

# LECTURE 6

## Last time:

- Kraft inequality
- optimal codes.

## Lecture outline

- Huffman codes

Reading: Scts. 5.5-5.7.

## Kraft inequality

Any instantaneous code  $C$  with code lengths  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m$  must satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^m D^{-l_i} \leq 1$$

Conversely, given lengths  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m$  that satisfy the above inequality, there exists an instantaneous code with these codeword lengths

How do we achieve such a code in a practical fashion?

Make frequent elements short and infrequent one longer.

## Huffman codes

Definition: let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of  $m$  source symbols, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $D$ -ary alphabet. A Huffman code

$C_{Huff} : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{D}^*$  is an optimum instantaneous code in which the  $2 + ((m-2) \bmod (D-1))$  least likely source symbols have the same length and differ only in the last digit

Proposition: for any set of source symbols  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $m$  symbols, it is possible to define a Huffman code for those source symbols

Consider a binary code:

reorder the  $x_i$  in terms of decreasing probability

the two least likely symbols are  $x_{m-1}, x_m$

## Huffman codes for binary $D$

For the code  $C$  to be optimal,  $l(x_i) \geq l(x_j)$   
for  $i \geq j$

for every maximal length codeword  $C(x_i)$   
there must a codeword  $C(x_j)$  that differs  
only in the last bit -otherwise erase one bit  
while still satisfying prefix condition

to satisfy that  $C(x_m)$  and  $C(x_{m-1})$  differ  
only in the last bit: find  $x_i$  such that  $C(x_m)$   
and  $C(x_i)$  differ only in the last bit and if  
 $x_i \neq x_m$ , swap them

repeat with code for symbols  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}$

## How do we construct them?

Find the  $q = 2 + ((m - 2) \bmod (D - 1))$  least likely source symbols  $x_m, \dots, x_{m-q+1}$

Delete these symbols from the set of source symbols and replace them with a single symbol  $y_{m-q}$

Assign  $p(y_{m-q}) = \sum_{i=m-q}^m p(x_i)$

Now we have new set of symbols  $\mathcal{X}'$

Construct a code  $C_{Huff, m-q} : \mathcal{X}' \mapsto \mathcal{D}^*$

Note: could be using arbitrary weight function instead of probability

## **Why does this work?**

Illustrate for binary

## Why does this work?

Amalgamation is not always least likely event  
in  $\mathcal{X}'$

## Why does this work?

Two questions arise:

Why is it enough to now find a Huffman code  $C_{Huffman, m-q}$ ?

Where does the the  $q = 2 + ((m-2) \bmod (D-1))$  come from?

Add one more letter for the  $q$  symbols  $x_m, \dots, x_{m-q}$  with respect to  $C_{Huffman, m-q}$

Average length of code is average length of  $C_{Huffman, m-q}$ , plus  $p(y_{m-q}) = \sum_{i=m-q}^m p(x_i)$

Could we have done better by taking some unused node in  $C_{Huffman, m-q}$  to represent some of the  $x_m, \dots, x_{m-q}$ ? We'll see that this is not possible and it is related to the first question

## Complete trees

Definition: a complete code tree is a finite code tree in which each intermediate node has  $D$  nodes of the next higher order stemming from it

In a complete tree the Kraft inequality is satisfied with equality

## Complete trees

The number of terminal nodes in a complete code tree with alphabet size  $D$  must be of the form  $D + n(D - 1)$

Smallest complete tree has  $D$  terminal nodes

When we replace a terminal node by an intermediate node, we lose one terminal node and gain  $D$  more, for a net gain of  $D - 1$

## Optimal codes and complete trees

Optimal code can be seen as a complete tree with some number  $B$  of unused terminal nodes

By contradiction, if there are incomplete intermediate nodes, nodes of higher order could complete intermediate nodes without adverse effect on length

$B \leq D - 2$ , otherwise we could swap unused terminal nodes to group  $D - 1$  of them, in which case we can altogether eliminate those terminal nodes

## Optimal codes and complete trees

How large is  $B$ ?  $B + m = n(D - 1) + D$  so  $D - 2 - B$  is the remainder of dividing  $m - 2$  by  $D - 1$ , or  $(m - 2) \bmod (D - 1)$

$$B = D - 2 - ((m - 2) \bmod (D - 1))$$

That is why we first group the  $q = 2 + ((m - 2) \bmod (D - 1))$  least likely source symbols

After we have grouped those symbols, a complete tree is needed for the remaining  $m - q$  symbols plus the symbol created by the amalgamation of the least likely  $q$  symbols

Use the fact that  $B + q = D$

$$\begin{aligned} m - q + 1 &= n(D - 1) + D - B - q + 1 \\ &= n(D - 1) + 1 \\ &= (n - 1)(D - 1) + D \end{aligned}$$

## **What happens if the unlikely events change probability?**

Major change may be necessary in the code

Cannot do a good job of coding until all events have been catalogued