Arithmetic Circuits & Multipliers

- Addition, subtraction
- Performance issues
  -- ripple carry
  -- carry bypass
  -- carry skip
  -- carry lookahead
- Multipliers

Reminder: Lab #3 due tonight!
**Signed integers: 2's complement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>-2^{N-1}</th>
<th>2^{N-2}</th>
<th>⋮</th>
<th>⋮</th>
<th>⋮</th>
<th>2^3</th>
<th>2^2</th>
<th>2^1</th>
<th>2^0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

N bits

Range: \(-2^{N-1}\) to \(2^{N-1}-1\)

8-bit 2's complement example:

\[11010110 = -2^7 + 2^6 + 2^4 + 2^2 + 2^1 = -128 + 64 + 16 + 4 + 2 = -42\]

If we use a two’s complement representation for signed integers, the same binary addition mod \(2^n\) procedure will work for adding positive and negative numbers (don’t need separate subtraction rules). The same procedure will also handle unsigned numbers!

By moving the implicit location of “decimal” point, we can represent fractions too:

\[1101.0110 = -2^3 + 2^2 + 2^0 + 2^{-2} + 2^{-3} = -8 + 4 + 1 + 0.25 + 0.125 = -2.625\]
Sign extension

Consider the 8-bit 2’s complement representation of:

\[ 42 = 00101010 \quad -5 = \sim0000101 + 1 \]
\[ = 11111010 + 1 \]
\[ = 11111011 \]

What is their 16-bit 2’s complement representation?

\[ 42 = 00000000000101010 \]
\[ -5 = 1111111111111011 \]

Extend the MSB (aka the “sign bit”) into the higher-order bit positions
Adder: a circuit that does addition

Here’s an example of binary addition as one might do it by “hand”:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1101 \\
1101 \\
+ 0101 \\
\hline
10010
\end{array}
\]

Adding two N-bit numbers produces an (N+1)-bit result

If we build a circuit that implements one column:

we can quickly build a circuit to add two 4-bit numbers...

“Ripple-carry adder”
“Full Adder” building block

\[ S = A \oplus B \oplus C \]

\[
\begin{align*}
C &= ABC + A\overline{B}C + AB\overline{C} + A\overline{B}C \\
&= (\overline{A} + A)BC + (\overline{B} + B)AC + AB(C + C) \\
&= BC + AC + AB
\end{align*}
\]
Subtraction: \( A - B = A + (-B) \)

Using 2’s complement representation: 
\[ -B = \sim B + 1 \]

\( \sim = \) bit-wise complement

So let’s build an arithmetic unit that does both addition and subtraction. Operation selected by control input:
Condition Codes

Besides the sum, one often wants four other bits of information from an arithmetic unit:

Z (zero): result is = 0

N (negative): result is < 0

C (carry): indicates an add in the most significant position produced a carry, e.g.,
1111 + 0001

V (overflow): indicates that the answer has too many bits to be represented correctly by the result width, e.g.,
0111 + 0111

\[ V = A_{N-1} \overline{B_{N-1}} S_{N-1} + A_{N-1} \overline{B_{N-1}} S_{N-1} \]

\[ V = \text{COUT}_{N-1} \oplus \text{CIN}_{N-1} \]

To compare A and B, perform A-B and use condition codes:

**Signed comparison:**
- LT \( \text{NOR} \)
- LE \( \text{Z} + (\text{NOR}) \)
- EQ Z
- NE \( \sim Z \)
- GE \( \sim (\text{NOR}) \)
- GT \( \sim (\text{Z} + (\text{NOR})) \)

**Unsigned comparison:**
- LTU C
- LEU C+Z
- GEU \( \sim C \)
- GTU \( \sim (C+Z) \)
Condition Codes in Verilog

Z (zero): result is = 0

N (negative): result is < 0

C (carry): indicates an add in the most significant position produced a carry, e.g., 1111 + 0001

V (overflow): indicates that the answer has too many bits to be represented correctly by the result width, e.g., 0111 + 0111

```verilog
wire signed [31:0] a,b,s;
wire z,n,v,c;
assign {c,s} = a + b;
assign z = ~|s;
assign n = s[31];
assign v = a[31]^b[31]^s[31]^c;
```

Might be better to use sum-of-products formula for V from previous slide if using LUT implementation (only 3 variables instead of 4).
Modular Arithmetic

The Verilog arithmetic operators (+,-,*) all produce full-precision results, e.g., adding two 8-bit numbers produces a 9-bit result.

In many designs one chooses a “word size” (many computers use 32 or 64 bits) and all arithmetic results are truncated to that number of bits, i.e., arithmetic is performed modulo $2^{\text{word size}}$.

Using a fixed word size can lead to overflow, e.g., when the operation produces a result that’s too large to fit in the word size. One can

- **Avoid** overflow: choose a sufficiently large word size
- **Detect** overflow: have the hardware remember if an operation produced an overflow - trap or check status at end
- **Embrace** overflow: sometimes this is exactly what you want, e.g., when doing index arithmetic for circular buffers of size $2^N$.
- **“Correct”** overflow: replace result with most positive or most negative number as appropriate, aka saturating arithmetic. Good for digital signal processing.
Speed: $t_{PD}$ of Ripple-carry Adder

\[ C_O = AB + AC_I + BC_I \]

Worst-case path: carry propagation from LSB to MSB, e.g., when adding 11...111 to 00...001.

\[ t_{PD} = (N-1)(t_{PD,OR} + t_{PD,AND}) + t_{PD,XOR} \approx \Theta(N) \]

$\Theta(N)$ is read “order N”:
means that the latency of our adder grows at worst in proportion to the number of bits in the operands.

\[ t_{adder} = (N-1)t_{carry} + t_{sum} \]
How about the $t_{PD}$ of this circuit?

Is the $t_{PD}$ of this circuit = $2 \times t_{PD,N-BIT RIPPLE}$?

**Nope!** $t_{PD}$ of this circuit = $t_{PD,N-BIT RIPPLE} + t_{PD,FA}$ !!!

—— Timing analysis is tricky!
## Alternate Adder Logic Formulation

### How to Speed up the Critical (Carry) Path? (How to Build a Fast Adder?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>$C_i$</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$C_o$</th>
<th>Carry status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>delete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>delete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>propagate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>propagate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>propagate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>propagate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>generate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>generate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Generate ($G$) = $AB$**

**Propagate ($P$) = $A \oplus B$**

\[
C_o(G, P) = G + PC_i
\]

\[
S(G, P) = P \oplus C_i
\]

Note: can also use $P = A + B$ for $C_o$
Faster carry logic

Let’s see if we can improve the speed by rewriting the equations for $C_{OUT}$:

$$C_{OUT} = AB + AC_{IN} + BC_{IN}$$

$$= AB + (A + B)C_{IN}$$

$$= G + P \cdot C_{IN}$$

where $G = AB$

$P = A + B$

Actually, $P$ is usually defined as $P = A \oplus B$ which won’t change $C_{OUT}$ but will allow us to express $S$ as a simple function:

$$S = P \cdot C_{IN}$$

module fa(input a, b, cin, output s, cout);
  wire g = a & b;
  wire p = a ^ b;
  assign s = p ^ cin;
  assign cout = g | (p & cin);
endmodule
Virtex II Adder Implementation

LUT: \(A \oplus B\)

\[ Y = A \oplus B \oplus \text{Cin} \]

Dedicated adder logic

1 half-Slice = 1-bit adder
Virtex II Carry Chain

1 CLB = 4 Slices = 2, 4-bit adders
64-bit Adder: 16 CLBs

A[63:0], B[63:0] → Y[63:0]

A[63:60], B[63:60] → Y[64]


CLBs must be in same column
Carry Bypass Adder

Can compute $P$, $G$ in parallel for all bits

Key Idea: if $(P_0 \ P_1 \ P_2 \ P_3)$ then $C_{o,3} = C_{i,0}$

$BP = P_0P_1P_2P_3$
16-bit Carry Bypass Adder

What is the worst case propagation delay for the 16-bit adder?

Assume the following for delay each gate:
- \( P, G \) from \( A, B \): 1 delay unit
- \( P, G, C_i \) to \( C_o \) or Sum for a \( C/S \): 1 delay unit
- 2:1 mux delay: 1 delay unit
Critical Path Analysis

For the second stage, is the critical path:

\[ BP2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad BP2 = 1 \]

Message: Timing analysis is very tricky - Must carefully consider data dependencies for false paths
**Carry Bypass vs Ripple Carry**

Ripple Carry: \[ t_{\text{adder}} = (N-1) \, t_{\text{carry}} + t_{\text{sum}} \]

Carry Bypass: \[ t_{\text{adder}} = 2(M-1) \, t_{\text{carry}} + t_{\text{sum}} + (N/M-1) \, t_{\text{bypass}} \]

\[ N = \text{number of bits being added} \]
\[ M = \text{bypass word size} \]

- **Ripple Adder**
- **Bypass Adder**
Carry Lookahead Adder (CLA)

- Recall that \( C_{OUT} = G + P \cdot C_{IN} \) where \( G = A \& B \) and \( P = A \oplus B \)

- For adding two \( N \)-bit numbers:

\[
C_N = G_{N-1} + P_{N-1}C_{N-1}
\]

\[
= G_{N-1} + P_{N-1}G_{N-2} + P_{N-1}P_{N-2}C_{N-2}
\]

\[
= G_{N-1} + P_{N-1}G_{N-2} + P_{N-1}P_{N-2}G_{N-3} + \ldots + P_{N-1} \ldots P_0C_{IN}
\]

\( C_N \) in only 3 gate delays*:
- 1 for \( P/G \) generation,
- 1 for ANDs,
- 1 for final OR

*assuming gates with \( N \) inputs

- Idea: pre-compute all carry bits as \( f(Gs,Ps,C_{IN}) \)
Carry Lookahead Circuits

\[ C_0 \rightarrow \text{Gate} \rightarrow C_1 \]

\[ C_0 \rightarrow \text{Gate} \rightarrow C_2 \]

\[ C_0 \rightarrow \text{Gate} \rightarrow C_3 \]

\[ C_0 \rightarrow \text{Gate} \rightarrow C_4 \]
The 74182 Carry Lookahead Unit

- high speed carry lookahead generator
- used with 74181 to extend carry lookahead beyond 4 bits
- correctly handles the carry polarity of the 181

Active low example:

\[
C_{n+x} = \overline{G_0 \cdot P_0} + \overline{G_0 \cdot C_n}
\]
\[
= \overline{G_0 \cdot P_0 \cdot G_0 \cdot C_n}
\]
\[
= (G_0 + P_0) \cdot (G_0 + C_n) = G_0 + P_0 C_n
\]

\[C_4 = G_{3:0} + P_{3:0} C_n\]
\[C_{n+y} = C_8 = G_{7:4} + P_{7:4} G_{3:0} + P_{7:4} P_{3:0} C_{i,0} = G_{7:0} + P_{7:0} C_n\]
\[C_{n+z} = C_{12} = G_{11:8} + P_{11:8} G_{7:4} + P_{11:8} P_{7:4} G_{3:0} + P_{11:8} P_{7:4} P_{3:0} C_n\]
\[= G_{11:0} + P_{11:0} C_n\]
Block Generate and Propagate

$G$ and $P$ can be computed for groups of bits (instead of just for individual bits). This allows us to choose the maximum fan-in we want for our logic gates and then build a hierarchical carry chain using these equations:

\[
C_{J+1} = G_{IJ} + P_{IJ}C_I \\
G_{IK} = G_{J+1,K} + P_{J+1,K}G_{IJ} \\
P_{IK} = P_{IJ}P_{J+1,K}
\]

where $I < J$ and $J+1 < K$

“generate a carry from bits $I$ thru $K$ if it is generated in the high-order $(J+1,K)$ part of the block or if it is generated in the low-order $(I,J)$ part of the block and then propagated thru the high part”

Hierarchical building block

P/G generation

1st level of lookahead
8-bit CLA (P/G generation)

From Hennessy & Patterson, Appendix A
8-bit CLA (carry generation)
8-bit CLA (complete)

\[ t_{PD} = \Theta(\log(N)) \]
Unsigned Multiplication

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & A_0 \\
\times & B_3 & B_2 & B_1 & B_0 \\
\hline
A_3B_0 & A_2B_0 & A_1B_0 & A_0B_0 \\
A_3B_1 & A_2B_1 & A_1B_1 & A_0B_1 \\
A_3B_2 & A_2B_2 & A_1B_2 & A_0B_2 \\
+ & A_3B_3 & A_2B_3 & A_1B_3 & A_0B_3 \\
\hline
\end{array} \]

AB_i called a “partial product”

Multiplying N-bit number by M-bit number gives (N+M)-bit result

Easy part: forming partial products
(just an AND gate since B_i is either 0 or 1)
Hard part: adding M N-bit partial products
Combinational Multiplier (unsigned)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
X_3 & X_2 & X_1 & X_0 \\
* & Y_3 & Y_2 & Y_1 & Y_0 \\
\hline
X_3Y_0 & X_2Y_0 & X_1Y_0 & X_0Y_0 \\
+ & X_3Y_1 & X_2Y_1 & X_1Y_1 & X_0Y_1 \\
+ & X_3Y_2 & X_2Y_2 & X_1Y_2 & X_0Y_2 \\
+ & X_3Y_3 & X_2Y_3 & X_1Y_3 & X_0Y_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
Z_7 & Z_6 & Z_5 & Z_4 & Z_3 & Z_2 & Z_1 & Z_0 \\
\end{array}
\]

- Propagation delay \( \sim 2N \)

Partial products, one for each bit in multiplier (each bit needs just one AND gate)

- multiplicand
- multiplier
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Combinational Multiplier (signed!)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
X_3 & X_2 & X_1 & X_0 \\
\times & Y_3 & Y_2 & Y_1 & Y_0 \\
\hline
X_3Y_0 & X_3Y_0 & X_3Y_0 & X_3Y_0 & X_2Y_0 & X_1Y_0 & X_0Y_0 \\
+ & X_3Y_1 & X_3Y_1 & X_3Y_1 & X_2Y_1 & X_1Y_1 & X_0Y_1 \\
+ & X_3Y_2 & X_3Y_2 & X_3Y_2 & X_2Y_2 & X_1Y_2 & X_0Y_2 \\
- & X_3Y_3 & X_3Y_3 & X_2Y_3 & X_1Y_3 & X_0Y_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
Z_7 & Z_6 & Z_5 & Z_4 & Z_3 & Z_2 & Z_1 & Z_0 \\
\end{array}
\]

NB: There are tricks we can use to eliminate the extra circuitry we added...
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2's Complement Multiplication
(Baugh-Wooley)

Step 1: two’s complement operands so high order bit is $-2^{N-1}$. Must sign extend partial products and subtract the last one.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
X3 & X2 & X1 & X0 \\
* & Y3 & Y2 & Y1 & Y0 \\
\hline
X3Y0 & X3Y0 & X3Y0 & X3Y0 & X2Y0 & X1Y0 & X0Y0 \\
+ & X3Y1 & X3Y1 & X3Y1 & X2Y1 & X1Y1 & X0Y1 \\
+ & X3Y2 & X3Y2 & X2Y2 & X1Y2 & X0Y2 \\
- & X3Y3 & X3Y3 & X2Y3 & X1Y3 & X0Y3 \\
\hline
Z7 & Z6 & Z5 & Z4 & Z3 & Z2 & Z1 & Z0
\end{array}
\]

Step 2: don't want all those extra additions, so add a carefully chosen constant, remembering to subtract it at the end. Convert subtraction into add of (complement + 1).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Step 3: add the ones to the partial products and propagate the carries. All the sign extension bits go away!}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
X3Y0 & X2Y0 & X1Y0 & X0Y0 \\
+ & X3Y1 & X2Y1 & X1Y1 & X0Y1 \\
+ & X2Y2 & X1Y2 & X0Y2 \\
+ & X3Y3 & X2Y3 & X1Y3 & X0Y3 \\
+ & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
\]

Step 4: finish computing the constants...

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
X3Y0 & X2Y0 & X1Y0 & X0Y0 \\
+ & X3Y1 & X2Y1 & X1Y1 & X0Y1 \\
+ & X2Y2 & X1Y2 & X0Y2 \\
+ & X3Y3 & X2Y3 & X1Y3 & X0Y3 \\
+ & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
\]

Result: multiplying 2’s complement operands takes just about same amount of hardware as multiplying unsigned operands!

\[\{ -B = \sim B + 1 \]
Baugh Wooley Formulation – The Math

no insight required

Assuming X and Y are 4-bit twos complement numbers:

\[ X = -2^3x_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_i2^i \quad Y = -2^3y_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} y_i2^i \]

The product of X and Y is:

\[ XY = x_3y_32^6 - \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_iy_32^{i+3} - \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_3y_j2^{j+3} + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_iy_j2^{i+j} \]

For twos complement, the following is true:

\[ -\sum_{i=0}^{2} x_i2^i = -2^4 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_i2^i + 1 \]

The product then becomes:

\[ XY = x_3y_32^6 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_iy_32^{i+3} + 2^3 - 2^6 + \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_3y_j2^{j+3} + 2^3 - 2^6 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_iy_j2^{i+j} \]

\[ = x_3y_32^6 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} x_iy_32^{i+3} + \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_3y_j2^{j+3} + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_iy_j2^{i+j} + 2^4 - 2^7 \]

\[ = -2^7 + x_3y_32^6 + (x_2y_3 + x_3y_2)2^5 + (x_1y_3 + x_3y_1 + x_2y_2 + 1)2^4 \]

\[ + (x_0y_3 + x_3y_0 + x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)2^3 + (x_0y_2 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_0)2^2 + 1 \]

\[ + (x_0y_1 + x_1y_0)2^1 + (x_0y_0)2^0 \]
2's Complement Multiplication

\[
x_3 x_2 x_1 x_0 + y_3 y_2 y_1 y_0 + z_3 z_2 z_1 z_0
\]
Multiplication in Verilog

You can use the “*” operator to multiply two numbers:

```verilog
wire [9:0] a, b;
wire [19:0] result = a*b;  // unsigned multiplication!
```

If you want Verilog to treat your operands as signed two’s complement numbers, add the keyword `signed` to your `wire` or `reg` declaration:

```verilog
wire signed [9:0] a, b;
wire signed [19:0] result = a*b;  // signed multiplication!
```

Remember: unlike addition and subtraction, you need different circuitry if your multiplication operands are signed vs. unsigned. Same is true of the `>>>` (arithmetic right shift) operator. To get signed operations all operands must be signed.

To make a signed constant: `10’sh37C`
Multiplication on the FPGA

Hardware multiplier block: two 18-bit twos complement (signed) operands

\[ t_{PD} \approx 10\text{ns} \]

In the XC2V6000: 6 columns of mults, 24 in each column = 144 mults
Sequential Multiplier

Assume the multiplicand (A) has \( N \) bits and the multiplier (B) has \( M \) bits. If we only want to invest in a single \( N \)-bit adder, we can build a sequential circuit that processes a single partial product at a time and then cycle the circuit \( M \) times:

Init: \( P \leftarrow 0 \), load A and B

Repeat \( M \) times {
  \( P \leftarrow P + (B_{\text{LSB}} == 1 ? A : 0) \)
  shift \( P/B \) right one bit
}

Done: \((N+M)\)-bit result in \( P/B \)
Bit-Serial Multiplication

Init: \( P = 0; \) Load \( A,B \)

Repeat \( M \) times {
  Repeat \( N \) times {
    shift \( A,P: \)
    \( A_{\text{msb}} = A_{\text{lsb}} \)
    \( P_{\text{msb}} = P_{\text{lsb}} + A_{\text{lsb}} \cdot B_{\text{lsb}} + C/0 \)
  }
  shift \( P,B: \) \( P_{\text{msb}} = C, \) \( B_{\text{msb}} = P_{\text{lsb}} \)
}

\((N+M)\)-bit result in \( P/B \)
**Combinational Multiplier (unsigned)**

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
X3 & X2 & X1 & X0 \\
\times & Y3 & Y2 & Y1 & Y0 \\
\hline
X3Y0 & X2Y0 & X1Y0 & X0Y0 \\
+ & X3Y1 & X2Y1 & X1Y1 & X0Y1 \\
+ & X3Y2 & X2Y2 & X1Y2 & X0Y2 \\
+ & X3Y3 & X2Y3 & X1Y3 & X0Y3 \\
\hline
Z7 & Z6 & Z5 & Z4 & Z3 & Z2 & Z1 & Z0
\end{array}
\]

- **Multiplicand**
- **Multiplier**

Partial products, one for each bit in multiplier (each bit needs just one AND gate)

\[\text{Propagation delay } \sim 2N\]
Useful building block: **Carrier-Save Adder**

Good for pipelining: delay through each partial product (except the last) is just $t_{PD, AND} + t_{PD, FA}$. No carry propagation time!

Last stage is still a carry-propagate adder (CPA)
Wallace Tree Multiplier

Wallace Tree:
Combine groups of three bits at a time

This is called a 3:2 counter by multiplier hackers: counts number of 1's on the 3 inputs, outputs 2-bit result.

Higher fan-in adders can be used to further reduce delays for large M.

4:2 compressors and 5:3 counters are popular building blocks.

$O(\log_{1.5} M)$
Wallace Tree *
Four Bit Multiplier
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Multiplication by a constant

• If one of the operands is a constant, make it the multiplier (B in the earlier examples). For each “1” bit in the constant we get a partial product (PP) – may be noticeably fewer PPs than in the general case.
  – For example, in general multiplying two 4-bit operands generates four PPs (3 rows of full adders). If the multiplier is say, 12 (4'b1100), then there are only two PPs: 8*A+4*A (only 1 row of full adders).
  – But lots of “1”s means lots of PPs... can we improve on this?

• If we allow ourselves to subtract PPs as well as adding them (the hardware cost is virtually the same), we can re-encode arbitrarily long contiguous runs of “1” bits in the multiplier to produce just two PPs.

\[ \ldots011110\ldots \ = \ \ldots100000\ldots \ - \ \ldots000010\ldots \ = \ \ldots0100\overline{1}0\ldots \]

where \(\overline{1}\) indicates subtracting a PP instead of adding it. Thus we’ve re-encoded the multiplier using 1,0,-1 digits - aka canonical signed digit - greatly reducing the number of additions required.

Idea: If we could use, say, 2 bits of the multiplier in generating each partial product we would **halve the number of columns and halve the latency of the multiplier!**

Booth’s insight: rewrite 2*A and 3*A cases, leave 4*A for next partial product to do!
Booth recoding

On-the-fly canonical signed digit encoding!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B_{K+1}$</th>
<th>$B_K$</th>
<th>$B_{K-1}$</th>
<th>action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>add 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>add $A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>add $A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>add $2*A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>sub $2*A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>sub $A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>sub $A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>add 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “1” in this bit means the previous stage needed to add $4*A$. Since this stage is shifted by 2 bits with respect to the previous stage, adding $4*A$ in the previous stage is like adding $A$ in this stage!
Summary

• Performance of arithmetic blocks dictate the performance of a digital system

• Architectural and logic transformations can enable significant speed up (e.g., adder delay from $O(N)$ to $O(\log_2(N))$

• Similar concepts and formulation can be applied at the system level

• **Timing analysis is tricky**: watch out for false paths!

• Area-Delay trade-offs (serial vs. parallel implementations)
Lab 4 Car Alarm - Design Approach

- Read lab/specifications carefully, use reasonable interpretation
- Use modular design - don’t put everything into labkit.v
- Design the FSM!
  - Define the inputs
  - Define the outputs
  - Transition rules
- Logical modules:
  - fsm.v
  - timer.v // the hardest module!!
  - siren.v
  - fuel_pump.v
- Run simulation on each module!
- Use hex display: show state and time
- Use logic analyzer in Vivado
Car Alarm - Inputs & Outputs

Inputs:
• passenger door switch
• driver door switch
• ignition switch
• hidden switch
• brake pedal switch

Outputs:
• fuel pump power
• status indicator
• siren

Figure 1: System diagram showing sensors (inputs) and actuators (outputs)
Car Alarm – CMOS Implementation

- **Design Specs**
  - Operating voltage 8-18VDC
  - Operating temp: -40°C +65°C
  - Attitude: sea level
  - Shock/Vibration

- **Notes**
  - Protected against 24V power surges
  - CMOS implementation
  - CMOS inputs protected against 200V noise spikes
  - On state DC current <10ma
  - Include T_PASSENGER_DELAY and Fuel Pump Disable
  - System disabled (cloaked) when being serviced.
Debugging Hints – Lab 4

- Implement a warp speed debug mode for the one hz clock. This will allow for viewing signals on the logic analyzer or Modelsim without waiting for 27/25 million clock cycles. Avoids recomplilations.

```verilog
assign warp_speed = sw[6];
always @ (posedge clk) begin
    if (count == (warp_speed ? 3 : 26_999_999)) count <= 0;
    else count <= count +1;
end

assign one_hz = (count == (warp_speed ? 3 : 26_999_999)) ;
```
One Hz Ticks in Modelsim

To create a one hz tick, use the following in the Verilog test fixture:

```verilog
always #5 clk=!clk;
always begin
  #5 tick = 1;
  #10 tick = 0;
  #15;
end

initial begin
  // Initialize Inputs
  clk = 0;
  tick = 0; . . .
```
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For Loops, Repeat Loops in Simulation

integer i;  // index must be declared as integer
integer irepeat;

// this will just wait 10ns, repeated 32x.
// simulation only! Cannot implement #10 in hardware!
    irepeat = 0;
    repeat(32) begin
        #10;
        irepeat = irepeat + 1;
    end

// this will wait #10ns before incrementing the for loop
for (i=0; i<16; i=i+1) begin
    #10;  // wait #10 before increment.
    // @(posedge clk);
    // add to index on posedge
end

// other loops:  forever, while
reg signal_delayed;

always @(posedge clk)
  signal_delayed <= signal;

assign rising_edge = signal && !signal_delayed;
assign falling_edge = !signal && signal_delayed;
Student Comments

• “All very reasonable except for lab 4, Car Alarm. Total pain in the ass. “

• “The labs were incredibly useful, interesting, and helpful for learning. Lab 4 (car alarm) is long and difficult, but overall the labs are not unreasonable.”