Improving Performance

- Stop-and-wait protocol too slow
- Throughput = 1 packet per RTT
- 1500 byte pkt, 100 ms RTT
  throughput pegged at 15 KBytes/s
- With packet loss & timeout, throughput even lower
- Solution: Use a *window*
  - Allow W packets outstanding in the network at once (W is called the window size).
  - Overlap transmissions with ACKs

Solution: Use a Sliding Window

- Senders advances the window by 1 for each in-sequence ack it receives
  - i.e., window slides
  - So, idle period reduces
  - Pipelining
- Assume that the window size, W, is fixed and known
  - Later, we will discuss how one might set it
  - W = 3 in the example on the left

Sliding Window in Action

\[ W = 5 \text{ in this example} \]
Sliding Window in Action

Window definition: If window is W, then max number of unacknowledged packets is W
This is a fixed-size sliding window

Issues

- Timeout chosen as before.

- Size of packet buffers
  - At transmitter
    - Buffer holds un-ACKed packets
    - Stop transmitting if buffer space an issue
  - At receiver
    - Buffer holds packets received out-of-order
    - Stop ACKing if buffer space an issue

- Choosing window size W
  - Too small: some links sit idle, throughput less than maximum
  - Too large: additional packets sit in queues, increasing latency without increasing throughput.
  - Lost packets diminish effective window size (transmitter is limiting itself, but packet is no longer in the network).

Sliding Window Implementation

- Transmitter
  - Each packet includes a sequentially increasing sequence number
  - When transmitting, save (xmit time, packet) on un-ACKed list
  - Transmit packets if len(un-ACKed list) ≤ window size W
  - When acknowledgement (ACK) is received from the destination for a particular sequence number, remove the corresponding entry from un-ACKed list
  - Periodically check un-ACKed list for packets sent awhile ago
    - Retransmit, update xmit time in case we have to do it again!
    - “Awhile ago”: xmit time < now − timeout

- Receiver
  - Send ACK for each received packet, reference sequence number
  - Deliver packet payload to application in sequence number order
    - Save delivered packets in sequence number order in local buffer (remove duplicates). Discard incoming packets which have already been delivered (caused by retransmission due to lost ACK).
    - Keep track of next packet application expects. After each reception, deliver as many in-order packets as possible.
Sliding Window: Handling Packet Loss

The receiver has to save packets 3 through 10 until packet 2 arrives, which will allow it to deliver packets 2 through 10 to the application. Note that with this definition of the window protocol, there’s no limit to the number of packets that might arrive out of order.

Sliding Window: Handling Packet Loss

- If we can get “Idle” to 0, will achieve goal
- \( W = \) #packets in window
- \( B = \) rate of slowest (bottleneck) link
- \( RTT = \) avg delay
- If \( W = B \cdot RTT \), path will be fully utilized
  - The “\textit{bandwidth-delay product}”
  - Key concept in transport protocols

Setting the Window Size: Apply Little’s Law

Throughput of Sliding Window Protocol

- If there are no lost packets, protocol delivers \( W \) packets every \( RTT \) seconds, so throughput is limited to \( W/RTT \).
  - Maximum throughput is also limited by rate of bottleneck link (\( B \)): throughput = \( \min(B, W/RTT) \)
- Goal: select \( W \) so that (slowest) links are never idle due to lack of packets
  - Avoid overfilling queues since that increases packet latency and, if timeouts are triggered, possibility of spurious retransmissions.
    - Measured \( RTT \) includes queuing delay = \( RTT_{\text{min}} + Q_{\text{delay}} \)
    - As \( Q_{\text{delay}} \) increases, so does \( W \), which increases \( Q_{\text{delay}} \).
    - Use \( B \cdot RTT_{\text{min}} \) when calculating \( W \)
  - Slightly larger than \( B \cdot RTT_{\text{min}} \) to ensure bottleneck link is busy even if there are packet losses
    - total # of transmissions, \( T \), for successful delivery
      \[
      T = 1 + L(1 + L(1 + \ldots)) = 1 + L + L^2 + \ldots = 1/(1-L)
      \]
      where \( L = 1 \cdot (1 - \text{per link loss}) \) is hops in roundtrip is the round-trip loss rate.
    - Max throughput is \( 1/T = 1-L = (1-p)^{\text{hops in roundtrip}} \).
Example

Q: The sender's window size is 10 packets. At what approximate rate (in packets per second) will the protocol deliver a multi-gigabyte file from the sender to the receiver? Assume that there is no other traffic in the network and packets can only be lost because the queues overflow.

Example

Q: You would like to double the throughput of this sliding window transport protocol. To do so, you can apply one of the following techniques:

a. Double window size W
b. Halve the propagation delay of the links
c. Double the speed of the link between the Switch and Receiver.

For each of the following sender window sizes, list which of the above technique(s), if any, can approximately double the throughput: W=10, W=50, W=30.

“Shouldn’t that be –sin(x)?”