• Communication network architecture
• Analog channels
• The digital abstraction
• Binary symmetric channels
• Hamming distance
• Channel codes
First, some recreational math related to Lecs 1 and 2

• Fundamental inequality:
\[ \ln(x) \leq x - 1 \quad \text{for } x > 0 \]

with equality only when \( x = 1 \).

\textbf{Proof}: (Sketch LHS and RHS, to begin!)
Equality for \( x=1 \) is obvious. Also, \( \frac{d}{dx} \) of RHS is 1, while \( \frac{d}{dx} \) of LHS is \( \frac{1}{x} \), which is >1 for \( 0 < x < 1 \), and is < 1 for \( x > 1 \).

• So
\[ \log_2(x) \leq (x - 1) \cdot \log_2 e \quad \text{for } x > 0 \]
Preceding inequality enables proofs of several results cited in Lecs 1 and 2

1. Suppose $P=\{p_i\}$ and $Q=\{q_i\}$ are probability distributions, with $\sum_i p_i = 1$ and $\sum_i q_i = 1$. (To avoid annoying special cases, assume $p_i > 0$ and $q_i > 0$ for all $i$.) Then

   $$\sum_i p_i \log \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) \leq \sum_i p_i \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} - 1 \right) = 0$$

or

   $$\sum_i p_i \log \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{"Information Inequality"}$$

with equality if and only if (iff) $q_i = p_i$ for all $i$.

The LHS is known as the Kullback-Leibler divergence of $Q$ from $P$, denoted by $D(P \mid \mid Q)$ --- a measure of the deviation of $Q$ from $P$. (Widely used!) Equivalently,

   $$- \sum_i p_i \log q_i \geq -\sum_i p_i \log p_i$$

with equality iff $q_i = p_i$ for all $i$. We cited this last lecture in showing $L \geq H$. 
More proofs …

2. If \( p_i > 0 \) for precisely \( i = 1 \) to \( N \), then pick \( q_i = 1/N \) in the information inequality to examine the divergence of the uniform distribution \( Q \) from \( P \):

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log (p_i N_i) \geq 0
\]

or equivalently

\[
H = -\sum_i p_i \log p_i \leq \log N
\]

with equality iff \( p_i = 1/N \) for all \( i \).

So, as previously claimed, the uniform distribution has maximum entropy, \( = \log N \)

Etc.
The System, End-to-End

- Original source
  - Digitize (if needed)
  - Source binary digits ("message bits")
- Source coding
- Communication network
- Receiving app/user
  - Render/display, etc.
  - Source decoding

- The rest of 6.02 is about the colored oval
- Simplest network is a single physical communication link
- We’ll start with that, then get to networks with many links
Single Link Communication Model

Original source
- Digitize (if needed)
- Source binary digits ("message bits")
- Source coding
  - Bit stream

End-host devices

Receiving app/user
- Render/display, etc.
- Source decoding
  - Bit stream

Channel Coding (bit error correction)

Mapper + Xmit samples
- Bits
- Signals (Voltagess) over physical link

Recv samples + Demapper
- Bits

Channel Decoding (reducing or removing bit errors)
Network Communication Model
Three Abstraction Layers: Packets, Bits, Signals

Original source
- Digitize (if needed)
  - Source binary digits ("message bits")
- Source coding
  - Bit stream

End-host computers

Receiving app/user
- Render/display, etc.
- Source decoding
  - Bit stream

Packetize

Switch
- LINK
- Switch
- LINK
- Switch
- LINK
- Switch

Packets → Bits → Signals → Bits → Packets
Physical Communication Links are Inherently **Analog**

Analog = continuous-valued, continuous-time

Voltage waveform on a cable  
Light on a fiber, or in free space  
Radio (EM) waves through the atmosphere  
Acoustic waves in air or water  
Indentations on vinyl or plastic  
Magnetization of a disc or tape  
...

6.02 Fall 2013
or … Mud Pulse Telemetry, anyone?!

“This is the most common method of data transmission used by MWD (Measurement While Drilling) tools. Downhole a valve is operated to restrict the flow of the drilling mud (slurry) according to the digital information to be transmitted. This creates pressure fluctuations representing the information. The pressure fluctuations propagate within the drilling fluid towards the surface where they are received from pressure sensors. On the surface, the received pressure signals are processed by computers to reconstruct the information. The technology is available in three varieties - positive pulse, negative pulse, and continuous wave.”

(from Wikipedia)
Digital Signaling: Map Bits to Signals

Key Idea: “Code” or map or modulate the desired bit sequence onto a (continuous-time) analog signal, communicating at some bit rate (in bits/sec).

To help us extract the intended bit sequence from the noisy received signals, we’ll map bits to signals using a fixed set of discrete values. For example, in a bi-level signaling (or bi-level mapping) scheme we use two “voltages”:

- V0 is the binary value “0”
- V1 is the binary value “1”

If V0 = -V1 (and often even otherwise) we refer to this as bipolar signaling.

At the receiver, process and sample to get a “voltage”
- Voltages near V0 would be interpreted as representing “0”
- Voltages near V1 would be interpreted as representing “1”
- If we space V0 and V1 far enough apart, we can tolerate some degree of noise --- but there will be occasional errors!
Bit-In, Bit-Out Model of Overall Path: Binary Symmetric Channel

Suppose that during transmission a “0” is turned into a “1” or a “1” is turned into a “0” with probability p, independently of transmissions at other times.

This is a binary symmetric channel (BSC) --- a useful and widely used abstraction.
BSC models input of “Mapper” to output of “Demapper”

- **Original source**
  - Digitize (if needed)
  - Source binary digits ("message bits")
  - Source coding
  - Bit stream

- **Receiving app/user**
  - Render/display, etc.
  - Source decoding
  - Bit stream

- **Channel Coding** (bit error correction)
  - Mapper + Xmit samples
  - Signals (Voltages) over physical link
  - Recursive samples + Demapper
  - Channel Decoding (reducing or removing bit errors)
Replication Code to reduce decoding error

Replication factor, $n = 1 / \text{code rate}$

Code: Bit b coded as bb...b ($n$ times)
Exponential fall-off (note log scale)
But huge overhead (low code rate)

We can do a lot better!

$$P(\text{decoding error}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \varepsilon^i (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-i} & \text{if } n \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \varepsilon^i (1 - \varepsilon)^{n-i} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{n/2} \varepsilon^{n/2} (1 - \varepsilon)^{n/2} & \text{if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$
The magic of asymptotically error-free transmission at any rate less than Channel Capacity (Shannon)

Shannon showed that one can theoretically transmit information (i.e., message bits) with arbitrarily low error at an average rate \( R < C \) per use of the channel, where \( C \) is the channel capacity.

(He also showed the converse, that transmission at an average rate \( R \geq C \) incurs an error probability that is lower-bounded by some positive number.)

The secret: Encode blocks of \( k \) message bits into \( n \)-bit codewords (with \( k < n \)) so \( R = k / n \), with \( k \) and \( n \) very large.

Encoding blocks of \( k \) message bits into \( n \)-bit codewords to protect against channel errors is an example of channel coding

→ strategic (re)introduction of redundancy
Channel coding and decoding

Original source

Digitize (if needed)

Source binary digits ("message bits")

Source coding

Bit stream

Digital samples

Channel Coding (bit error correction)

Mapper + Xmit samples

Signals (Voltages) over physical link

Recv samples + Demapper

Channel Decoding (reducing or removing bit errors)

Receiving app/user

Render/display, etc.

Source decoding

Bit stream
e.g., capacity of the binary symmetric channel

\[ C = \max_x \left\{ H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) \right\} \]

\[ C = 1 - h(p) \]
We move on now to

Channel Coding
Hamming Distance (HD)

The number of bit positions in which the corresponding bits of two binary strings of the same length are different.

The Hamming Distance (HD) between a valid binary codeword and the same codeword with $e$ errors is $e$.

The problem with having no channel coding is that the two valid codewords ("0" and "1") also have a Hamming distance of 1. So a single-bit error changes a valid codeword into another valid codeword...

What is the Hamming Distance of the replication code?
Idea: Embedding for Structural Separation

Encode so that the codewords are “far enough” from each other that the most likely error patterns don’t transform one codeword to another.

Code: nodes chosen in hypercube + mapping of message bits to nodes.

If we choose $2^k$ out of $2^n$ nodes, it means we can map all $k$-bit message strings in a space of $n$-bit codewords. The code rate is $k/n$. 

*single-bit error may cause 00 to be 10 (or 01)*
If \(d\) is the minimum Hamming distance between codewords, we can **detect all** patterns of \(\leq (d-1)\) bit errors.

If \(d\) is the minimum Hamming distance between codewords, we can **correct all** patterns of \(\left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \right\rfloor\) or fewer bit errors.

e.g. (schematically), a code with minimum HD=5 between two codewords (CWs) has a pair of CWs such that:

\[
[Cw_i] \quad X \quad X \quad X \quad X \quad X \quad [Cw_j]
\]
How to Construct Codes?

Want:

4-bit messages with single-error correction (min HD=3)

How to produce a code, i.e., a set of codewords, with this property?
A Simple Code: Parity Check

• Add a parity bit to message of length $k$ to make the total number of “1” bits even (aka “even parity”).

• If the number of “1”s in the received word is odd, there has been an error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Word</th>
<th>Parity Bit</th>
<th>Received Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Minimum Hamming distance of parity check code is 2
  – Can detect all single-bit errors
  – In fact, can detect all odd number of errors
  – But cannot detect even number of errors
  – And cannot correct any errors
Binary Arithmetic

• Computations with binary numbers in code construction will involve Boolean algebra, or algebra in “GF(2)” (Galois field of order 2), or modulo-2 algebra:

\[
\begin{align*}
0+0 &= 0, \\
1+0 &= 0+1 = 1, \\
1+1 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
0 \times 0 &= 0, \\
0 \times 1 &= 1 \times 0 = 0, \\
1 \times 1 &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

Operations with **vectors** and **matrices** are as with vectors and matrices over real numbers, but now over GF(2) instead. So vector addition, for example, happens component-wise:

\[
[1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] + [1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0] = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1]
\]
Linear Block Codes

Block code: $k$ message bits encoded to $n$ code bits, i.e., each of $2^k$ messages encoded into a unique n-bit combination via a linear transformation, using GF(2) operations:

$$c = d.G$$

$c$ is an $n$-element row vector containing the codeword
$d$ is a $k$-element row vector containing the message
$G$ is the $kxn$ generator matrix

Each codeword bit is a specified linear combination of message bits.

**Key property:** Sum of any two codewords is also a codeword → necessary and sufficient condition for a code to be linear. (So the all-0 codeword has to be in any linear code --- why?)

More on linear block codes in recitation & next lecture!!
Minimum HD of Linear Code

• (n,k) code has rate k/n

• Sometimes written as (n,k,d), where d is the minimum HD of the code.

• The “weight” of a code word is the number of 1’s in it.

• The minimum HD of a linear code is the minimum weight found in its nonzero codewords
Examples: What are \( n, k, d \) here?

\( \{000, 111\} \) \hspace{1cm} (3,1,3). Rate = 1/3.

\( \{0000, 1100, 0011, 1111\} \) \hspace{1cm} (4,2,2). Rate = \( \frac{1}{2} \).

\( \{1111, 0000, 0001\} \) \hspace{1cm} Not linear codes!

\( \{1111, 0000, 0010, 1100\} \)

The HD of a linear code is the number of “1”s in the non-zero codeword with the smallest # of “1”s

\( \begin{array}{cccc}
0000000 & 1100001 & 1100110 & 0000111 \\
0101010 & 1001011 & 1001100 & 0101101 \\
1010010 & 0110011 & 0110100 & 1010101 \\
1111000 & 0011001 & 0011110 & 1111111 \\
\end{array} \)

(7,4,3) code. Rate = 4/7.
(n,k) **Systematic Linear Block Codes**

- Split data into $k$-bit blocks
- Add $(n-k)$ parity bits to each block using $(n-k)$ linear equations, making each block $n$ bits long

![Diagram of systematic linear block code]

- Every linear code can be represented by an equivalent systematic form
- Corresponds to choosing $G = [I | A]$, i.e., the identity matrix in the first $k$ columns
More on channel capacity on the slides that follow

--- whatever part of this we don’t cover in lecture will be OPTIONAL reading!
Mutual Information (Shannon)

\[ I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) \]

How much is our uncertainty about \( X \) reduced by knowing \( Y \)?

Evidently a central question in communication or, more generally, inference.
Evaluating conditional entropy and mutual information

To compute conditional entropy:

\[ H(X \mid Y = y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p(x_i \mid y_j) \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{p(x_i \mid y_j)} \right) \]

\[ H(X \mid Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} H(X \mid Y = y_j) p(y_j) \]

\[ H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y \mid X) \]

\[ = H(Y) + H(X \mid Y) \]

so

\[ I(X;Y) = I(Y;X) \]

mutual information is symmetric
e.g., Mutual information between input and output of binary symmetric channel (BSC)

\[ X \in \{0,1\} \]
Assume 0 and 1 are equally likely

\[ Y \in \{0,1\} \]

With probability \( p \) the input binary digit gets flipped before being presented at the output.

\[
I(X;Y) = I(Y;X) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) \\
= 1 - H(Y | X = 0)p_X(0) - H(Y | X = 1)p_X(1) \\
= 1 - h(p)
\]
Binary entropy function \( h(p) \)

**Heads** (or \( C=1 \)) with probability \( p \)

**Tails** (or \( C=0 \)) with probability \( 1-p \)

\[
H(C) = -p \log_2 p - (1-p) \log_2 (1-p) = h(p)
\]
So **mutual information** between input and output of the **BSC** with equally likely inputs looks like this:

\[ 1 - h(p) \]

For low-noise channel, significant reduction in uncertainty about the input after observing the output.

For high-noise channel, little reduction.
Channel capacity (Shannon)

\[ C = \max I(X;Y) = \max \{ H(X) - H(X \mid Y) \} \]

To characterize the channel, rather than the input and output, define

where the maximization is over all possible distributions of \( X \).

This is the most we can expect to reduce our uncertainty about \( X \) through knowledge of \( Y \), and so must be the most information we can expect to send through the channel on average, per use of the channel.
e.g., capacity of the binary symmetric channel

\[ C = \max \left\{ H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) \right\} \]

Easiest to compute as \( C = \max \left\{ H(Y) - H(Y \mid X) \right\} \), still over all possible probability distributions for \( X \). The second term doesn’t depend on this distribution, and the first term is maximized when 0 and 1 are equally likely at the input. So invoking our mutual information example earlier:

\[ \Rightarrow \quad C = 1 - h(p) \]