## **Routing Algorithms**

#### 6.02 Fall 2013 Lecture 20



INTRODUCTION TO EECS II

## DIGITAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ≣ >

#### **Routing Algorithms:**

- Addressing, Forwarding, Routing
- Distance-Vector Algorithms
- Link-State Algorithms



<->→ □→ < ≥→</>

< ∃⇒

Some nodes, some links ...



・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

### Network Model

- node names, i.e. addresses: A, B, C, D
- ▶ link names: ->A (from B or D), ->B (from A, D, or C), etc.



Link costs:  $A \rightarrow B$  is 100,  $D \rightarrow B$  is 40, etc.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ≣ >

Forwarding table: incorrect



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Forwarding table: correct



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Routing table: correct forwarding table with total costs



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Forwarding table: optimal



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Nodes

- know (current) neighbor links and costs (HELLO protocol)
- exchange simple info, repeatedly
- aim to to establish optimal routing ASAP

Basic ideas:

distance-vector algorithms:

maintain/exchange w/neighbors your routing distance tables

link-state algorithms:

memorize and forward neighbor/cost info for every node

- each node maintains its own distance vector, i.e. a list of best available upper bounds for the distance to every known node on the network, as well as its neighbors' distance vectors
- distance vector (or just its updates) are sent to all neighbors regularly
- distance vector updates from neighbors may cause an update of node's own distance vector

Bellman-Ford integration:

if node A is linked directly to node B at cost x, and the cost from node B to node C is not larger than y then the minimal cost from A to C is not larger than  $\ldots$ 

### Distance-Vector: Initialization



#### Distance-Vector: After First Step



### Distance-Vector: After Second Step, or Steady-State



### Distance-Vector Algorithms: Formally

$$cost^+(a, c) = \min_{\substack{\text{neighbor } b}} \{cost(a, b) + cost(b, c)\}$$

- convergence guarantee: if network configuration remain constant, upper bounds of the cost eventually stop increasing (after a time equal to the maximal number of hops in an optimal path)
- optimality guarantee: if network configuration remain constant, upper bounds of the cost eventually beome equal to the true minimal cost
- correctness guarantee: once the upper bounds are exact, forwarding to the neighbor with the minimal cost to the destination is correct and optimal (correctness relies on assuming that all link costs are positive)

- nodes send out info about their links to neighbors, with costs (LSA – link-state advertising)
- nodes forward all LSAs they receive (only once)
- in time equal to the diameter of the network (measured in hops) all nodes will have all LSAs
- once a node has all LSAs from all nodes, it can optimize routing on its own (e.g., using the Dijkstra algorithm)

#### LSA: [node, (neighbor1,cost1), ...,(neighborN,costN)]

(4回) (4回) (4回)

#### Initialize:

- nodeset: [all nodes] (the set of nodes to be processed)
- costs: costs[me]=0, costs[other]=inf (costs to node, upper bounds)
- routes: routes[me]=me, routes[other]=None (forwarding
  table)
- Step (while **nodeset** isnt empty):
  - find u, the node in nodeset with smallest cost[u]
  - remove u from nodeset
  - ► for all neighbors **v** of **u**:

```
if cost[v]>cost[u]+cost(u,v):
    cost[v]=cost[u]+cost(u,v)
    routes[v]=routes[u] if u not me, v if u=me
```

ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト</p>

## Dijkstra's Algorithm: Initialization



## Dijkstra's Algorithm: First Step



# Dijkstra's Algorithm: Second Step



# Dijkstra's Algorithm: Third Step



Parameters:

- N: number of nodes
- L: number of links

Complexity:

- ► finding u: N times: O(log N) each time, total O(N log N)
- updating costs: O(L), since each link appears twice