

Lecture 9: Macroscopic Quantum Model

Outline

1. Development of Quantum Mechanics
2. Schrödinger's Equation
 - Free particle
 - With forces
 - With Electromagnetic force
3. Physical meaning of Wavefunction
 - Probability density and Probability Current density
4. Macroscopic Quantum Model

October 2, 2003

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

6.763 2003 Lecture 9



Macroscopic Quantum Model

Superconductivity is a
Quantum Phenomenon on a
macroscopic length scale.



Development of Quantum Mechanics

Free Particle (no forces or potentials)

Wave-like properties

Particle-like properties

$$\mathcal{E} = \hbar\omega$$

frequency

$$\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k}$$

wavenumber

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} m (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m}$$

energy

momentum $\rightarrow \mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$

Planck's constant $\hbar \equiv \frac{h}{2\pi} = 1.0546 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{sec}$

Combine wave and particle properties, to find the dispersion relation:

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$



Schrödinger's Equation (free particle)

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$

Assume that this results from a uniform plane wave solution

$$\psi = \hat{\psi} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$$

Then a good guess of the differential equation that gives the dispersion relation is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi$$

This guess is justified by experimental confirmation; this is *not* a derivation.



Schrödinger's Equation (with forces)

We present a plausibility argument, not a derivation, relating the classical formulation to the quantum formulation.

The energy for a particle in a force is, classically,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} m (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) + V(\mathbf{r})$$

Energy is conserved since the potential is independent of time.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} = m\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} + \frac{d}{dt} V(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= m\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} + \cancel{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(\mathbf{r})} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) V(\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \left(m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} + \nabla V \right) \longrightarrow m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\nabla V \end{aligned}$$



Canonical Momentum & Schrödinger's Equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\nabla V$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\text{canonical momentum}) = -\nabla (\text{generalized potential})$$

$$\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} \qquad V$$

Here, the canonical momentum equals the kinematic momentum; and the generalized potential, the scalar potential.

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + V(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}) + V(\mathbf{r}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underbrace{i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V(\mathbf{r})\psi}_{\text{Schrödinger's Equation}}$$

Schrödinger's Equation

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

6.763 2003 Lecture 9



Rules for Classical to Quantum

1. Write the classical equation of motion in terms of the canonical momentum, \mathbf{p} , and generalized potential, V :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\nabla V.$$

Indeed, this form identifies the precise expressions for \mathbf{p} and V .

2. Use these quantities to write the energy of the system:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} + V.$$

3. Transform the classical expression into a quantum mechanical one by appealing to the Einstein-de Broglie relations. Since Schrödinger's equation is linear, these transformations are

$$\mathcal{E} = \hbar\omega \implies i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

and

$$\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k} \implies -i\hbar\nabla.$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V(\mathbf{r})\psi$$



The wave function Ψ : Real or Complex?

Compare the plane wave solutions for QM and E&M

$$\psi = \hat{\psi} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \text{Re} \left\{ \hat{\mathbf{H}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)} \right\}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V(\mathbf{r})\psi$$

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{H} = 0$$

Ψ must be a complex function; it is not a mathematical convenience.

What does it mean to have a complex wave function?

\mathbf{H} is a real function; it is only a mathematical convenience to consider it a complex function. The real part must be taken.



The physical meaning of the wave function Ψ

The absolute phase of a plane wave should *not* influence the overall physics of a system.

So Max Born hypothesized in ~ 1927 that the square of the magnitude of the wave function Ψ was equal to the *probability* of a quantum mechanical particle to be at the location \mathbf{r} at time t .

$$\wp(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv |\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 = \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

With the normalization condition (particle must be somewhere)

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 1$$



Evolution of Probability

Multiply the S-Eqn by Ψ^* and (S-Eqn)* by Ψ

$$i\hbar\psi^* \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi^*\nabla^2\psi + V\psi^*\psi$$

$$\text{---} \quad -i\hbar\psi \frac{\partial\psi^*}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi\nabla^2\psi^* + V\psi\psi^*$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi\psi^*) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\psi^*\nabla^2\psi - \psi\nabla^2\psi^*)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi\psi^*) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\nabla \cdot (\psi^*\nabla\psi - \psi\nabla\psi^*))$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{\mathcal{P}}$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10cm}}_{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{P}}}$



Probability Current

Therefore we find that the probability

$$\wp(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv |\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 = \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

and the probability current

$$\mathbf{J}_\wp \equiv \frac{\hbar}{2im}(\psi^*\nabla\psi - \psi\nabla\psi^*) = \text{Re} \left\{ \psi^* \frac{\hbar}{im} \nabla\psi \right\}$$

satisfy a continuity relation

$$\frac{\partial \wp}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_\wp$$



Schrödinger's Equation with E&M Fields

For a charged particle, we want the classical equations such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\text{canonical momentum}) = -\nabla(\text{generalized potential})$$

Start with the Lorentz Force Law

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = q (\mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}))$$

and use the vector and scalar potentials

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \quad \text{to find}$$



Canonical Momentum and Energy

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = -\nabla \left(\underbrace{q\phi - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{2m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}}_V \right)$$

where the canonical momentum is

$$\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} + q\mathbf{A}$$

Kinematic momentum

Field momentum

The energy follows from the above to be

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} + \left(q\phi - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{2m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right)$$



Classical to Quantum

The energy can be written as

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2m} (\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}) \cdot (\mathbf{p} - q\mathbf{A}) + q\phi$$

The transition to quantum mechanics is done as before

$$\mathcal{E} \implies i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p} \implies -i\hbar \nabla$$

The S-Eqn becomes

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - q\mathbf{A} \right)^2 \psi + q\phi \psi$$

With probability current

$$\mathbf{J}_{\phi} = \text{Re} \left\{ \psi^* \left(\frac{\hbar}{im} \nabla - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{A} \right) \psi \right\}$$



Macroscopic Quantum Model

1. The wave function describes the whole ensemble of superelectrons such that

$$\Psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = n^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \longrightarrow \text{density}$$

and

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t)\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = N^* \longrightarrow \text{Total number}$$

2. The flow of probability becomes the flow of particles, with the physical current density given by

$$\mathbf{J}_s = q^* \text{Re} \left\{ \Psi^* \left(\frac{\hbar}{im^*} \nabla - \frac{q^*}{m^*} \mathbf{A} \right) \Psi \right\}$$



MQM cont.

3. This macroscopic quantum wavefunction follows

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2m^*} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - q^* \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)^2 \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) + q^* \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

Writing $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sqrt{n^*(\mathbf{r}, t)} e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)}$, we find

$$\mathbf{J}_S = q^* n^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \left(\frac{\hbar}{m^*} \nabla \theta(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{q^*}{m^*} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)$$

The Supercurrent Equation



The Supercurrent Equation

$$\mathbf{J}_s = q^* n^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \left(\frac{\hbar}{m^*} \nabla \theta(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{q^*}{m^*} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)$$

\mathbf{J}_s is a unique physical quantity, but \mathbf{A} and θ are not.

If a new vector and scalar potential are found in another gauge such that

$$\mathbf{A}' \equiv \mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi \qquad \phi' \equiv \phi - \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t}$$

Then $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}'$ $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi'$

and $\theta' = \theta + \frac{q^*}{\hbar} \chi$ $\mathbf{J}_s = q^* n^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \left(\frac{\hbar}{m^*} \nabla \theta'(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{q^*}{m^*} \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)$

\mathbf{B} , \mathbf{E} , and \mathbf{J} are *gauge invariant*, \mathbf{A} , ϕ , and θ are not.

