

### 6.02 Spring 2011 Lecture \#11

- state machines \& trellises
- path and branch metrics
- Viterbi algorithm: add-compare-select
- hard decisions vs. soft decisions


## Trellis View @ Transmitter

## Finding the Most-likely Path



Given the received parity bits, the receiver must find the mostlikely sequence of transmitter states, i.e., the path through the trellis that minimizes the Hamming distance between the received parity bits and the parity bits the transmitter would have sent had it followed that state sequence.


Send: 111101000110

## Processing $1^{\text {st }}$ pair of parity bits



- Transmitter is known to be in state 00 at start of message.
- If next message bit 0 , next state is 00 , transmit 00
- So receiving 11 means there have been two errors in the channel
- If next message bit is 1 , next state is 10 , transmit 11
- So receiving 11 means there have been no errors in the channel


## Processing $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair of parity bits



- Consider transitions for each possible transmitter state
- Compute Hamming distance between what would have been transmitted and what was actually received $\rightarrow$ indicates number of errors that had to have happened in this case.
- Enter total errors along path in each destination state in next column of the trellis, color transition arc red
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## Processing $3^{\text {rd }}$ pair of parity bits



What if there are two possible paths to a particular state?

- Consider each path separately: compute total errors along each path (e.g., one path to 00 has 5 total errors, the other 2 errors)
- Select path with fewest total errors as the most-likely path
- Steps: add branch metrics, compare total errors, select path


## Branch Metrics, Path Metrics



- The red numbers for HD(xmit,rcvd) are called branch metrics $\rightarrow$ indicate number of errors if this arc was the true path
- The numbers in the trellis boxes are called path metrics
$\rightarrow$ indicate total number of errors along path ending at box
- The red arrows indicate sequence of transmitter states that end at a particular column and state.
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Hmm, at this point all ending states are equally likely, each corresponding to a path with 2 errors. Receiver doesn't (yet) have enough information to decode first 3 message bits.

Can receiver tell anything about the message?

## Survivor Paths



Receiver can make some deductions:
Some earlier states are no longer part of any most-likely path. We can eliminate partial paths leading to those states since they will not be part of the final most-likely path. Do this recursively...
6.02 Spring 2011

## Processing $5^{\text {th }}$ pair of parity bits



When there are "ties" (sum of metrics are the same)

- Make an arbitrary choice about incoming path
- If state is not on most-likely path: choice doesn't matter
- If state is on most-likely path: choice may matter and error correction has failed


## Processing $4^{\text {th }}$ pair of parity bits



The usual:

- Add branch metrics to previous total errors
- Compare paths arriving at destination state
- Select path with smallest total errors as most-likely path


## Processing $6^{\text {th }}$ pair of parity bits



When we reach end of received parity bits:

- Each state's path metric indicates how many errors have happened on most-likely path to state
- Most-likely final state has smallest path metric
- Ties mean end of message uncertain (but survivor paths may merge to a unique path earlier in message)


## Traceback



Use most-likely path to determine message bits, tracing path backwards starting with most-likely end state. In this example, the transmitter states along most-likely path, from left to right:

$$
\underline{10} \underline{0} 1 \underline{10} \underline{1} 1 \underline{0} 1 \underline{0} 0
$$

Message bits from high-order state bit:
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## Hard-decision Branch Metric

- $\mathrm{BM}=$ Hamming distance between expected parity bits and received parity bits
- Compute BM for each transition arc in trellis
- Example: received parity $=00$
- $\operatorname{BM}(00,00)=0$
$\mathrm{BM}(01,00)=1$
$\mathrm{BM}(10,00)=1$
$\operatorname{BM}(11,00)=2$
- Will be used in computing PM[s,i+1] from PM[s,i].
- We'll want most likely BM, which, since we're using Hamming distance, means minimum BM.


## Viterbi Algorithm

- Want: Most likely message sequence
- Have: (possibly corrupted) received parity sequences
- Viterbi algorithm for a given k and r :
- Works incrementally to compute most likely message sequence
- Uses two metrics
- Branch metric: BM(xmit,rcvd) measures likelihood that transmitter sent xmit given that we've received rcvd.
- "Hard decision": use digitized bits, compute Hamming distance between xmit and rcvd. Smaller distance is more likely if BER is small
- "Soft decision": use received voltages (more later...)
- Path metric: PM[s,i] for each state $s$ of the $2^{\mathrm{k}-1}$ transmitter states and bit time $i$ where $0 \leq \mathrm{i}<l$ len(message).
- PM[s,i] = most likely BM(xmit ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}$, received parity) over all message sequences $m$ that leave transmitter in state $s$ at time $i$
- $\mathrm{PM}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}+1]$ computed from $\mathrm{PM}[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}]$ and $\mathrm{p}_{0}[\mathrm{i}], \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}-1}[\mathrm{i}]$
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## Computing PM[s,i+1]

Starting point: we've computed PM[s,i], shown graphically as label in trellis box for each state at time $i$.


Q: What's the most likely state $s$ for the transmitter at time $i$ ?
A: state 00 (smallest PM[s,i])

## Computing PM[s,i+1] cont'd.

Q: If the transmitter is in state $s$ at time $\mathrm{i}+1$, what state(s) could it have been in at time i?

A: For each state s, there are two predecessor states $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the trellis diagram

Example: for state $01, \alpha=10$ and $\beta=11$.
Any message sequence that leaves the transmitter in state $s$ at time $i+1$ must have left the transmitter in state $\alpha$ or state $\beta$ at time i.
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## Computing PM[s,i+1] cont'd.

Formalizing the computation:

$$
\mathrm{PM}[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{i}+1]=\min (\mathrm{PM}[\alpha, \mathrm{i}]+\mathrm{BM}[\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{~s}],
$$

$$
\mathrm{PM}[\beta, \mathrm{i}]+\mathrm{BM}[\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{~s}])
$$

Example:
$\operatorname{PM}[01, \mathrm{i}+1]=\min (\mathrm{PM}[10, \mathrm{i}]+2$,

$$
\mathrm{PM}[11, \mathrm{i}]+1)
$$

$$
=\min (3+2,2+1)=3
$$

Notes:

1) Remember with arc was min; saved arcs will form a path through trellis

2) If both arcs have same sum, break tie arbitrarily (e.g., when computing PM [11,i+1])

## Computing PM[s,i+1] cont'd.

Example cont'd: to arrive in state 01 at time i+1, either

1) The transmitter was in state 10 at time i and the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ message bit was a 0 . If that's the case, the transmitter sent 11 as the parity bits and there were 2 bit errors since we received 00. Total bit errors $=\operatorname{PM}[10, \mathrm{i}]+2=$ 5 OR
2) The transmitter was in state 11 at time i and the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ message bit was a 0 . If that's the case, the transmitter sent 01 as the parity bits and there was 1 bit error since we received 00 Total bit errors $=\mathrm{PM}[11, \mathrm{i}]+1=3$
Which is mostly likely?

## Hard Decisions

- As we receive each bit it's immediately digitized to " 0 " or " 1 " by comparing it against a threshold voltage
- We lose the information about how "good" the bit is: a " 1 " at .9999 V is treated the same as a " 1 " at . 5001 V
- The branch metric used in the Viterbi decoder is the Hamming distance between the digitized received voltages and the expected parity bits
- This is called hard-decision Viterbi decoding
- Throwing away information is (almost) never a good idea when making decisions
- Can we come up with a better branch metric that uses more information about the received voltages?

More Work, Better BER
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## Soft Decisions

- Let's limit the received voltage range to [0.0,1.0]
$-\mathrm{V}_{\text {eff }}=\max \left(0.0, \min \left(1.0, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {received }}\right)\right)$
- Voltages outside this range are "good" 0's or 1's
- Define our "soft" branch metric as the square of the Euclidian distance between received $\mathrm{V}_{\text {eff }}$ and expected voltages

- Soft-decision decoder chooses path that minimizes sum of the squares of the Euclidian distances between received and expected voltages
- Different branch metric but otherwise the same recipe

Code performance


Source: Butman, Deutsch, Miller, "Performance of Concatenated Codes for Deep Space Missions" 6.02 Spring 2011

