


L12: end to end layer

6.033 Spring 2007

<http://web.mit.edu/6.033>

Slides from many folks

End-to-end layer




Network layer provides best effort service

- Packets may be:
 - Lost
 - Delayed (jitter)
 - Duplicated
 - Reordered
 - ...
- Problem: Inconvenient service for applications
- Solution: Design protocols for E2E modules
 - Many protocols/modules possible, depending on requirements

This lecture: some E2E properties


- At most once
- At least once
 - Exactly once?
- Sliding window
- Case study: TCP
- Tomorrow: Network File System (NFS)

At Least Once




- Sender persistently sends until it receives an ack
- Challenges:
 - Duplicate ACKs
 - What value for timer

Duplicate ACK problem



- Problem: Request 2 is not delivered
 - violates at-least once delivery


Solution: nonce



- Label request and ack with unique identifier that is never re-used

Engineering a nonce

- Use sequence numbers
- Challenges:
 - Wrap around?
 - Failures?



Timer value


- Fixed is bad. RTT changes depending on congestion
 - Pick a value that's too big, wait too long to retransmit a packet
 - Pick a value too small, generates a duplicate (retransmitted packet).
- Adapt the estimate of RTT → adaptive timeout

RTT Measurements

(collected by Caida)

lancelet.caida.org to anala


— rtt
— rtt median-filtered
█ packet loss



Adaptive Timeout: Exponential weighted moving averages


- Samples $S_1, S_2, S_3, ..$
- Algorithm
 - EstimatedRTT = T_0
 - EstimatedRTT = $S + (1 - \alpha) \text{ EstimatedRTT}$
 - where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
- What values should one pick for α and T_0 ?
 - Adaptive timeout is also hard

At Most Once Challenges




- Server shouldn't process req 1
- Server should send result preferably

Idea: remember sequence number




- Server remembers also last few responses

Problem: failures




- Performed request 1 twice!
- How to maintain the last nonce per sender (tombstone)?
 - Write to non-volatile storage?
 - Move the problem? (e.g., different port number)
 - Make probability of mistake small?
- How about exactly once? (Need transactions)

How fast should the sender sends?




- Waiting for acks is too slow
- Throughput is one packet/RTT
 - Say packet is 500 bytes
 - RTT 100ms
 - ✂ → Throughput = 40Kb/s,
Awful!
- Overlap pkt transmission

Send a window of packets



- Assume the receiver is the bottleneck
 - Maybe because the receiver is a slow machine
- Receiver needs to tell the sender when and how much it can send
- The window advances once all previous packets are acked → **too slow**

Sliding Window




- Senders advances the window whenever it receives an ack → **sliding window**
- But what is the right value for the window?

The Right Window Size


- Assume server is bottleneck
 - Goal: make idle time on server zero
 - Assume: server rate is B bytes/s
 - Window size = $B \times \text{RTT}$
 - Danger: sequence number wrap around
- What if network is bottleneck?
 - Many senders?
 - Sharing?
 - Next lecture

“Negative” ACK




- Minimize reliance on timer
- Add sequence numbers to packets
- Send a Nack when the receiver finds a hole in the sequence numbers
- Difficulties
 - Reordering
 - Cannot eliminate acks, because we need to ack the last packet

E2E layer in Internet




The 4-layer Internet model

UDP




Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)

- Connection-oriented
- Delivers bytes at-most-once
- Bidirectional
 - ACKs are piggybacked



TCP header



Closing a TCP connection

