Cooperative Strategies and Capacity Theorems for Relay Networks

Desmond Lun

22 November 2004

6.454 Graduate Seminar in Area I

What are relay networks?

- Relay network:
 - multi-terminal network;
 - single pair of terminals wish to communicate (source and destination);
 - all other terminals assist (relays).
- Special case of single relay \rightarrow relay channel.
- Relay channel:
 - first studied by van der Meulen (1971);
 - capacity of the relay channel is an open problem.

What problem is addressed?

- We have little hope of finding the capacity of relay networks.
- So we focus on achievable rates → design particular schemes and assess the rates they achieve.
- Schemes:
 - decode-and-forward (related to multi-antenna transmission);
 - compress-and-forward (related to multi-antenna reception);
 - mixtures of the two.

Model

- T terminals: source is terminal 1, destination is terminal T.
- Network is memoryless and time-invariant.



Upper bound on capacity

• Can get upper bound from cut-set bound for multi-terminal networks:

$$C \le \max_{p_{X_1 X_2 \cdots X_{T-1}}} \min_{\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}} I(X_1 X_{\mathcal{S}}; Y_{\mathcal{S}^c} Y_T | X_{\mathcal{S}^c})$$

• For relay channel:

$$C \le \max_{p_{X_1X_2}} \min(I(X_1; Y_2Y_3 | X_2), I(X_1X_2; Y_3)).$$

Decode-and-forward

- Relays fully decode message and use knowledge of the message to assist the source.
- In a wireless setting: achieves the gains related to multi-antenna transmission.
- Scheme we discuss is due to Xie and Kumar.

• Divide message w into B blocks w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_B of nR bits each, where

$$R < \max_{p_{X_1X_2}} \min(I(X_1; Y_2 | X_2), I(X_1X_2; Y_3)).$$

- Transmission is performed in B+1 blocks using random codewords of length n.
- Rate is $\frac{B \cdot nR}{(B+1)n} = R \frac{B}{B+1}$ bits per use. Arbitrarily close to R for B arbitrarily large.

6.454 Graduate Seminar in Area I

- Code construction:
 - Take joint distribution $p_{X_1X_2}$.
 - For block *b*:
 - * Generate 2^{nR} codewords $x_{2b}^n(v)$, choosing symbols $\{x_{2bi}(v)\}$ independently using p_{X_2} .
 - * Generate 2^{nR} codewords $x_{1b}^n(v, w)$, choosing symbols $\{x_{1bi}(v, w)\}$ independently using $\{p_{X_1|X_2}(\cdot|x_{2bi}(v))\}$.

• *B* = 3:



- After transmission of block b,
 - relay decodes w_b ;
 - destination decodes w_{b-1} .

• Relay decodes w_b reliably if n is large, $\hat{w}_{b-1}^{(2)} = w_{b-1}$, and

 $R < I(X_1; Y_2 | X_2).$

• Destination decodes w_b reliably if n is large, $\hat{w}_{b-1}^{(3)} = w_{b-1}$, and

$$R < I(X_1; Y_3 | X_2) + I(X_2; Y_3) = I(X_1 X_2; Y_3).$$

• There exists a distribution $p_{X_1X_2}$ that satisfies both conditions by assumption.

- Consider two relays.
- Divide message w into B blocks w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_B of nR bits each, where

 $R < \max_{p_{X_1X_2X_3}} \min(I(X_1; Y_2 | X_2X_3), I(X_1X_2; Y_3 | X_3), I(X_1X_2X_3; Y_4)).$

- Transmission is performed in B+2 blocks using random codewords of length n.
- Rate is

$$\frac{B \cdot nR}{(B+2)n} = R \frac{B}{B+2} \text{ bits per use.}$$

• B = 4:



• After transmission of block b, terminal 2 decodes w_b , terminal 3 decodes w_{b-1} , destination decodes w_{b-2} .

• B = 4:



 After transmission of block b, terminal 2 decodes wb, terminal 3 decodes wb-1, destination decodes wb-2.

• Terminal 2 decodes w_b reliably if n is large, $\hat{w}_{b-2}^{(2)} = w_{b-2}$ and $\hat{w}_{b-1}^{(2)} = w_{b-1}$, and $R < I(X_1; Y_2 | X_2 X_3).$

- Terminal 3 decodes w_b reliably if n is large, $\hat{w}_{b-2}^{(3)} = w_{b-2}$ and $\hat{w}_{b-1}^{(3)} = w_{b-1}$, and $R < I(X_1X_2; Y_3|X_3)$.
- Destination decodes w_b reliably if n is large, $\hat{w}_{b-2}^{(4)} = w_{b-2}$ and $\hat{w}_{b-1}^{(4)} = w_{b-1}$, and

$$R < I(X_1 X_2 X_3; Y_4).$$

• There exists a distribution $p_{X_1X_2X_3}$ that satisfies all three conditions by assumption.

- Straightforward to generalize scheme to *T*-terminal relay networks.
- **Theorem 1.** Decode-and-forward achieves any rate up to

$$R_{DF} = \max_{p_{X_1 X_2 \cdots X_{T-1}}} \max_{\pi} \min_{1 \le t \le T-1} I(X_{\pi(1:t)}; Y_{\pi(t+1)} | X_{\pi(t+1:T-1)}).$$

• π is a permutation on T with $\pi(1) := 1$ and $\pi(T) := T$.

6.454 Graduate Seminar in Area I

Decode-and-forward: Sub-optimality

• Requiring the relays to decode can be a severe constraint.

• Consider



Links are independent with unit capacity.

• Capacity is clearly 2 bits per use, but decode-and-forward only achieves 1 bit per use.

Compress-and-forward

- Relays do not decode message and, rather, forward compressed versions of their observations.
- In a wireless setting: achieves the gains related to multi-antenna reception.
- Scheme we discuss is due to Cover and El Gamal (1979) for the single relay network and Kramer et al. for the multiple relay network.

• Divide message w into B blocks w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_B of nR bits each, where

$$R < \max_{p_{X_1} p_{X_2} p_{\hat{Y}_2 | X_2 Y_2}} I(X_1; \hat{Y}_2 Y_3 | X_2)$$

subject to the constraint

$$I(\hat{Y}_2; Y_2 | X_2 Y_3) \le I(X_2; Y_3).$$

- Transmission is performed in B + 1 blocks using random codewords of length n.
- Rate is again $R \cdot B/(B+1)$. Arbitrarily close to R for B arbitrarily large.

- Code construction:
 - Take distributions p_{X_1} , p_{X_2} and $p_{\hat{Y}_2|X_2Y_2}$.
 - For block b:
 - * Generate 2^{nR} codewords $x_{1b}^n(w)$, choosing symbols $\{x_{1bi}(w)\}$ independently using p_{X_1} .
 - * Generate 2^{nR} codewords $x_{2b}^n(v)$, choosing symbols $\{x_{2bi}(v)\}$ independently using p_{X_2} .
 - * ("Quantization" codebook:) Generate $2^{n(R'_2+R_2)}$ codewords $\hat{y}^n_{2b}(v,t,u)$, choosing symbols $\{\hat{y}_{2bi}(v,t,u)\}$ independently using $\{p_{\hat{Y}_2|X_2}(\cdot|x_{2bi}(v))\}$.

• B = 3:



- After transmission of block b,
 - relay encodes to (t_b, v_{b+1}) ,
 - destination decodes v_b , then t_{b-1} , then w_{b-1} .

• Relay encodes to (t_b, v_{b+1}) reliably if n is large and

$R_2 + R'_2 > I(\hat{Y}_2; Y_2 | X_2).$

• Destination decodes (v_b, t_{b-1}, w_{b-1}) reliably if n is large, $\hat{v}_{b-1} = v_{b-1}$,

$$R_2 < I(X_2; Y_3), \qquad R'_2 < I(\hat{Y}_2; Y_3 | X_2), \qquad R < I(X_1; \hat{Y}_2 Y_3 | X_2).$$

• Can find R_2 and R'_2 to satisfy these conditions given that assumption on R is satisfied.

Compress-and-forward: Multiple relays

- Compress-and-forward does not generalize to multiple relays as straightforwardly as decode-and-forward.
- Main complication: Relays forward their observations simultaneously \rightarrow interference at other relays and at destination.
- Kramer et al. deal with complication by allowing for partial decoding at relays of each other's codewords.

Compress-and-forward: Multiple relays

• **Theorem 2.** Compress-and-forward achieves any rate up to

$$R_{CF} = \max_{\substack{p_{X_1} \{ p_{U_t X_t} p_{\hat{Y}_t | U_T X_t Y_t \} \}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}}} I(X_1; \hat{Y}_T Y_T | U_T X_T)$$

where

$$\begin{split} I(\hat{Y}_{\mathcal{S}};Y_{\mathcal{S}}|U_{\mathcal{T}}X_{\mathcal{T}}\hat{Y}_{\mathcal{S}^{c}}Y_{T}) &+ \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} I(\hat{Y}_{t};X_{\mathcal{T} \setminus \{t\}}|U_{\mathcal{T}}X_{t}) \\ &\leq I(X_{\mathcal{S}};Y_{T}|U_{\mathcal{S}}X_{\mathcal{S}^{c}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} I(U_{\mathcal{K}_{m}};Y_{r(m)}|U_{\mathcal{K}_{m}^{c}}X_{r(m)}) \end{split}$$

for all $S \subset T$, all partitions $\{\mathcal{K}_m\}_{m=1}^M$ of S, and all $r(m) \in \{2, 3, \ldots, T\}$ such that $r(m) \notin \mathcal{K}_m$. For r(m) = T, we set $X_T := 0$.

6.454 Graduate Seminar in Area I

Compress-and-forward: Sub-optimality

- Not decoding at relays introduces sub-optimality.
- Consider



Links are independent with unit capacity.

• Capacity is clearly 1 bit per use, but compress-and-forward cannot achieve it in general.

Mixed strategies

- What about mixing decode-and-forward and compress-and-forward?
- Relays can partially decode message and
 - use partial decoding for co-operative transmission,
 - compress and forward the remainder.
- Such a scheme described for the single-relay network by Cover and El Gamal (1979).
- Kramer et al. consider a more restrictive mixed strategy: each relay chooses either decode-and-forward or compress-and-forward \rightarrow achievable rate R_{DCF} .

Wireless setting

• We have

$$\underline{Y}_t = \sum_{s \neq t} \frac{A_{st}}{\sqrt{d_{st}^{\alpha}}} \underline{X}_s + \underline{Z}_t,$$

where

- d_{st} : distance between terminals s and t,
- $\alpha:$ attenuation exponent,
- \underline{X}_s : $n_s imes 1$ complex vector,
- A_{st} : $n_t \times n_s$ complex fading matrix, and
- \underline{Z}_t : $n_t \times 1$ noise vector with i.i.d. circularly-symmetric complex Gaussian entries of unit variance.
- Assume no fading: $A_{st}^{(i,j)}$ constant for all s, t, i, and j.

6.454 Graduate Seminar in Area I

Wireless setting: Single relay

- As relay moves towards source,
 - decode-and-forward achieves capacity,
 - compress-and-forward does not.
- As relay moves towards destination,
 - compress-and-forward achieves capacity,
 - decode-and-forward does not.
- Consistent with multi-antenna interpretation.

Wireless setting: Single relay



Fig. 6. A single relay on a line.



Fig. 7. Rates for a single-relay network with $P_1 = P_2 = 10$ and $\alpha = 2$.

Wireless setting: Multiple relays

- Observation generalizes to multiple relays.
- \bullet If the T terminals form two closely-spaced clusters, then capacity approached by choosing
 - decode-and-forward at terminals close to source, and
 - compress-and-forward at terminals close to destination.

Summary

- Decode-and-forward:
 - Relays fully decode message and use knowledge of the message to assist the source.
 - Achieves the gains related to multi-antenna transmission.
- Compress-and-forward:
 - Relays do not decode message and, rather, forward compressed versions of their observations.
 - Achieves the gains related to multi-antenna reception.