

**8.323: Relativistic Quantum Field Theory I**

Prof. Alan Guth

February 29, 2008

**PROBLEM SET 1 SOLUTIONS**

**Problem 1: The energy-momentum tensor for source-free electrodynamics**

(a) We have the following action

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \left( -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (1.1)$$

Before deriving the equations of motions from it, let us note that  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is anti-symmetric:  $F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}$ , and

$$\frac{\partial F_{\rho\sigma}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = \delta_\rho^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu - \delta_\rho^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu. \quad (1.2)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations then become

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \\ &= \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial F_{\rho\sigma}} \frac{\partial F_{\rho\sigma}}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \right) \\ &= \partial_\mu \left( -\frac{1}{2} F^{\rho\sigma} (\delta_\rho^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu - \delta_\rho^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu) \right) \\ &= -\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We thus get  $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , which is nothing other than the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations with no source. If we now set  $\nu = 0$  in Eq. (1.3), we get  $0 = \partial_i F^{i0} = \partial_i E^i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

And if we set  $\nu = j$  in Eq. (1.3), we have  $0 = \partial_0 F^{0j} + \partial_i F^{ij} = -\partial_t E^j - \partial_i \epsilon^{ijk} B^k = -\partial_t E^j + (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})^j$ . Thus

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

**Note added:** To find the homogeneous Maxwell equations, one can use the **dual** field tensor  $*F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$ . Using the definition of  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , Eq. (1.1), we find that  $\partial_\mu *F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\mu F_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\mu(\partial_\rho A_\sigma - \partial_\sigma A_\rho) = 0$ , due to the antisymmetry of  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ . Therefore, we get the Bianchi identity

$$\partial_\mu *F^{\mu\nu} = 0 . \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, we have  $*F^{0i} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0i\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F_{jk} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{jkl}B^l = -B^i$ , and  $*F^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk0}F_{k0} = -\epsilon^{ijk0}E_k = \epsilon^{ijk}E_k$ . In other words,  $*F^{\mu\nu}$  is obtained from  $F^{\mu\nu}$  by the transformation  $\vec{E} \rightarrow \vec{B}$  and  $\vec{B} \rightarrow -\vec{E}$ . Using Eq. (1.6) and repeating the steps that led to Eq. (1.4) and Eq. (1.5), we get the homogeneous Maxwell equations:

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} = 0 . \quad (1.8)$$

(b) Under an infinitesimal translation  $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu - a^\mu$ , we have

$$A^\mu(x) \rightarrow A'^\mu(x) = A^\mu(x + a) = A^\mu(x) + a^\nu \partial_\nu A^\mu(x) \quad (1.9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) + a^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(x) + a^\nu \partial_\mu (\delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L}(x)) . \quad (1.10)$$

From Eq. (1.9), we have

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\lambda)} \Delta (\partial_\mu A_\lambda) = -F^{\mu\lambda} a^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda = a^\nu \partial_\mu (-F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu A_\lambda) , \quad (1.11)$$

where we used the EOM for  $F^{\mu\nu}$ . Comparing Eqs. (1.10) and (1.11), we see that  $\partial_\mu (-F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L}) = 0$ , and the energy-momentum tensor is thus

$$T_\nu^\mu = -F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{L} . \quad (1.12)$$

This is manifestly not symmetric in  $\mu, \nu$ ; but we can nevertheless construct a symmetric energy-momentum tensor  $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\lambda K^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ , where  $K^{\lambda\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric in its first two indices, so that  $\partial_\mu \partial_\lambda K^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$ . Let us choose  $K^{\lambda\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\lambda} A^\nu$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} &= -F^{\mu\lambda} \partial^\nu A_\lambda - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} + F^{\mu\lambda} \partial_\lambda A^\nu \\ &= F^{\mu\lambda} F_{\lambda}{}^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} . \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

This is manifestly symmetric.

Written in terms of electric and magnetic fields, this becomes

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \hat{T}^{00} = F^{0\lambda} F_{\lambda}^0 - \mathcal{L} = E^2 - \frac{1}{2}(E^2 - B^2) = \frac{1}{2}(E^2 + B^2) \quad (1.14)$$

$$S_i \equiv \hat{T}^{0i} = F^{0j} F_j^i = -E^j (-\epsilon^{ijk} B^k) = (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})_i . \quad (1.15)$$

(c) The transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu(x) \rightarrow A'^\mu(x) &= A^\mu(x) + a^\nu F_\nu{}^\mu(x) \\ &= A^\mu(x) + a^\nu \partial_\nu A^\mu(x) - \partial^\mu (a^\nu A_\nu(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

is equivalent to a coordinate transformation as before, and a gauge transformation,

$$A^\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}^\mu(x) = A^\mu(x) + a^\nu \partial_\nu A^\mu(x) \quad (1.17)$$

$$\tilde{A}^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu(x) = \tilde{A}^\mu(x) + \partial^\mu \phi , \quad (1.18)$$

where  $\phi(x) = -a^\nu A_\nu(x)$ .

As  $\mathcal{L}$  is gauge invariant,  $\mathcal{L}$  transforms as before in Eq. (1.10). Now apply Noether's Theorem,

$$j^\mu = a_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\lambda)} a_\nu F_\lambda{}^\nu - a^\mu \mathcal{L} \quad (1.19)$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\mu\lambda} F_\lambda{}^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} \quad (1.20)$$

## Problem 2: Waves on a string

$$L = \int_0^a dx \left[ \frac{\sigma}{2} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \frac{T}{2} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]$$

First we will get the general solution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_x y)} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_t y)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_x y)} \right) = 0$$

$$\sigma \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} - T \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

For fixed ends let  $y = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) q_n(t)$

Plug into eq. of motion

$$\sigma \sum_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \ddot{q}_n + T \sum_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} q_n = 0$$

$$\text{so } \sigma \ddot{q}_n + T \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{a^2} q_n = 0$$

Alternately Plug into L

$$L = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^a dx \frac{2}{a} \sum_{n,m} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{a}\right) \dot{q}_n \dot{q}_m$$

$$- \frac{T}{2} \int_0^a dx \frac{2}{a} \sum_{n,m} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{a}\right) \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right) \left(\frac{m\pi}{a}\right) q_n q_m$$

Use orthonormality of  $\sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$  and of  $\cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$

$$L = \sum_n \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{2} \dot{q}_n^2 - \frac{T}{2} \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^2 q_n^2 \right]$$

equation of motion  $\epsilon \ddot{q}_n + T \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^2 q_n = 0$   
as before.

## Problem 3: Fields with SO(3) symmetry

Noether's Theorem for multiple fields  $\phi_k$

$$\phi_k \rightarrow \phi_k + \alpha^b \Delta_{b,k} \phi$$

Symmetry if  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \alpha^b \partial_\mu J_b^\mu$

$$\text{Then } J_b^\mu = \sum_k \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_k)} \Delta_{b,k} \phi - J_b^\mu$$

In the problem

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi_a \phi_a$$

$$\phi_a \rightarrow R_{ab} \phi_b \quad R R^T = 1$$

For 3 fields,  $R$  has 3 parameters

$$\phi_a' = \phi_a + \delta\theta \epsilon_{abc} n_b \phi_c$$

$$n_b n_b = 1$$

unit vector in 3 dim, 2 parameters  
Rotation angle  $\theta$  is 3rd.

$\mathcal{L}$  is invariant

$$\Delta_{b,a} \phi = \epsilon_{abc} \phi_c$$

$$J_b^\mu = \sum_a \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi_a)} \epsilon_{abc} \phi_c$$

$$j_b^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} \phi_a \epsilon_{abc} \phi_c$$

or if you prefer  $j_a^{\mu} = \epsilon_{abc} \partial^{\mu} \phi_b \phi_c$

Check conservation

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_a \partial^{\mu} \phi_a - V(\phi_a \phi_a)$$

Euler-Lagrange  $\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_a = -2V' \cdot \phi_a$

Verify  $\partial_{\mu} j_a^{\mu} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} j_a^{\mu} &= \epsilon_{abc} (\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi_b) \phi_c + \epsilon_{abc} \partial^{\mu} \phi_b \partial_{\mu} \phi_c \\ &= \epsilon_{abc} (-2V') \phi_b \phi_c \\ &\quad + \epsilon_{abc} (\partial_t \phi_b \partial_t \phi_c - \partial_x \phi_b \partial_x \phi_c - \dots) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since} \end{aligned}$$

$$\epsilon_{abc} V^a V^b = 0$$

**Problem 4: Lorentz transformations and Noether's theorem for scalar fields**

(a) We are given

$$x'^{\lambda} = x^{\lambda} - \Sigma^{\lambda}_{\sigma} x^{\sigma} , \quad (4.1)$$

so lowering the index gives

$$x'_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda\rho} x^{\rho} . \quad (4.2)$$

Then to first order in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{\lambda} x'_{\lambda} &= (x^{\lambda} - \Sigma^{\lambda}_{\sigma} x^{\sigma}) (x_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda\rho} x^{\rho}) \\ &= x^{\lambda} x_{\lambda} - \Sigma^{\lambda}_{\sigma} x^{\sigma} x_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda\rho} x^{\lambda} x^{\rho} \\ &= x^{\lambda} x_{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda\sigma} x^{\sigma} x^{\lambda} - \Sigma_{\lambda\rho} x^{\lambda} x^{\rho} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

But the two terms in  $\Sigma$  each vanish due to the antisymmetry of  $\Sigma_{\lambda\sigma}$ , so we have  $x'^{\lambda} x'_{\lambda} = x^{\lambda} x_{\lambda}$ , as expected.

(b) According to Noether's theorem, if a field theory possesses a symmetry

$$\phi(x) \longrightarrow \phi'(x) = \phi(x) + \alpha^b \Delta\phi_b(x) \quad (4.4)$$

under which the Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}$  is transformed by the addition of a total derivative,

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}'(x) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \alpha^b \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{J}_b^{\mu}(x) , \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\alpha^b$  represents a set of infinitesimal constants, then the currents

$$j_b^{\mu}(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} \Delta_b \phi - \mathcal{J}_b^{\mu} \quad (4.6)$$

are conserved:

$$\partial_{\mu} j_b^{\mu} = 0 , \quad \text{for each } b. \quad (4.7)$$

The Lorentz-invariance of the scalar field Lagrangian can be stated in this form, with  $\alpha^b \leftrightarrow \Sigma^{\lambda\sigma}$ , and  $\Delta\phi_b(x) \leftrightarrow x_{\sigma} \partial_{\lambda} \phi(x)$ . The Lagrangian density is a Lorentz scalar, so the transformation acts only on the argument  $x$  of  $\mathcal{L}(x)$ :

$$\mathcal{L}'(x') = \mathcal{L}(x) , \quad (4.8)$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{L}'(x) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \Sigma^{\lambda\sigma} x_{\sigma} \partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(x) , \quad (4.9)$$



exactly like the scalar field. We can make contact with Noether's theorem by writing the second term above as

$$\Sigma^{\lambda\sigma} x_\sigma \partial_\lambda \mathcal{L}(x) = \Sigma^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_\mu (x_\sigma \delta_\lambda^\mu \mathcal{L}(x)) . \quad (4.10)$$

Thus

$$\alpha^b j_b^\mu = \Sigma^{\lambda\sigma} \{ \partial^\mu \phi x_\sigma \partial_\lambda \phi(x) - x_\sigma \delta_\lambda^\mu \mathcal{L} \} . \quad (4.11)$$

Since  $\Sigma^{\lambda\sigma}$  is antisymmetric, it is only the part of the above expression that is antisymmetric in  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$  that is required to obey the conservation equation. Thus, raising the  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$  indices, a conserved current  $j_1^{\mu\lambda\sigma}$  can be written as

$$j_1^{\mu\lambda\sigma} = x^\sigma \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\lambda \phi - x^\lambda \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\sigma \phi - (x^\sigma \eta^{\mu\lambda} - x^\lambda \eta^{\mu\sigma}) \mathcal{L} . \quad (4.12)$$

Recalling that the energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L} , \quad (4.13)$$

the conserved current can then be rewritten as

$$j_1^{\mu\lambda\sigma} = x^\sigma T^{\mu\lambda} - x^\lambda T^{\mu\sigma} . \quad (4.14)$$

This current differs from the one defined in the problem set by an overall sign, but of course any fixed multiple of a conserved current is also a conserved current. Hence, Noether's theorem implies also that

$$\boxed{j^{\mu\lambda\sigma} \equiv -j_1^{\mu\lambda\sigma} = x^\lambda T^{\mu\sigma} - x^\sigma T^{\mu\lambda}} \quad (4.15)$$

is conserved.

To verify that the equations of motion imply that the current in the box above is conserved, one can first check that  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is conserved. The equations of motion are

$$\square \phi \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi = -m^2 \phi , \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} [\partial_\lambda \phi \partial^\lambda \phi - m^2 \phi^2] . \quad (4.17)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} &= \square \phi \partial^\nu \phi + \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \phi - \partial^\lambda \phi \partial^\nu \partial_\lambda \phi + m^2 \phi \partial^\nu \phi \\ &= -m^2 \partial^\nu \phi + \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \partial^\nu \phi - \partial^\lambda \phi \partial^\nu \partial_\lambda \phi + m^2 \phi \partial^\nu \phi \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu j^{\mu\lambda\sigma} &= \delta_\mu^\lambda T^{\mu\sigma} + x^\lambda \partial_\mu T^{\mu\sigma} - \delta_\mu^\sigma T^{\mu\lambda} - x^\sigma \partial_\mu T^{\mu\lambda} \\ &= T^{\lambda\sigma} - T^{\sigma\lambda} \\ &= 0 .\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

That is,  $j^{\mu\lambda\sigma}$  is conserved as long as  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is both symmetric and conserved.

(c) The conservation of  $j^{\mu\lambda\sigma}$  implies that the quantity

$$K^i \equiv \int d^3x j^{00i}(\vec{x})\tag{4.20}$$

is conserved. For clarity we can replace  $T^{00}$  by  $\mathfrak{H}$ , the energy density, and  $T^{0i}$  by  $\vec{p}^i$ , the momentum density. Then

$$\vec{K} = \int d^3x \left[ \vec{p} t - \mathfrak{H} \vec{x} \right] .\tag{4.21}$$

If we let  $M$  be the total energy (or mass, since  $c = 1$ ), then we can define the center of mass position as

$$\vec{x}_{\text{cm}} = \frac{1}{M} \int d^3x \vec{x} \mathfrak{H}(\vec{x}, t) ,\tag{4.22}$$

and we know that the total momentum  $\vec{P}$  can be written as

$$\vec{P} = \int d^3x \vec{p}(\vec{x}, t) .\tag{4.23}$$

Then

$$\vec{K} = -M \left[ \vec{x}_{\text{cm}} - \frac{\vec{P}}{M} t \right] ,\tag{4.24}$$

so this (explicitly time-dependent) conservation law implies that the position of the center of mass moves precisely at velocity  $\vec{P}/M$ .