Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

6.242, Fall 2004: MODEL REDUCTION *

Take-home test 1 solutions¹

Problem Q1.1

For each of the stetements below, state if it is true or false. For false statements, give a counterexample. For correct statements, give a *brief* sketch of a proof.

(a) If the pair (A, B) is controllable, and A + A' = -BB' then A is a Hurwitz matrix.

True.

Assume the contrary, i.e. that there exists $s \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $\det(sI - A) = 0$. Let $f \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $f \neq 0$ be the corresponding eigenvector. Then Af = sf, $f'A' = \bar{s}f'$, and hence multiplication of A + A' = -BB' by f' on the left and f on the right yields

$$(s+\bar{s})f'f = -f'BB'f$$
, i.e. $2\text{Re}(s)|f|^2 = -|B'f|^2$.

Since $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$ and $|f|^2 > 0$, we conclude that B'f = 0, which contradicts the controllability assumption.

^{*©}A. Megretski, 2004

¹Version of November 8, 2004.

(b) If q(s) is a Hurwitz polynomial of order n, and p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 are polynomials of order n then system with transfer matrix

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} p_1(s)/q(s) & p_2(s)/q(s) \\ p_3(s)/q(s) & p_4(s)/q(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

HAS ORDER NOT LARGER THAN n.

False.

For example, q(s) = s + 1, $p_1(s) = p_4(s) = s - 1$, $p_2(s) = p_3(s) = s + 1$ yields G(s) of order 2.

(c) IF A is a Hurwitz matrix, the columns of a non-square matrix V are (some) eigenvectors of A, and V'V is not a singular matrix then $\hat{A} = (V'V)^{-1}V'AV$ is a Hurwitz matrix as well.

True.

By assumption, AV = VD, where D is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues of A on the diagonal. Hence $\hat{A} = D$ is a Hurwitz matrix.

(d) If A, B, C are matrices of dimensions *n*-by-*n*, *n*-by-1, and 1-by-*n* respectively, and A is a Hurwitz matrix, then there exist a non-singular *n*-by-*n* matrix S such that, for

$$\hat{A} = S^{-1}AS, \quad \hat{B} = S^{-1}B, \quad \hat{C} = CS,$$

THE SOLUTIONS W_o AND W_c OF

$$\hat{A}W_c + W_c\hat{A}' = -\hat{B}\hat{B}', \quad W_o\hat{A} + \hat{A}'W_o = -\hat{C}'\hat{C},$$

ARE EQUAL.

False.

For example, system with n = 1, A = -1, B = 1, C = 0 is controllable but not observable. Hence, even after a change of coordinates, its observability Gramian will be zero, while its controllability Gramian will be positive.

(e) If a proper rational transfer function G = G(s) without poles in the closed right half plane satisfies

$$|G(j\omega) - 1/(1+j\omega)| \le 1$$

For all $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$, then the first Hankel singular number of G is not larger than 1.5.

True.

Since

$$\left\|\frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right\|_{\infty} = \left\|\frac{1}{2}\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right\|_{\infty} = 0.5,$$

we have

$$\left\|G(s) - \frac{1}{2}\right\|_{\infty} = \left\|G(s) - \frac{1}{s+1} + \frac{1}{s+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right\|_{\infty} \le 1 + 0.5 = 1.5.$$

Problem Q1.2

For all a > 0, find Hankel singular numbers of the stable LTI system with transfer matrix

$$G(s) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1/(s+a) & 0\\ 1 & 1/(s+a) \end{array} \right].$$

Answer: $\sigma_1(G) = \sigma_2(G) = 1/2a$.

Indeed, a state space realization of the system is given by

$$A = -aI_2, B = I_2, C = I_2, D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this realization, $W_c = W_o = (1/2a)I_2$. Hence, the only non-zero Hankel singular values of G are $\sigma_1(G) = \sigma_2(G) = 1/2a$.

Problem Q1.3

For all values of $a \in \mathbf{R}$, find L2 gain of the system which maps scalar inputs f(t) into outputs

$$y(t) = \sin(f(t-a)).$$

Answer: the gain equals 1 for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$.

Indeed, since $|\sin(h)| \leq |h|$ for all $h \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $|y(t)| \leq |f(t-a)|$ for all t. In addition, since $|\sin(h)| \leq 1$ for all $h \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $|y(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now, for $a \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \{|f(t)|^{2} - |y(t)|^{2}\}dt =$$
$$\int_{0}^{T} |f(t)|^{2}dt - \int_{-a}^{T-a} |f(t)|^{2} \ge$$
$$-\int_{-a}^{0} |f(t)|^{2}dt,$$

which is bounded from below as $T \to +\infty$. For a < 0 we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \{|f(t)|^{2} - |y(t)|^{2}\}dt =$$
$$\int_{0}^{T} |f(t)|^{2}dt - \int_{-a}^{T-a} |f(t)|^{2} \ge$$
$$-\int_{T}^{T-a} dt = -a,$$

which is also bounded from below as $T \to +\infty$. Hence the L2 gain is not larger than 1. On the other hand, input $f(t) \equiv \delta = \text{const}$ produces system response $y(t) \equiv \sin(\delta) = \text{const}$. Since $\sin(\delta)/\delta \to 1$ as $\delta \to 0$, $\delta \neq 0$, the L2 gain is at least as large as 1.

Problem Q1.4

A, B, C are matrices of dimensions *n*-by-*n*, *n*-by-1, and 1-by-*n* respectively, (A is a Hurwitz matrix),

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} C(I-A)^{-1} \\ C(I-A)^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} (I-A)^{-1}B & (I-A)^{-2}B \end{bmatrix},$$

MATRIX UV is not singular, and

$$CV(sI - (UV)^{-1}UAV)^{-1}(UV)^{-1}UB = 1/s^2.$$

Find $C(I-A)^{-4}B$.

Answer:
$$C(I - A)^{-4}B = 4$$
.
Indeed,
 $\hat{G}(s) = CV(sI - (UV)^{-1}UAV)^{-1}(UV)^{-1}UB = 1/s^2$

is the reduced model of

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$$

obtained using a projection method, in such a way that the linear span of the columns of V includes vectors $(sI - A)^{-k}B$ for s = 1, k = 1, 2, and the linear span of the rows of U includes $C(sI - A)^{-k}$ for s = 1, k = 1, 2. Hence the first 4 moments of G and \hat{G} at s = 1 must be equal. Therefore, $C(I - A)^{-4}B$, as the 4-th moment of G at s = 1 times -1, must be equal to minus the 4-th moment of $\hat{G}(s) = 1/s^2$ at s = 1, i.e. $C(I - A)^{-4}B = -g_3$, where

$$\frac{1}{s^2} = g_0 + g_1(s-1) + g_2(s-1)^2 + g_3(s-1)^3 + O((s-1)^4) \text{ as } s \to 1.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{s^2} = (1 - (s - 1) + (s - 1)^2 - (s - 1)^3 + \dots)^2 = 1 - 2(s - 1) + 3(s - 1)^2 - 4(s - 1)^3 + \dots,$$

we have $C(I - A)^{-4}B = 4$.

Problem Q1.5

A, B, C are matrices of dimensions n-by-n, n-by-1, and 1-by-n respectively, and A is a Hurwitz matrix. It is known that

$$C(I-A)^{-1}B = 0, \ C(I-A)^{-2}B = -1, C(I-A)^{-3}B = 1.$$

Find positive lower bounds for Hankel singular numbers $\sigma_1(G)$ and $\sigma_2(G)$, where $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$.

Answer: $\sigma_1(G) \ge 2$, $\sigma_2(G) \ge 1$ (though better lower bounds can be found).

To get the lower bound for $\sigma_1(G)$, use Theorem 5.5 from lecture notes with s = 1, which implies that

$$W_c \ge W_c^- = 2(I-A)^{-1}BB'(I-A')^{-1}, \quad W_o \ge W_o^- = 2(I-A')^{-1}C'C(I-A)^{-1}$$

Hence

$$\sigma_1(G) \ge \lambda_{\max} (W_c^- W_o^-)^{1/2} = |2C(I-A)^{-2}B| = 2.$$

Getting a lower bound for $\sigma_2(G)$ is more difficult. Let

$$H_0(s) = \sqrt{2} \frac{G(s) - G(1)}{s - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k \frac{\sqrt{2}(s - 1)^k}{(s + 1)^{k+1}}$$

be the expansion of the Laplace transform of the causal part of system response to input $f_0(t) = \sqrt{2}e^t u(-t)$ (Laplace transform $\sqrt{2}/(s-1)$). Note that

$$h_0 = -C(I - A)^{-2}B = 1, \quad h_1 = 2C(I - A)^{-2}B = 2.$$

System response $h_1(t)$ to anti-causal input $f_1(t)$, defined by its Laplace transform $F_1(s) = \sqrt{2}(s+1)/(s-1)^2$, is given by

$$H_1(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{k+1} \frac{\sqrt{2}(s-1)^k}{(s+1)^{k+1}}.$$

Since $\sigma_2(G)$ is not smaller than the minimal (over $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$) value of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |h_k \cos(\alpha) + h_{k+1} \sin(\alpha)|^2,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |h_k|^2 < \infty,$$

a simple calculation shows that $\sigma_2(G) \ge 1$.