8.882 LHC Physics Experimental Methods and Measurements

Likelihoods and Selections [Lecture 20, April 22, 2009]

Organization

Project 2 ...

• Matthew handed in, not yet corrected

Project 3

- looks like people have no particular issues
- recitation in Friday was rather quiet

Conference Schedule

Tuesday May 19 at 12:00 Kolker Room

Final Conference Project

LHC Physics: "Experimental Methods and Measurements"

Plenary Session (12:00–13:30, May 19, Kolker Room)

- Welcome and LHC Overview (C.Paus)
- Search for Standard Model Higgs Boson: Overview (?)
- Search for Higgs in $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ (Mattew Chan)
- Search for Higgs in $H \rightarrow WW^*$ (?)
- Search for Higgs in $qqH \rightarrow qqWW^*$ (?)

Physics Colloquium Series



The Physics Colloquium Series

Thursday, April 23 at 4:15 pm in room 10-250

Alain Aspect

Institut d'Optique, Palaiseau, France

"Wave particle duality for a single photon: from Einstein's LichtQuanten to Wheeler's Delayed Choice Experiment"

For a full listing of this semester's colloquia, please visit our website at web.mit.edu/physics

Lecture Outline

Likelihoods and Selections

- likelihoods and fits
 - statistical uncertainties
 - full likelihood for lifetimes
- checking whether it makes sense
 - goodness of fits
 - projections

Sophisticate Selections

- likelihoods
- neural networks

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Taylor expansion around minimum, $p_{\rm fit}$

$$\log L(p) = \log L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial p^2}|_{p_{\text{fit}}} (p - p_{\text{fit}})^2$$
$$L(p) = L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial p^2}|_{p_{\text{fit}}} (p - p_{\text{fit}})^2\right)$$

Consider this as a PDF for true value of parameter p

- PDF is a Gaussian with mean value $p_{\rm fit}$
- variance is given as

$$\sigma^{2} = V(p) = -\frac{1}{\frac{\partial^{2} \log L}{\partial p^{2}}|_{p_{\text{fit}}}}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Again Taylor expansion around minimum, $p_{\rm fit}$, but using definition of the variance σ

$$\log L(p) = \log L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(p - p_{\text{fit}})^2}{\sigma^2}$$

Values of the likelihood for 1, 2, $n \sigma$ (standard deviations) from the central value are

$$\log L(p_{\text{fit}} \pm 1\sigma) = \log L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} - \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\log L(p_{\text{fit}} \pm 2\sigma) = \log L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} - 2$$
$$\log L(p_{\text{fit}} \pm n\sigma) = \log L|_{p_{\text{fit}}} - \frac{1}{2}n^2$$

Picture of Uncertainties



C.Paus, LHC Physics: Likelihoods and Selections

Correspondence to χ^2

For Gaussian PDF we know

One standard deviation is interval which includes 68%

- change in minimum χ^2 by $1^2 = 1$
- two standard deviations correspond to $\Delta \chi^2 = 2^2 = 4$ (95%)
- or *n* standard deviations correspond to $\Delta \chi^2 = n^2$



Correspondence to χ^2

Confidence level intervals for Gaussian n sigma

- in root: $\alpha = 1.0 TMath::Erf(1.0*n/sqrt(2))$
- or: P = TMath::Erf(1.0*n/sqrt(2))
- probability for 5 standard deviations is astonishingly small well, it should be

Table 32.1: Area of the tails α outside $\pm \delta$ from the mean of a Gaussian distribution.

α	δ	lpha	δ
0.3173	1σ	0.2	1.28σ
4.55×10^{-2}	2σ	0.1	1.64σ
2.7×10^{-3}	3σ	0.05	1.96σ
6.3×10^{-5}	4σ	0.01	2.58σ
5.7×10^{-7}	5σ	0.001	3.29σ
2.0×10^{-9}	6σ	10^{-4}	3.89σ

$\rightarrow \sigma^2 = -\frac{1}{\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \rho^2}|_{\tau_{\text{fit}}}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{fit}}^2}{N}$





Analytical Estimate of Variance

Full Likelihood for our Analysis

So far Likelihood for 1 measurement type as input, t_i

- but we are using more measurement types
- mass, (uncertainty of mass,) uncertainty of proper time

How to account for these additional dimensions?

- very simple for likelihood: multiply PDFs (should be independent): P(t,m) = P(t) P(m)
- also treat signal and background separately

$$P(t, m | \tau, f_{bg}, m_B, a, b) = f_{sig} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \exp(-t/\tau)\right) G(m | m_B, \sigma_B) +$$

signal: has a lifetime $(1 - f_{sig})\delta(t) (am + b)$
background: no lifetime, t = 0 flat mass distribution

Full Likelihood for our Analysis

Including detector imperfections

- proper time has uncertainty attached to it
- smears out the signal exponential distribution as well as the δ distribution of the background

$$\begin{split} P(t,m|\tau,f_{\text{bg}},m_B,a,b) &= f_{\text{sig}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\exp(-t'/\tau)\otimes G(t'|0,\sigma)\right)G(m|m_B,\sigma_B) + \\ & (1-f_{\text{sig}})G(t|0,\sigma)\left(am+b\right) \end{split}$$

In principle $P(\Delta t)$ and $P(\Delta m)$ to be included

- if not we implicitly assume them to be the same
- mass is fine but proper time is not: looks different for signal and background
- add $P_{sig}(\Delta t)$ and $P_{bg}(\Delta t)$ factors to the two components, need a template for this (not needed for your assignment)

Full Likelihood for our Analysis Including detector imperfections



Goodness of Fit

Least square fit tells explicitly probability of fit

- P = TMath::Prob(Chi2Min, nDoF)
- comes out very close to zero or one? something is wrong!
 Minimum likelihood does not work like that



Average lifetime of samples the same \rightarrow max. likelihood the same 15

Goodness of Fit

How to get a goodness of fit from max. likelihood?

- general answer: statisticians are still writing papers about it! → no unique and fully accepted answer
- Let's take a physicist's approach
- need a chi2 like quantity for all observables
- make sure that they have reasonable probabilities
- need: histograms with data and theory curve
 - data looks simple, we got that but need to find binning so we have enough events to apply Gaussian statistics
 - not easy: each event has potentially different theory curve!
 - sum up full theory curve for all events
- take $\chi 2$ value to determine probability for the picture
- nDoF= (number of bins number of parameters in picture)

Likelihood fits often are complex and very difficult to implement correctly

- test for fitting bias is absolutely essential
- in some cases biases cannot be completely avoided
- How to safe yourself from trouble?
- toy Monte Carlo is the answer
 - implement a toy in which you generate data exactly according to your implemented model
 - generating a large number of toy experiments should give you on average exactly the correct answer (the lifetime you put in)
- uncertainties should be as expected from statistics
- this means, the pulls $((p-p_{fit,i})/\Delta p_{fit,i})^2$ are Gaussian with
 - mean equals 0, within uncertainties
 - width equals 1, within uncertainties

Example (updated: fitCTauBuJpsiK.C) provided at

~paus/8.882/614/MixFit/scripts/fitCTauBuJpsiK.C

Sequence to perform pulls

- perform the fit and store the results to re-initialize your fit with them when you start (iMode = iModeFit)
- edit the initialization values by hand:

gF->AddParameter(new Parameter("buCTau",+0.047412, 0.001, 0.100,0.001));

initial value

 now generate data for about 100 experiments, setting the number of events equal to the events in your input file (iMode = iModePulls), check iModePulls in the script... most of the parameters are self explanatory

Sample plots of biases for limited set of parameters

 to exclude parameter from fit or pull just set the initial step size to zero

gF->AddParameter(new Parameter("buCTau",+0.047412, 0.001, 0.100,0.001));



Parameter biases in numbers

- have to be consistent with the pictures....
- well, they are consistent with a unit Gaussian



Optimizing Your Selection

Step 1: determine cut variables

- make sure they do not bias ct distribution
- choose independent variable: *ex.* χ^2 and prob. not useful
- suggestions: p_{τ} , χ^2 , limited z range,
- Step 2: determine quality criterion
 - ultimately the full uncertainty of a lifetime fit
 - less spectacular: statistical uncertainty of lifetime fit
 - just: $n_S / \sqrt{n_S + n_B}$
- Step 3: prepare grid for variables
- p_{τ} in 100 MeV steps?
- Step 4: find best selection values, quality maximal
 - step through grid and find cuts for which quality is best

Optimizing Your Selection

- For the quality criterion: $n_S / \sqrt{n_S + n_B}$
- determine relevant events in tight mass window around peak: up to 3 standard deviations, maybe less
- number of background events from sideband extrapolation
- number of signal events from the Monte Carlo
 - using data will cause biases towards statistical fluctuations
 - make sure to apply the same mass window and fitting form to guarantee identical procedure

In general

- lifetime measurements independent of instantaneous luminosity (second order effects, different background?)
- if data and Monte Carlo disagree it is not a disaster as for cross section, you might just not get the optimal point

Optimizing Your Selection

Produced fresh MC

- runs very quickly, minimal changes to analysis script
- book:
 - "skims/bjps-71/bujk_0001-00"
- dataset:
 - "B-JpsiK"
- check:
 - runJpsiK.C
 - in: ~paus/8.882/614/Ana/scripts/
- switch: isMc selects between data and MC



Conclusion

Likelihood fits and all that

- uncertainties from likelihood are simple:
 - minimize [-2 log(L)] and treat it like χ^2 (this is what TMinuit does)
 - correspondingly all intervals
- goodness of fit is an unsolved problem
 - ball is in the users court
 - perform test: toy studies to remove biases, projections to compare relevant variables
- Selection and its optimization
 - avoid bias on *ct* or think about it carefully
 - optimization: use MC to calculate signal events, data fro background

Hand-in of project 3 (lifetime) during next week!

Next Lectures

Neural networks and data driven techniques

Higgs Searches and Other Essentials

- guest lecturer being identified fo rthe Higgs lecture?!
- overview over the High p_{τ} physics and searches in particular