

## Class 17: Rademacher Averages and Symmetrization

Alexander Rakhlin

*This class is based largely on Shahar Mendelson's "A few notes on Statistical Learning Theory" [1]. Students are encouraged to read this paper.*

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions. Then  $(Z_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  is a random process indexed by  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $Z_i(f)$  is a random variable  $\forall i$ .

As before,  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega$ , and data  $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim \mu$ . Then  $\mu_n$  is the empirical measure supported on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ :  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$ . Define  $Z_i(\cdot) = (\delta_{x_i} - \mu)(\cdot)$ , i.e.  $Z_i(f) = f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}_\mu(f)$ . Then  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  i.i.d. process with 0 mean.

In the previous lectures we looked at the quantity

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}f \right|. \quad (1)$$

Note that this can be written as  $n \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i(f) \right|$ .

Recall that the difficulty with (1) is that we do not know  $\mu$  and therefore cannot calculate  $\mathbb{E}f$ . We saw that the classical approach of covering  $\mathcal{F}$  and using the Union Bound was too loose.

*Symmetrization idea:* If  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$  is close to  $\mathbb{E}f$  for various data  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$  is close to  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x'_i)$ , the empirical average on  $x'_1, \dots, x'_n$  (independent copy of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ). Therefore, if the two empirical averages are far from each other, then empirical error is far from expected error.

Now consider the following:

*Example:* Fix one function  $f$ . Let  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables (taking on values 0 or 1 with probability 1/2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f(x'_i)) \right| \geq t \right] &= \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(x_i) - f(x'_i)) \right| \geq t \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \geq t/2 \right] + \mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x'_i) \right| \geq t/2 \right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{P} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \geq t/2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Together with the Symmetrization idea, this suggests that controlling  $\mathbb{P} [|\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i)| \geq t/2]$  is enough to control  $\mathbb{P} [|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \mathbb{E}f| \geq t]$ .  
 Empirical Process:

$$Z(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[ \mathbb{E}f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right].$$

Rademacher Process:

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_n, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Z &= \mathbb{E}_x \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[ \mathbb{E}f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{x'} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x'_i) \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{x, x'} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x'_i) - f(x_i)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x, x', \epsilon} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(x'_i) - f(x_i)) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{x, x', \epsilon} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x'_i) + \mathbb{E}_{x, x', \epsilon} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\epsilon_i f(x_i) \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}R \end{aligned}$$

As we discussed previously, we would like to bound  $Z$ . This will imply “generalization” for any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The above calculation suggests the following: To control  $Z$ , show 1)  $Z$  is concentrated around its mean  $\mathbb{E}Z$ , 2) use the above bound  $\mathbb{E}Z \leq \mathbb{E}R$ , 3) bound  $\mathbb{E}R$ . (additionally, can show concentration of  $R$  around  $\mathbb{E}R$  and use  $R$  as a data-dependent bound).  $\mathbb{E}R$  is called a *Rademacher Average*.

*An example of 1):* Use McDiarmid’s inequality to show concentration of

$Z$  around  $\mathbb{E}Z$ . Assume  $a \leq f(x) \leq b$  for all  $x$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |Z(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n) - Z(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)| = \\ & \left| \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \mathbb{E}f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) + \left( \frac{1}{n} f(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} f(x'_i) \right) \right| - \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \mathbb{E}f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) \right| \right| \leq \\ & \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} |f(x_i) - f(x'_i)| \leq \frac{b-a}{n} = c_i \end{aligned}$$

McDiarmid's inequality then implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z > t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(b-a)^2}{n^2}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{-nt^2}{2(b-a)^2}\right)$$

Equivalently, with probability at least  $1 - e^{-u}$ ,

$$Z - \mathbb{E}Z < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2u}(b-a).$$

So, as the number of samples,  $n$ , grows,  $Z$  becomes more and more concentrated around  $\mathbb{E}Z$ . Using the symmetrization step,

$$Z \leq \mathbb{E}Z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2u}(b-a) \leq 2\mathbb{E}R + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2u}(b-a)$$

with probability at least  $1 - e^{-u}$ . For sharper inequality, see Talagrand's famous inequality for the suprema of empirical processes.

Why is it easier to bound  $\mathbb{E}R$  than  $\mathbb{E}Z$ ? It turns out that  $\mathbb{E}R$  has some nice properties (see [1] for more details):

Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  be classes of real-valued functions. Then for any  $n$ ,

1. If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{G})$
2.  $\mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}R(\text{conv}\mathcal{F})$
3.  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}R(c\mathcal{F}) = |c|\mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{F})$
4. If  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz and  $\phi(0) = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}R(\phi(\mathcal{F})) \leq 2L\mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{F})$

5. For RKHS balls,  $c(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i)^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E}R(\mathcal{F}_k) \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i)^{1/2}$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are eigenvalues of the corresponding linear operator in the RKHS.

Entropy bounds for Rademacher Averages:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} R \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mu_n))} d\epsilon,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the covering number, as defined in the previous lectures.

The above integral is called the *Dudley integral*.

*Example:* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class with finite VC-dimension  $V$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mu_n)) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^{kV}$  for some constant  $k$ . The Dudley integral above is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(\mu_n))} d\epsilon &\leq \int_0^1 \sqrt{kV \log 2/\epsilon} d\epsilon \\ &\leq k' \sqrt{V} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\log 2/\epsilon} d\epsilon \leq k\sqrt{V}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} R \leq k\sqrt{\frac{V}{n}}$  for some constant  $k$ .

## References

- [1] S. Mendelson *A few notes on Statistical Learning Theory*. Advanced Lectures in Machine Learning, (S. Mendelson, A.J. Smola Eds), LNCS 2600, 1-40. Springer, 2003.