

## INTRODUCTION TO EECS II

DIGITAL COMMUNICATIOM SYSTEMS

### 6.02 Spring 2011 Lecture \#19

- addressing, forwarding, routing
- liveness, advertisements, integration
- distance-vector routing
- routing loops, counting to infinity


## Forwarding



- Core function is conceptually simple
- lookup(dst_addr) in routing table returns route (i.e., outgoing link) for packet
- enqueue(packet, link_queue)
- send(packet) along outgoing link
- And do some bookkeeping before enqueue
- Decrement hop limit (TTL); if 0, discard packet
- Recalculate checksum (in IP, header checksum)


## Routing Table Structure



Table @ node B

| Destination | Link (next-hop) | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ROUTE | L1 | 18 |
| B | 'Self' | 0 |  |
| C | L1 | 11 |  |
| D | L2 | 4 |  |
| E | L1 | 16 |  |

## Distance-Vector Routing

- DV advertisement
- Send info from routing table entries: (dest, cost)
- Initially just (self,0)
- DV integration step [Bellman-Ford]
- For each (dest,cost) entry in neighbor's advertisement
- Account for cost to reach neighbor: (dest,my_cost)
- my_cost $=$ cost_in_advertisement + link_cost
- Are we currently sending packets for dest to this neighbor?
- See if link matches what we have in routing table
- If so, update cost in routing table to be my_cost
- Otherwise, is my_cost smaller than existing route?
- If so, neighbor is offering a better deal! Use it..
- update routing table so that packets for dest are sent to this neighbor


## Distributed Routing: A Common Plan

- Determining live neighbors
- Common to both DV and LS protocols
- HELLO protocol (periodic)
- Send HELLO packet to each neighbor to let them know who's at the end of their outgoing links
- Use received HELLO packets to build a list of neighbors containing an information tuple for each link: (timestamp, neighbor addr, link)
- Repeat periodically. Don't hear anything for a while $\rightarrow$ link is down, so remove from neighbor list
- Advertisement step (periodic)
- Send some information to all neighbors
- Used to determine connectivity \& costs to reachable nodes
- Integration step
- Compute routing table using info from advertisements
- Dealing with stale data


## DV Example: round 1


\{'C': (None,0)\} \{'E': (None,0)
Node A: update routes to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}$
Node B: update routes to $A_{A}, C_{C}, D_{D}$
Node C: update routes to $A_{A}, B_{B}, D_{D}, E^{2}$
Node D: update routes to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}},{ }^{\text {E }}$ Node E: update routes to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}$ better route

DV Example: round 2


Node A: update routes to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$ Node B: update routes to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$ Node C: no updates
Node D: update routes to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}$
Node E: update routes to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{C}}$

DV Example: Break a Link


## DV Example: round 3

Node A: no update
Node B: no updates Node C: no updates Node D: no updates Node E: no updates
'A': (None, 0) 'B': (L1,18) 'C': (L1,7), 'D': (L1,22), $E^{\prime}:(\mathrm{L} 1,12)$
\}
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> \{'A': (L1,18), \{'A': (L1,22),
> 'B': (None,0), 'B': (L0,4),
> 'C': (L1,11), 'C': (L1,15)
> 'D': (L2,4), 'D': (None,0)
> 'E': (L1,16) 'E': (L2,13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'E': (L1,16) 'E': (L2,13) }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{{ }^{\prime} A^{\prime}:(L 0,7),\right. & \text { \{'A': }(\mathrm{L} 0,12), \\
\text { 'B': (L1,11), } & \text { 'B': }(\mathrm{L} 0,16), \\
\text { 'C': (None,0), } & \text { 'C': }(\mathrm{L} 0,5), \\
\text { 'D': (L2,15), } & \text { 'D': (L1,13), } \\
\text { 'E': (L3,5) } & \text { 'E': (None,0) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\} \}

## DV Example: round 4

\{'A': (None, $\infty$ ), \{'A': (L1,22),
'B': (None,0), 'B': (L0,4),
'C': (None, $\infty$ ), ${ }^{C} C^{\prime}:(L 1,15)$,
'D': (L2,4), 'D': (None,0)
'E': (None, © $)$ 'E': (L2,13)
\}
'A': (None,0),
'B': (L1,18),
'C': (L1,7),
'D': (L1,22), 'E': (L1,12)
\}
Node A: update cost to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{C}}$
Node B: update routes to $A_{A}, C_{D}, E_{D}$ Node C: update routes to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}}$ Node D: no updates
Node E: update routes to $B_{D}$

When link breaks: eliminate routes that use that link.

## DV Example: round 5



## Correctness \& Performance

- Optimal substructure property fundamental to correctness of both Bellman-Ford and Dijkstra's shortest path algorithms


## - Suppose shortest path from $X$ to $Y$ goes through $Z$ Then, the sub-path from $X$ to $Z$ must be a shortest path.

- Proof of Bellman-Ford via induction on number of walks on shortest (min-cost) paths
- Easy when all costs > 0 and synchronous model (see notes)
- Harder with distributed async model (not in 6.02)
- How long does it take for distance-vector routing protocol to converge?
- Time proportional to largest number of hops considering all the min-cost paths


## DV Example: final state

> \{'A': (L0,19), \{'A': (L1,22),
> 'B': (None,0), 'B': (L0,4),
> 'C': (L2,19), 'C': (L1,15),
> 'D': (L2,4), 'D': (None,0)
> 'E': (L2,17
> 'D': (None,0)


Node A: no update
Node B: no updates Node C: no updates Node D: no updates Node E: no updates
\}
'A': (L0,7), \{'A': (L0,12),

'D': (L2,15), 'D': (L1,13)
'E': (L3,5) \}

## Partitioning the Network



DV Example: round 6


Node A: no updates
Node B: no updates
Node C: update costs to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{E}}$
Node D: update route to $A_{C}, \operatorname{cost}$ to $B_{E}$ Node E: update routes to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{C}}$

\}
\{'A': (L3,17), \{'A': (L1,35),
'B': (L3,22), 'B': (None, $\infty$ ),
'C': (None,0), 'C': (L0,5),
C': (None, ${ }^{\prime}$ C $\quad(L 0,5)$,
$\begin{array}{ll}D^{\prime}:(L 2,15), & \text { 'D': (L1,13), } \\ \mathrm{E}^{\prime}:(\mathrm{L} 3,5) & \text { 'E': (NONe, }\end{array}$

## Counting to Infinity

Nodes C, D, and E each update their costs in response to earlier updates by neighbors. Costs spiral upwards towards $\infty$ !
remove route when cost reaches self.INFINITY

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

- Drop packet when TTL
\{'A': (L2,38), 'B': (None, $\infty$ ), C': (L1,15), D': (None,0) E': (L2,13)
\}

\{'A': (L3,40), \{'A': (L0,22), 'B': (None, $\infty$ ), 'B': (L0,27),
 'D': (L2,15), ‘D': (L1,13), 'E': (L3,5) 'E': (None,0)
\} \}


## Eventual Final State



Eventually all the unreachable nodes are removed from routing table and all routing loops are resolved.

