December 1, 2007each quantity, with choice muse density of this quartity to the number density of photons: number density of this quartity to the number density of photons: $I'HE READING? (24 points)$ Electric Charge: (1) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (ii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (iii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (iv) $\sim 11^{-9}$ (iii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (iii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (v) $\sim 11^{-9}$ (v) either zero or negligible Baryon Number: (1) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (ii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (iii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (v) $\sim 11^{-9}$ (v) anywhere from 10^{-5} to have been about 10 ⁶ . Although the predicted the actual value of 2.7 K. the neutron could decay, but robe absolutely stable.(c) (12 points) The figure below comes from Weinberg's Chapter 5, an The Suffring Neutron-Proton Balance.one assumed to have been about 10 ⁶ . Although the predicted tractal value of 2.7 K. the neutron could decay, but rob have been about 10 ⁶ . Although the predicted uright answer; circle at most 2.)(c) (12 points) The figure below comes from Weinberg's Chapter 5, an The Suffring Neutron-Proton Balance. $\mathbf{y}_{0}^{\mathbf{x}}$ $\mathbf{y}_{$	DO THE READING? (24 points) Baryon Number: (i) $\sim 10^{-20}$ (ii) $\sim 10^{-9}$ (iii) $\sim 10^{-6}$ (iv) ~ 1 (v) anywhere from 10^{-5} to	I A. Alpher and Robert Herman wrote a paper predict- background with a temperature of 5 K. The paper was model that they had developed with George Gamow,Lepton Number: $(i) \sim 10^9$ $(i) \sim 10^9$ $(ii) \sim 1000$ $(iii) \sim 1$ model that they had developed with George Gamow, rse was assumed to have been filled with hot neutrons.Lepton Number: $(iv) \sim 10^{-6}$ $(v) > 10^{-6}$ $(v) > 10^{-6}$	ed and cooled the neutrons underwent beta decay into antineutrinos, until at some point the universe cooled that the point durance found that the the transformed and that the transformed and that the transformed and the transformed and that the transformed and the t	d present abundances of light elements, the ratio of pho- es must have been about 10 ⁹ . Although the predicted 50% FRACTION close to the actual value of 2.7 K, the theory differed	y in two ways. Circle the two correct statements in the sfor each right answer; circle at most 2.) 40% thermal	and Herman assumed that the neutron could decay, but is thought to be absolutely stable. 30%- ere	eory, the universe started with nearly equal densities of nucleosynthesis rons, not all neutrons as Gamow, Alpher, and Herman 20%-	ory, the universe started with mainly alpha particles, not amow, Alpher, and Herman assumed. (Note: an alpha	eus of a helium atom, composed of two protons and two 10 ¹⁰ °K 10 ⁹ °K 10 ⁹ °K 10 ⁸ °K IEMPER	ory, the conversion of neutrons into protons (and vice	nainly through collisions with electrons, positrons, neu-(i) (3 points) During the period labeled "thermal equilibrium," tatrinos, not through the decay of the neutrons.fraction is changing because (choose one):	n about 10 ³ , not 10 ⁹ as Alpher and Herman concluded. (A) The neutron is unstable, and decays into a proton, electric tineutrino with a lifetime of about 1 second.	"Recipe for a Hot Universe," he described the primor- (B) The neutron is unstable, and decays into a proton, electronic decays into a proton, electronic decays into a proton decays into decays into a proton decays into a proton decays into	r. and lepton number. If electric charge is measured in
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	---	---	--	---

6 QUI	2 2 SOLUTIONS, FALL 2007 p. 3	8.28
	(D) Neutrons and protons can be converted from one into through reac- tions such as	
	antineutrino + proton \longleftrightarrow electron + neutron neutrino + neutron \longleftrightarrow positron + proton.	
	(E) Neutrons and protons can be converted from one into the other through reactions such as	
	antineutrino + proton \longleftrightarrow positron + neutron neutrino + neutron \longleftrightarrow electron + proton.	
	(F) Neutrons and protons can be created and destroyed by reactions such	
	as proton + neutrino ↔ positron + antineutrino neutron + antineutrino ↔ electron + positron.	
(ii)	(3 $points$) During the period labeled "neutron decay," the neutron fraction is changing because (choose one):	
	(A) The neutron is unstable, and decays into a proton, electron, and an- tineutrino with a lifetime of about 1 second.	
	(B) The neutron is unstable, and decays into a proton, electron, and an- tineutrino with a lifetime of about 15 seconds.	
	$\overline{(C)}$ The neutron is unstable, and decays into a proton, electron, and antineutrino with a lifetime of about 15 minutes.	
	(D) Neutrons and protons can be converted from one into the other through reactions such as	
	antineutrino + proton \longleftrightarrow electron + neutron neutrino + neutron \longleftrightarrow positron + proton.	
	(E) Neutrons and protons can be converted from one into the other through reactions such as	
	antineutrino + proton \longleftrightarrow positron + neutron neutrino + neutron \longleftrightarrow electron + proton.	
	(F) Neutrons and protons can be created and destroyed by reactions such $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$	
	as proton + neutrino ↔ positron + antineutrino neutron + antineutrino ↔ electron + positron.	

8.286 QUIZ 2 SOLUTIONS, FALL 2007

8.28

- (iii) (3 points) The masses of the neutron and proton are not exactly equal, but instead
- (A) The neutron is more massive than a proton with a rest energy difference of 1.293 GeV (1 GeV = 10^9 eV).
- (B) The neutron is more massive than a proton with a rest energy difference of 1.293 MeV (1 MeV = 10^6 eV).
- (C) The neutron is more massive than a proton with a rest energy difference of 1.293 KeV (1 KeV = 10^3 eV).
- (D) The proton is more massive than a neutron with a rest energy difference of 1.293 GeV.
- (E) The proton is more massive than a neutron with a rest energy difference of 1.293 MeV.
- (F) The proton is more massive than a neutron with a rest energy difference of 1.293 KeV.
- (iv) (3 points) During the period labeled "era of nucleosynthesis," (choose one:)
- (A) Essentially all the neutrons present combine with protons to form helium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time.
- (B) Essentially all the neutrons present combine with protons to form deuterium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time.
- (C) About half the neutrons present combine with protons to form helium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time, and the other half of the neutrons remain free.
- (D) About half the neutrons present combine with protons to form deuterium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time, and the other half of the neutrons remain free.
- (E) Essentially all the protons present combine with neutrons to form helium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time.
- (F) Essentially all the protons present combine with neutrons to form deuterium nuclei, which mostly survive until the present time.

Using $\rho \propto 1/R^6$, this implies that

PROBLEM 2: THE EFFECT OF PRESSURE ON COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION (20 points)

This problem was Problem 2 of Problem Set 6.

(a) This problem is answered most easily by starting from the cosmological formula energy conservation relation can be written by $dU = -p \, dV$. Using the fact that the energy density u is equal to ρc^2 , the for energy conservation, which I remember most easily in the form motivated

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = -p\frac{dV}{dt} \implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\rho c^2 R^3\right) = -p\frac{d}{dt} \left(R^3\right) .$$

we can integrate the equation to give

for some constant β . Rewriting this as

 $\dot{R}=rac{eta}{R^2}\;,$

 $R^2 dR = \beta dt ,$

Setting

$$ho = rac{lpha}{R^6}$$

for some constant α , the conservation of energy formula becomes

t = 0, the constant of integration vanishes. Thus,

the time variable t. Using the standard big bang convention that R = 0 when where the constant of integration has no effect other than to shift the origin of

 $\frac{1}{3}R^3 = \beta t + \text{const}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\alpha c^2}{R^3} \right) = -p \frac{d}{dt} \left(R^3 \right) \;,$$

which implies

$$-3\frac{\alpha c^2}{R^4}\frac{dR}{dt} = -3pR^2\frac{dR}{dt}$$

Thus

$$p = \frac{\alpha c^2}{R^6} = \left[\rho c^2 \right].$$

Alternatively, one may start from the equation for the time derivative of ρ ,

$$\dot{
ho} = -3rac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(
ho + rac{p}{c^2}
ight).$$

(c) We start from the conservation of energy equation in the form

our answer can be changed by changing the arbitrary definition of the notch then R is measured in meters per notch, and the constant of proportionality in not a given variable. If the comoving coordinates are measured in "notches," the constant of proportionality in terms of the constant α that we used in part the function R(t) up to a constant factor." Note that we could have expressed wording of the problem, which states that "You should be able to determine

The arbitrary constant of proportionality in this answer is consistent with the

 $R \propto t^{1/3}$

(a), but there would not really be any point in doing that. The constant α was

take the time derivative to find
$$\dot{\rho}$$
 .

Since $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{R^6}$, we $= -6(R/R)\rho$, and therefore

$$-6rac{\dot{R}}{R}
ho=-3rac{\dot{R}}{R}\left(
ho+rac{p}{c^2}
ight),$$

and therefore

$$p = \rho c^2$$
.

(b) For a flat universe, the Friedmann equation reduces to

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho \; .$$

and therefore

$$-nH
ho = -3H\left(rac{3}{2}
ho
ight)$$

Substituting $\dot{\rho} = -n(\dot{R}/R)\rho$ and $p = (1/2)\rho c^2$, we have

 $\dot{\rho} = -3 \frac{R}{R} \left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2} \right). \label{eq:rho}$

 $n=rac{9}{2}.$

PROBLEM 3: A NEW SPECIES OF MESON (26 points)

(a) Since $kT \gg m_X c^2$, we can treat the X particles as if they were massless, so we can use the thermal equilibrium formula for the number density of massless particles. From the formula sheet, the number density is given by

$$n = g^* \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \frac{(kT)^3}{(\hbar c)^3} .$$

The X is a boson, so g^* is 1 per spin state. There are three species, no additional antiparticles, and only one spin state per species, so $g^* = 3$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} n_X &= 3 \, \frac{1.202}{\pi^2} \, \left(\frac{30 \, \text{MeV}}{6.582 \times 10^{-16} \, \text{eV-s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-5}} \right)^3 \\ &\times \left(\frac{10^6 \, \text{eV} \, 100 \, \text{cm}}{1 \, \text{MeV} \, 1 \, \text{m}} \right)^3 \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} 1.28 \times 10^{42} \, \text{m}^{-3} \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

(b) The mass density of a flat radiation-dominated universe does not depend on the number of particle species present, but is always given by

$$\rho = \frac{3}{32\pi G t^2} \ ,$$

as written in the formula sheet. Numerically,

$$\rho = \frac{3}{32\pi \times 6.673 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^3 \text{-g}^{-1} \text{-s}^{-2} \times (10^{-3} \text{ s})^2}$$
$$= \boxed{4.472 \times 10^{11} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}}.$$

(c) There are three sensible ways to attack this problem, so I will show all three. All the methods require that we know the value of g for this range of temperatures. The contribution from the X particles is 3, the same as for g^* , and the contributions from the other particles are

$$\begin{cases} 2 + \frac{21}{4} + \frac{7}{2} = 10\frac{3}{4} \\ + \frac{7}{4} + \frac{7}{2} = 10\frac{3}{4} \end{cases}$$

Thus, for the X's and the other particles together, $g = 13\frac{3}{4}$. The first method equates the mass density found in part (b) to the thermal mass density given by

$$\rho = \frac{u}{c^2} = g \frac{\pi^2}{30} \frac{(kT)^4}{\hbar^3 c^5} \; .$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} sT &= \left[\frac{30\hbar^3 c^5 \rho}{\pi^2 g}\right]^{1/4} \\ &= \left[\frac{30(6.582 \times 10^{-16} \text{ gV}\text{-s})^3 (2.998 \times 10^{10} \text{ gar}\text{-s}\text{-s}^{-f})^5 \times 4.472 \times 10^{11} \frac{g}{\text{em}^3}}{\pi^2 \times (13.75)}\right]^{1/4} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1 \text{ gV}}{1.602 \times 10^{-12} \text{ grg}} \frac{1 \text{ grg}}{1 \text{ g}\text{-gar}^2 \text{-s}^{-2}} \left(\frac{1 \text{ MeV}}{10^6 \text{ gV}}\right)^4\right]^{1/4} \\ &= \left[25.55 \text{ MeV}.\right] \end{split}$$

The second method uses the general formula for the energy density of a flat, radiation-dominated universe. From the formula sheet,

$$\begin{split} kT &= \left[\frac{45\hbar^3 c^5}{16\pi^3 gG}\right]^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &= \left[\frac{45(6.582 \times 10^{-16} \, \text{eV-s})^3 (2.998 \times 10^{10} \, \text{cm-s-r})^5}{16\pi^3 (13.75) (6.673 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{em}^3 \text{-g}^{-1} \text{-s}^{-2})}\right]^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10^{-3} \, \text{g}}} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1 \, \text{eV}}{1.602 \times 10^{-12} \, \text{erg}} \frac{1 \, \text{erg}}{1 \, \text{g}^2 \text{-cm}^2 \text{-s}^{-2}} \left(\frac{1 \, \text{MeV}}{10^6 \, \text{gV}}\right)^4\right]^{1/4} \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c} 25.55 \, \text{MeV} \ . \end{array}\right] \end{split}$$

The final method uses the formula from the formula sheet which is an evaluation of the formula above for the special case of g = 10.75, which applies to the real universe for 106 MeV $\gg kT \gg 0.511$ MeV:

$$kT = \frac{0.860 \text{ MeV}}{\sqrt{t \text{ (in sec)}}} \,.$$

Knowing that the answer is proportional to $1/g^{1/4}$, the formula above can be corrected for g = 13.75:

$$kT = \frac{0.860 \text{ MeV}}{\sqrt{10^{-3}}} \left(\frac{10.75}{13.75}\right)^{1/4}$$
$$= 25.57 \text{ MeV}.$$

Note that unit conversions were crucial to first two methods, but that the right answer can be found by multiplying by one and keeping track of the unit cancellations.

(d) As with the freeze-out of electron-positron pairs, we use entropy to calculate the temperature shifts. When the X's disappear they give essentially all their entropy to the electrons and photons, and none to the neutrinos. Thus, if we let t_i denote a time before the disappearance of the X's, and t_f a time after the disappearance, then

$$\left[R^{3}(s_{X}+s_{\gamma}+s_{e^{+}e^{-}})
ight]_{t_{i}}=\left[R^{3}(s_{\gamma}+s_{e^{+}e^{-}})
ight]_{t_{f}}$$
 ,

while

$$[R^3 s_{\nu}]\big|_{t_i} = [R^3 s_{\nu}]\big|_{t_f} ,$$

where s denotes the entropy density. We know that for each particle species

$$s \propto g T^3$$
,

so the relations above imply that

$$\left[R^{3}(g_{X} + g_{\gamma} + g_{e^{+}e^{-}})T^{3}\right]_{t_{i}} = \left[R^{3}(g_{\gamma} + g_{e^{+}e^{-}})T^{3}_{\gamma}\right]_{t_{f}} , \qquad (1)$$

and

$$[R^{3}g_{\nu}T^{3}]|_{t_{i}} = [R^{3}g_{\nu}T_{\nu}^{3}]|_{t_{f}}$$
(2)

Note that before the freeze-out of the X's all particles were in equilibrium, so they were described by one common temperature T. Afterward, T_{γ} describes the temperature of the photons and e^+e^- pairs, while T_{ν} describes the temperature of the neutrinos. From Eq. (1) we learn that

$$\begin{split} \left[R^{3}T_{\gamma}^{3}\right]\right|_{t_{f}} &= \frac{g_{X} + g_{\gamma} + g_{e^{+}e^{-}}}{g_{\gamma} + g_{e^{+}e^{-}}} \left[R^{3}T^{3}\right]\right|_{t_{i}} \\ &= \frac{3 + 2 + \frac{7}{2}}{2 + \frac{7}{2}} \left[R^{3}T^{3}\right]\right|_{t_{i}} \\ &= \frac{17}{11} \left[R^{3}T^{3}\right]\right|_{t_{i}} , \end{split}$$

while from Eq. (2) we learn that

$$\left[R^{3}T_{\nu}^{3}\right]\big|_{t_{f}} = \left[R^{3}T^{3}\right]\big|_{t_{i}} \ .$$

Thus, it follows that at time t_f (after the disappearance of the X's),

$$T_\gamma = \left(rac{17}{11}
ight)^{1/3} T_
u$$
 ,

or

$$\frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_{\nu}} = \left(\frac{17}{11}\right)^{1/3} .$$

PROBLEM 4: THE STABILITY OF SCHWARZSCHILD ORBITS* (30 points)

From the metric:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}d\tau^{2} = -h(r)c^{2}dt^{2} + h(r)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} + r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

and the convention $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$ we read the nonvanishing metric components:

$$g_{tt} = -h(r)c^2$$
, $g_{rr} = \frac{1}{h(r)}$, $g_{\theta\theta} = r^2$, $g_{\phi\phi} = r^2 \sin^2\theta$. (2)

We are told that the orbit has $\theta = \pi/2$, so on the orbit $d\theta = 0$ and the relevant metric and metric components are:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}d\tau^{2} = -h(r)c^{2}dt^{2} + h(r)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2}, \qquad (3)$$

$$_{t} = -h(r)c^{2}, \quad g_{rr} = \frac{1}{h(r)}, \quad g_{\phi\phi} = r^{2}.$$
 (4)

 g_t

We also know that

$$h(r) = 1 - \frac{R_S}{r} \,. \tag{5}$$

(a) The geodesic equation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\sigma}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{dx^{\lambda}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\sigma}}{d\tau} \,, \tag{6}$$

* Solution by Barton Zwiebach.

$$\begin{aligned} star QUEZ SOLUTIONS, FLL 2007 \qquad p.11 \qquad \text{SSEQUEZ SOLUTIONS, FLL 2007 } p.12 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For the ladex value $\mu = 1$ these form \\ & \frac{d}{dr} \left[p + \frac{dr}{dr}\right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{hhr}{hr} \frac{dr^2}{dr} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{hr}{hr} \frac{dr^2}{hr} = \frac{1}{hr} \frac{hr}{hr} \frac{dr^2}{hr} = \frac{1}{$$

(13)

(16)

(15)

(14)

p. 12

(10)

(11)

(12)

In investigate stability we consider a simal perturbation $\sigma(\tau)$ of the orbit: $r(\tau) = r_0 + \delta r(\tau)$, with $\delta r(\tau) \ll r_0$ at some initial τ . Substituting this into (15) we get, to first nontrivial approximation $\frac{d^2\delta r}{dr^2} = H(r_0 + \delta r) \simeq H(r_0) + \delta r H'(r_0) = \delta r H'(r_0)$, where $H'(r) = \frac{dH(r)}{dr}$ and we used $H(r_0) = 0$ from (16). The resulting equation $\frac{d^2\delta r(\tau)}{dr^2} = H'(r_0) \delta r(\tau)$, (17) is familiar because $H'(r_0)$ is just a number. The condition of stability is that this number is negative: $H'(r_0) < 0$. Indeed, in this case (17) is the harmonic oscillator equation $\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -\omega^2 x$, with replacements $x \leftrightarrow \delta r$, $t \leftrightarrow \tau$, $-\omega^2 \leftrightarrow H'(r_0)$, and the solution describes bounded oscillations. So stability requires: Stability Condition: $H'(r_0) = \frac{d}{dr} \left[f_0(r) + \frac{f_1(r)}{r^4} L^2 \right]_{r=r_0} < 0$. (18) This is the answer to part (d). For students interested in getting the famous result that orbits are stable for $r > 3R_5$ we complete this part of the analysis below. First we evaluate $H'(r_0)$ in (18) using the values of f_0 and f_1 in (12): $H'(r_0) = \frac{d}{dr} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{R_S c^2}{r^2} + \left(\frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{3R_S}{2r^4} \right) L^2 \right]_{r=r_0} = \frac{R_S c^2}{r_0^3} - \frac{3L^2}{r_0^3} (r_0 - 2R_S)$.	
To investigate stability we consider a small perturbation $\delta r(\tau)$ of the orbit:	. <
$r(\tau) = r_0 + \delta r(\tau)$, with $\delta r(\tau) \ll r_0$ at some initial τ .	F
Substituting this into (15) we get, to first nontrivial approximation	
$\frac{d^2 \delta r}{d\tau^2} = H(r_0 + \delta r) \simeq H(r_0) + \delta r H'(r_0) = \delta r H'(r_0) ,$	77
where $H'(r) = \frac{dH(r)}{dr}$ and we used $H(r_0) = 0$ from (16). The resulting equation	
$\frac{d^2\delta r(\tau)}{d\tau^2} = H'(r_0)\delta r(\tau),\tag{17}$	
is familiar because $H'(r_0)$ is just a number. The condition of stability is that this number is negative: $H'(r_0) < 0$. Indeed, in this case (17) is the harmonic oscillator equation	
$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = -\omega^2 x , \text{ with replacements } x \leftrightarrow \delta r, \ t \leftrightarrow \tau , \ -\omega^2 \leftrightarrow H'(r_0) ,$	8
and the solution describes bounded oscillations. So stability requires:	ч
Stability Condition: $H'(r_0) = \frac{d}{dr} \left[f_0(r) + \frac{f_1(r)}{r^4} L^2 \right]_{r=r_0} < 0.$ (18)	
This is the answer to part (d).	Ц
For students interested in getting the famous result that orbits are stable for $r > 3R_S$ we complete this part of the analysis below. First we evaluate $H'(r_0)$ in (18) using the values of f_0 and f_1 in (12):	
$H'(r_0) = \frac{d}{dr} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{R_S c^2}{r^2} + \left(\frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{3R_S}{2r^4} \right) L^2 \right]_{r=r_0} = \frac{R_S c^2}{r_0^3} - \frac{3L^2}{r_0^5} (r_0 - 2R_S).$	
The inequality in (18) then gives us	

8.286 QUIZ 2 SOLUTIONS, FALL 2007

where we multiplied by $r_0^3 > 0$. To complete the calculation we need the value of L^2 for the orbit with radius r_0 . This value is determined by the vanishing of $H(r_0)$:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{R_Sc^2}{r_0^2} + (r_0 - \frac{3}{2}R_S)\frac{L^2}{r_0^4} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{R_Sc^2}{(r_0 - \frac{3}{2}R_S)} \,.$$

Note, incidentally, that the equality to the right demands that for a circular orbit $r_0 > \frac{3}{2}R_S$. Substituting the above value of L^2/r_0^2 in (19) we get:

$$R_S c^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{R_S c^2}{(r_0 - \frac{3}{2}R_S)} (r_0 - 2R_S) < 0.$$

Cancelling the common factors of $R_S c^2$ we find

$$1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(r_0 - 2R_S)}{(r_0 - \frac{3}{2}R_S)} < 0 \,,$$

which is equivalent to

$$rac{3}{2} rac{(r_0 - 2R_S)}{(r_0 - rac{3}{2}R_S)} > 1$$
 .

For $r_0 > \frac{3}{2}R_S$, we get

$$3(r_0 - 2R_S) > 2(r_0 - \frac{3}{2}R_S) \quad \to \quad r_0 > 3R_S.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

This is the desired condition for stable orbits in the Schwarzschild geometry.

$$R_S c^2 - \frac{3L^2}{r_0^2} (r_0 - 2R_S) < 0 , \qquad (19)$$