Nonlinear Manifold Learning Part One: Background, LLE, IsoMap

6.454 Area One Seminar October 8th 2003 Alexander Ihler

Introduction

Motivation

- Observe high-dimensional data
- Hopefully, a low-dimensional (simple) underlying process
 - Few degrees of freedom
 - Relatively little noise (in observation space)
 - Complex (nonlinear) observation process
- Low-dim process lends structure to the high-dim data
 - how can we access that structure?
- Multivariate examples
 - Image data, spectral coefficients, word co-appearance, gene co-regulation, many more...

Introduction (cont'd)



- Three (simple) examples of manifolds
- All three are two-dim. data embedded in 3D
 - Linear, "S"-shape, "Swiss roll"
- For all three, we would like to recover:
 - That the data is only two-dimensional
 - "Consistent" locations for the data in 2D

Outline

Background

- Principal Component Analysis
- Multidimensional Scaling
 - Principal Coordinate Analysis

Locally Linear Embedding (Roweis and Saul)

IsoMap (Tenenbaum, de Silva, and Langford)

- Original version
- Landmark and Conformal versions

Comparisons

PCA I

- Principal Component Analysis
- Find linear subspace projection *P* which preserves the data locations (under quadratic error)
- Equivalent: find linear subspace projection *P* which leaves largest variance for *PX*

 $\min E[||XJ - PXJ||^{2}]$ = E[XJJ'X' - 2PXJJ'X - PXJJ'X'P']= const - E[PXJJ'X'P]

- *J* is the "centering matrix" (*XJ* is zero-mean)
- Simple eigenvector solution

PCA II

• Eigenvectors =

directions of principal variation

 $\max_{P} PXJJ'X'P'$

• Top q eigenvectors of XJJ'X'

$$V_q = [v_1, \ldots, v_q]$$

is a basis for the *q*-dim subspace

• Locations given by $V'_q X J$



Manifolds



- PCA : works for (a)
- Doesn't do much good for (b) or (c)
 - Linear subspace doesn't explain it well
- What do we mean by "consistent locations"?
 - Preserve local relationships and structure
 - One possibility : preserve distances

Multidimensional Scaling

- Multidimensional scaling (MDS)
 - Given "pre-distances" D (possibly non-Euclidean)
 - Find Euclidean q-dim space which preserves those relationships
 - We'll just concentrate on Euclidean pre-distances; (possibly unknown) locations X in p-dim space
- "preserves" : use \hat{D} = distance in the q-dim space
 - Need to define a cost function
 - STRAIN $\rho(D, \hat{D}) = \|J'(D^2 \hat{D}^2)J\|_F^2$
 - STRESS $\rho(D, \hat{D}) = \|D \hat{D}\|_F^2$
 - SSTRESS $\rho(D, \hat{D}) = \|D^2 \hat{D}^2\|_F^2$

Classical MDS

- STRAIN : $\rho(D, \hat{D}) = \|J'(D^2 \hat{D}^2)J\|_F^2$
 - Solution is given by the eigenstructure of

$$-\frac{1}{2}J'D^2J = J'X'XJ$$

• Top q eigenvectors $W_q = [w_1, \ldots, w_q]$

give locations $Y = W'_q$

• This is *exactly* the same solution as PCA:

$$XJJ'X'v_i = \lambda_i v_i \Rightarrow J'X'XJ(J'X'v_i) = \lambda_i(J'X'v_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad Y = W'_q = V'_qXJ$$

• So, we didn't really get anywhere?

"Local" relationships

- MDS still produced a linear embedding why?
 - Preserved all pairwise distances
- Let's look at one of our examples:



- Nonlinear manifold:
 - local distances (a) make sense
 - but, global distances (b) don't respect the geometry

"Local" relationships

- Two solutions which preserve local structure:
- Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)
 - Change to a local representation (at each point)
 - Base the local rep. on position of neighboring points
- IsoMap
 - Estimate actual (geodesic) distances in p-dim. space
 - Find q-dim representation preserving those distances
- Both rely on the locally flat nature of the manifold
 - How do we find a locality in which this is true?
 - (At least) two possibilities
 - k-nearest-neighbors
 - ε-ball

- Overview
 - Select a local neighborhood
 - Change each point into a coordinate system based on its neighbors
 - Find new (q-dim) coordinates which reproduce these local relationships



$$\tilde{W} = \arg\min_{W} \|x_i - \sum_{j \in \Gamma(i)} W_{ij} x_j\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall_i \sum_j W_{ij} = 1$$

- This has several nice properties
 - Invariant to (local) rotation of all points in $\Gamma(i)$
 - Invariant to (local) scale...
 - Invariant to (local) translations (due to norm. of W)



Find new (q-dim) coordinates which reproduce these local coordinates

$$\tilde{Y} = \arg\min_{Y} \|y_i - \sum_{j \in \Gamma(i)} W_{ij} y_j\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Y\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad YY' = I$$

Or, as the quadratic form
arg min
$$Y'(I - \tilde{W})'(I - \tilde{W})Y$$



Find new (q-dim) coordinates which reproduce these local coordinates

$$\tilde{Y} = \arg\min_{Y} \|y_i - \sum_{j \in \Gamma(i)} W_{ij} y_j\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Y\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad YY' = I$$

Or, as the quadratic form arg min $Y'(I - \tilde{W})'(I - \tilde{W})Y$

This can be solved using the eigenstructure as well:

We want the min. variance directions of $(I - \tilde{W})'(I - \tilde{W})$

1 is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0 (translational invar);

The next q smallest eigenvectors form the coordinates Y

Application

- Does it work?
 - Yes, often
 - When does it fail? Hard to answer this...
- Another method (IsoMap) will be easier to analyze
 - Makes a clear set of assumptions
 - Will help quantify what LLE lacks...



- Recall classical MDS (principal coordinate analysis)
 - Given a set of (all) distance measurements
 - Finds optimal Euclidean-distance reconstruction (assuming cost criterion ρ)
- What we really want:
 - Find distance measurements along manifold (geodesics)
 - Find low-dim reconstruction which also has these geodesic distances
- Under certain conditions, we can obtain this from MDS!
 - Need low-dim geodesics = low-dim Euclidean dist.

Overview

- Select a local neighborhood
- Find estimated geodesic
 distances between all pairs in X
- use classical MDS to find the best *q*-dim. space with these (Euclidean) distances



Find estimated geodesic distances between all pairs in X:



Keep local distances (close to geodesic)

Discard far distances

For far points, we can approximate the geodesic by the shortest path along retained distances: (found e.g. via dynamic programming)

Use classical MDS to find an equivalent low-dim Euclidean space



If the true data comes from a convex set of **R**^q this will recover the true geometry (since geodesic length = Euclidean distance); otherwise it will introduce distortions



Landmark Points to improve efficiency

- Naïve implementation of IsoMap
 - Shortest Path O(n³) (slightly less)
 - Find eigenvectors $O(n^3)$
- Use only a subset of points (m) for transformation
 - Shortest path $< O(m n^2)$
 - Eigenvectors O(m² n)



Original points and reconstruction using landmark points (black)

Conformal IsoMap

Extend to non-isomorphic mappings

 Conformal mappings: preserve orientation but not distance; distance can warp (locally)

(LLE already tries to allow for this)

- Example: fishbowl no isomorphic map to plane
- Solution: a different assumption
 - Assume that data is uniformly distributed in low-dimensional space
 - Use distribution to estimate local distance warp



3D data



IsoMap



Conformal IsoMap

LLE









Difficulties

IsoMap

- When assumptions are violated:
 - Non-convex sets in R^q
 - Non-isomorphic mappings (standard version)
 - Non-uniform distributions (conformal version)

LLE

- Much more difficult to say...
 - No requirement that faraway points stay far
 - Susceptible to "folding"
 - Can see "spider-web" like behavior
 - Hard to tell if this is an artifact or not...

More recent work

- Lots of "LLE-like" solutions that try to fix this:
 - Penalties to align multiple local coordinate systems
 - Adding ideas from (and for) density estimation
- Next week...
- Also: finding mappings
 X to Y, Y to X
 - Supervised learning
 - Re-solve optimization

