

6.033 – Operating Systems: Threads
Lecture 5
Katrina LaCurts, lacurts@mit.edu

Students: this lecture involved looking at the details of a lot of code. Please see the slides for those implementations (yield(), wait(), yield_wait())

0. Intro

- Today: get rid of assumption that we only have one program per CPU.
- Sharing CPU is a problem because one program can block another

1. Threads

- thread = virtual processor
- API: suspend(), resume()
- need to capture program's state: value of all registers, all of its memory
- Big question: when to suspend/resume a thread?

2. yield()

- command to tell kernel that thread is waiting for an event
- implementation does three things: suspends running thread, chooses new thread to run, resumes new thread
 - data structures: threads table, CPUs table, t_lock
 - suspending current thread: save stack pointer and page-table register
 - choosing a new thread: round-robin fashion until we hit a RUNNABLE thread (perhaps the one that just called yield)
 - resuming new thread: reload state
- all of this happens as an atomic action

3. Condition variables

- allow kernel to notify threads instead of having threads constantly make checks
- "lost notify" problem
 - T1 has lock on buffer, finds it full, releases lock
 - Prior to T1 calling wait, T2 acquires lock, reads message, notifies waiting threads that the buffer is not full
 - ..but T1 is not yet waiting; it was interrupted before it could call wait
- solution: API is wait(cv, lock), not wait(cv).
 - when a thread calls wait, it goes to sleep and releases the lock
- wait implementation
 - requires a different version of yield() -- yield_wait() -- to prevent deadlock
 - yield_wait() releases and re-acquires t_lock in the middle, and must point to a special stack to prevent stack corruption.

4. Preemption

- If a thread never calls yield or wait, it's okay; special hardware will periodically generate an interrupt and forcibly call yield
- ..But what if this interrupt occurs while the CPU is running yield()? Deadlock.
- Solution: hardware mechanism to disable interrupts.

5. Reflection/Summary

- we've enforced modularity on a single machine, assuming that the OS itself is indeed correct
- locks and threads are interesting: we needed them to get bounded buffers to work, but they bring up modularity issues. We had to reason globally about locks.
- to truly enforce modularity, we needed kernel and/or hardware support