that there is a centralized resource allocator and a way to ensure time synchronization between the nodes – for example, a cellular base station.

• For $N$ nodes, give each node a unique index in the range $[0,N-1]$. Assume each slot is numbered starting at 0.

• Node $i$ gets to transmit in time slot $t$ if, and only if, $t \mod N = i$. So a particular node transmits once every $N$ time slots.

• No packet collisions! But unused time slots are “wasted”, lowering utilization. Poor when nodes send data in bursts or have different offered loads.

TDMA for GSM Phones

First slot is used in cell phones to contact tower for slot assignment. Tower can determine appropriate timing advance for each user (accounts for varying distance from tower) so that transmissions won’t overlap at the tower.

The Aloha Protocol

• Context: Norm Abramson, Hawaii
  – Developed scheme to connect islands via satellite network

Contest Procotols: Aloha (Simplest Example)

To improve performance when there are burst data patterns or skewed loads, use a contention protocol where allocation is not pre-determined.

Alohanet, designed by Norm Abramson et al. (Hawaii), was a satellite-based data network connecting computers on the Hawaiian islands. One frequency was used to send data to the satellite, which rebroadcast it on a different frequency to be received by all stations.

Stations could only “hear” the satellite, so had to decide independently when it was their turn to transmit.
Collision Success, Idleness, Collisions

- Throughput = Uncollided packets per time interval
- Utilization of the above pic = Throughput / Channel Rate
  \[ \frac{13}{20} = .65 \]

Slotted Aloha

- Aloha protocol – each node independently implements:
  - If a node is backlogged, it sends a packet in the next time slot with probability \( p \).
- Assume (for now) each packet takes exactly one time slot to transmit (slotted Aloha).
- Utilization when \( N \) nodes are backlogged? The probability that exactly one node sends a packet.
  - \( \text{prob(send a packet)} = p \)
  - \( \text{prob(don't send a packet)} = 1 - p \)
  - \( \text{prob(only one sender)} = p(1-p)^{N-1} \)
  - There are \( \binom{N}{1} = N \) ways to choose the one sender

\[
U_{\text{slotted Aloha}} = Np(1 - p)^{N-1}
\]

Maximizing Utilization

To determine maximum:
- set \( \frac{dU}{dp} = 0 \), solve for \( p \).
- Result: \( p = \frac{1}{N} \), so
  \[
  U_{\text{max}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-1}
  \]
- As \( N \to \infty \), \( U_{\text{max}} \to \frac{1}{e} \approx 37\%

Simulation of Slotted Aloha (\( N=10 \))

Utilization = .38, Fairness = .98

Top: success
Bottom: failure
Stabilization: Selecting the Right $p$

- Setting $p = 1/N$ maximizes utilization, where $N$ is the number of backlogged nodes.
- With bursty traffic or nodes with unequal offered loads (aka skewed loads), the number of backlogged is constantly varying.
- Issue: how to dynamically adjust $p$ to achieve maximum utilization?
  - Detect collisions by listening, or by missing acknowledgement
  - Each node maintains its own estimate of $p$
  - If collision detected, too much traffic, so decrease local $p$
  - If success, maybe more traffic possible, so increase local $p$
- "Stabilization" is, in general, the process of ensuring that a system is operating at, or near, a desired operating point.
  - Stabilizing Aloha: finding a $p$ that maximizes utilization as loading changes.

Binary Exponential Backoff

- Decreasing $p$ on collision
  - Estimate of $N$ (# of backlogged nodes) too low, $p$ too high
  - To quickly find correct value use multiplicative decrease:
    - $p \leftarrow p/2$
  - $k$ collisions in a row: $p$ decreased by factor of $2^{-k}$
  - Binary: 2, exponential: $k$, back-off: smaller $p \rightarrow$ more time between tries
- Increasing $p$ on success
  - While we were waiting to send, other nodes may have emptied their queues, reducing their offered load.
  - If increase is too small, slots may go idle
  - Try multiplicative increase: $p \leftarrow min(2*p, 1)$
  - Or maybe just: $p \leftarrow 1$ to ensure no slots go idle

Simulation of Stabilized Aloha

```
$python PS7_stabaloha.py -r -n 10 -t 1000
Utilization = .33, Fairness = .47
```

Some nodes did well

Others didn’t

Node probabilities over time

Y-axis is per-node transmission probability
Bottom panel: per-node throughput
What Went Wrong?

- **Starvation**
  - Too many successive failures $\Rightarrow p$ very small $\Rightarrow$ no xmit attempts
  - Result: significant long-term unfairness
  - Try a reduction rule with a lower bound: $p = \max(p_{\text{max}}, p/2)$
  - Choosing $p_{\text{min}} \ll 1/\max(N)$ seems to work best

- But there’s another problem: capture effect

Limiting the Capture Effect

- **Capture effect**
  - A successful node maintains a high $p$ (avg. near 1)
  - Starves out other nodes for short periods
  - Try an increase rule with an upper bound: $p = \min(p_{\text{max}}, 2p)$

Node Probabilities: $p_{\text{max}}=0.25, p_{\text{min}}=0.01$

Aloha in Pictures: Collisions

- A collision occurs when multiple transmissions overlap in time (even partially)
- Throughput = Uncollided packets per second
- Utilization = Throughput / Channel Rate
Dear Professor Balakrishnan,

I just wanted to let you know how my IAP has been going because I’ve been using a few 6.02 concepts. I’m interning at Quizlet. … I also worked on making a testing tool. I set up a node server to manage many headless browsers (using PhantomJS). I realized that PhantomJS wasn’t built to manage the number of connections I required. Then I noticed that this was similar to the problem of connecting multiple clients to the same router. So I set up a system similar to one of the 6.02 labs in which everyone was assigned a random time to start their connection, over a period of time. When a browser started initialising, I put on a lock that made other browsers attempting to connect wait another random time period. Once the “messy” part of the initialisation was done, I unset the lock in order to allow other clients to connect.

I had to implement various other 6.02ish features like exponential back off, and I’ve also noticed that Node.js is (of course) a very 6.02 type of project. For example, it has a concept of heartbeats.

Best, Chase (Fall 2011 student that sat in the front row and whom you approached at the gym)

Spot Quiz

1. In Aloha, each node maintains a variable, p. What does p represent? [P(x) is “probability of event x”]
   A. P(node being backlogged)
   B. P(backlogged node sends in a timeslot)
   C. P(packet transmission is received correctly)
   D. P(time slot is kept idle)

2. In stabilized Aloha, the value of p never goes below pmin. Why should pmin not be too small?
   A. To increase the utilization
   B. To avoid extreme unfairness
   C. To reduce the problems caused by the “capture effect”
   D. To reduce the number of collisions

3. Slotted Aloha, packet size 1 slot, N backlogged nodes, each node has a fixed p. Calculate: P(collision in a timeslot)

Soln: P(collision) = P(≥2 xmits) = 1 – P(no xmit) – P(1 xmit) = P(no xmit) = (1-p)^N; P(1 xmit) = Np(1-p)^(N-1)
Therefore, P(collision) = 1 - (1-p)^N - Np(1-p)^(N-1).