

# **Leave-one-out approximations**

9.520 Class 20, 21 April 2004

Sayan Mukherjee

# Plan

- Cross-validation
- Why the leave-one-out estimate is almost unbiased ?
- Generalized approximate cross-validation
- Perceptron learning algorithm
- Leave-one-out bound for kernel machines (no  $b$ )

# Plan

- Leave-one-out bound for kernel machines (with  $b$ )
- Span bound
- Leave-one-out bound for SVMs with  $b$
- Worst case analysis of leave-one-out error

## About this class

We introduce the idea of cross-validation, leave-one-out in its extreme form. We show that the leave-one-out estimate is almost unbiased. We then show a series of approximations and bounds on the leave-one-out error that are used for computational efficiency. First this is shown for least-squares loss then for the SVM loss function. We close by reporting in a worst case analysis the leave-one-out error is not a significantly better estimate of expected error than is the training error.

# Cross-validation

The set  $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_\ell, y_\ell)\}$  has cardinality  $\ell$ .

The set  $(S, z) = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_\ell, y_\ell), (\mathbf{x}_{\ell+1}, y_{\ell+1})\}$  has cardinality  $\ell + 1$ .

An algorithm is a mapping from  $S \rightarrow f_S$ . We would like to measure the generalization error.

Cross-validation is one approach to do this. Use  $\ell - p$  samples to find the function  $f_{S^{\ell-p}}$ . Measure the error rate on the remaining  $p$  samples

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i \in S^p} V(f_{S^{\ell-p}}(\mathbf{x}_i), y_i).$$

Repeat this procedure  $N$  times and compute

$$\hat{e} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e_i.$$

Hopefully  $\hat{e}$  is a good measure of generalization error of  $f_S$ .

## The leave-one-out error is almost unbiased

For a function  $f_S$

$$I[f_S] = \int_{\mathbf{x}, y} V(f_{S^\ell}(\mathbf{x}), y) dP(\mathbf{x}, y)$$
$$\mathcal{L}[S] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} V(f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i), y_i).$$

### **Theorem** Luntz-Brailovsky

The leave-one-out estimator is almost unbiased

$$\frac{1}{\ell + 1} \mathbf{E}_{S, z} \mathcal{L}[S, z] = \mathbf{E}_S I[f_S].$$

## The leave-one-out error is almost unbiased (proof)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\ell+1}\mathbb{E}_{S,z}\mathcal{L}[S,z] &= \frac{1}{\ell+1}\int\sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1}V(f_{(S,z)^i}(\mathbf{x}_i),y_i)dP(\mathbf{x}_1,y_1)\dots dP(\mathbf{x}_{\ell+1},y_{\ell+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell+1}\int\sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1}(V(f_{(S,z)^i}(\mathbf{x}_i),y_i)dP(\mathbf{x}_i,y_i)) \\ &\quad dP(\mathbf{x}_1,y_1)\dots dP(\mathbf{x}_{i-1},y_{i-1})dP(\mathbf{x}_{i+1},y_{i+1})\dots dP(\mathbf{x}_{\ell+1},y_{\ell+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell+1}\mathbb{E}_{S,z}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1}V(f_{(S,z)^i}(\mathbf{x}_i),y_i) = \mathbb{E}_S I[f_S].\end{aligned}$$

## Computing the leave-one-error is in general expensive

In general to compute the leave-one-out error one needs to train on  $\ell$  training sets of size  $\ell - 1$ . This can take a lot of time. The following slides show how one can either upper-bound or approximate the leave-one-out error using a function trained on all  $\ell$  samples.

## Leave-one-out cross-validation

Given the variational problem

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|f\|_K^2.$$

We know the solution has the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i),$$

where

$$\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda \ell \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

If we call  $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{K} + \lambda \ell \mathbf{I})^{-1}$  then the leave-one-out error is

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{L}[S] = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \frac{y_i - f_S(\mathbf{x}_i)}{1 - \mathbf{Q}_{ii}} \right)^2.$$

## Leave-one-out cross-validation (proof)

We define the vector  $y^*$  where  $y_j^* = y_j$  if  $j \neq i$  and  $y_i^* = f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ .

We can show

$$f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} Q_{ij} y_j^*.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} Q_{ij} y_j^* - y_i \\ &= \sum_{j \neq i} Q_{ij} y_j + Q_{ii} f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} Q_{ij} y_j - y_i + Q_{ii} (f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

## Leave-one-out cross-validation (proof)

$$= f_S(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i + Q_{ii}(f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i).$$

So

$$y_i - f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{y_i - f_S(\mathbf{x}_i)}{1 - Q_{ii}}.$$

## Generalized approximate cross-validation

To compute the cross-validation error we need to invert the matrix  $\mathbf{K} + \ell\lambda\mathbf{I}$  which can be expensive to compute.

An approximation to the cross validation error is

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\mathcal{L}[S] \approx \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (y_i - f_S(\mathbf{x}_i))^2}{(1 - \ell^{-1}\text{tr}\mathbf{Q})^2}.$$

We can compute the trace of  $\mathbf{Q}$  from the eigenvalues,  $\mu_i$ , of  $\mathbf{K} + \ell\lambda\mathbf{I}$

$$\text{tr}\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu_i^{-1}.$$

## Perceptron mistake bound

Assume we are given a data set

$$\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_\ell, y_\ell)\},$$

with  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y_i = \{-1, 1\}$ , which is *linearly separable*.

This means that there exist  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i) y_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Let  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  be the unit normal vector of a hyperplane separating the  $\ell$  data with no errors with distance of the closest point is equal to  $\rho$ , therefore,

$$y_i \hat{\mathbf{w}}^\top \mathbf{x}_i \geq \rho > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

## Perceptron mistake bound

The perceptron learning rule is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w}^{(0)} &= \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} &= \mathbf{w}^{(t)} + y_i \mathbf{x}_i \quad \text{if } y_i (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w}^{(t)}) < 0 \\ \mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} &= \mathbf{w}^{(t)} \quad \text{otherwise.}\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** A perceptron can separate a linearly separable data set in a finite number of steps  $\tau$ . Moreover, if  $R$  is the bound on the norm of the training vectors and  $\rho$  the distance of the closest point from a separating hyperplane, we have

$$\tau \leq \frac{R^2}{\rho^2}.$$

## Proof

After  $\tau$  updates we can write

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} = \sum_i d_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

where  $d_i$  denotes the number of times in which  $\mathbf{x}_i$  was misclassified over training. If the points are drawn randomly some of the  $d_i$  could be zero but we surely have

$$\sum d_i = \tau.$$

Now, since  $\|\hat{\mathbf{w}}\| = 1$ , taking the dot product between  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}$  we find the following bound

$$\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\| \geq |\hat{\mathbf{w}}^T \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}| = \left| \sum_i d_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{w}} \right| \geq \tau \rho.$$

Therefore,  $\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\|$  is bounded from below by a function growing linearly with  $\tau$ .

## Proof (cont.)

Expanding the square of  $\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)}\|$  we find

$$\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 + 2y_i\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}.$$

Now, for all  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$   $\|\mathbf{x}_i\| \leq R$  and the cross product is not positive (because the  $i$ -th point has been misclassified). Therefore, at each step in which a correction takes place, the square of the norm of  $\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}$  does not increase by more than  $R^2$ .

## Proof (cont.)

Therefore, after  $\tau$  steps  $\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\|^2$  is bounded from above by a function growing linearly with  $\tau$ , or

$$\|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\|^2 \leq \tau R^2.$$

Combining the two bounds we find

$$\tau^2 \rho^2 \leq \|\mathbf{w}^{(\tau)}\|^2 \leq \tau R^2,$$

which is a contradiction unless

$$\tau \leq \frac{R^2}{\rho^2}.$$

## Bounding the leave-one-out error

Note that the number of errors in the leave-one-out procedure has to be smaller than the the number of corrections  $\tau$  the perceptron makes so

$$I_S[f_{S^i}] = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(-y_i f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{R^2}{\rho^2}.$$

One can apply this bound to a SVM that is separable and has no  $b$  term.

## Bound based upon number of support vectors

The leave-one-out error of a SVM can be bound by the number of support vectors  $N$

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{L}[S] \leq \frac{N}{\ell}.$$

Since the SVM solution has the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i),$$

when we remove a nonsupport vector nothing changes so leaving out that point would have no effect on accuracy. If we remove a support vector we simply assume that an error is made.

## Bound for SVMs without a $b$ term

For a SVM without a  $b$  term trained on  $\ell$  points the solution has the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i).$$

For such an algorithm

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(-y_i f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(-y_i (f_S(\mathbf{x}_i) - c_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i))),$$

or

$$f_S(\mathbf{x}_i) - c_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} c_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

$$f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) \geq \sum_{j \neq i} c_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$$

$$\theta(-y_i f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \theta(-y_i \sum_{j \neq i} c_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)).$$

## Bound for SVMs without a $b$ term (proof)

The dual maximization problem for the leave-one-out SVM is

$$\max_{\Lambda_{\ell-i}} J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \neq i} y_j y_k \alpha_j \alpha_k K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

If we knew the optimal  $\alpha_i^*$  for the  $\ell$  point problem we could solve the following maximization problem to compute the remaining  $\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*$

$$\max_{\Lambda_{\ell-i}} J_{\ell}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) = J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) - \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

## Bound for SVMs without a $b$ term (proof)

We know the following two facts

$$\begin{aligned} J_\ell(\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*) &\geq J_\ell(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) \\ J_{\ell-1}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*) &\leq J_{\ell-1}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*$  are the optimal  $\ell - i$  parameters looking at all  $\ell$  points and  $\Lambda_{\ell-i}$  are the optimal  $\ell - 1$  parameters looking at the  $\ell - i$  points.

We can now state the following

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*) - \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^* y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &\geq J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) - \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \\ \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &\geq \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^* y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) + J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}^i) \\ &\quad - J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}^*) \\ &\geq \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^* y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &\geq \alpha_i^* y_i \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^* y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \\ f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i) &\geq \sum_{j \neq i} c_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j). \end{aligned}$$

## Bound for SVMs with a $b$ term

For a SVM with a  $b$  term trained on  $\ell$  points the solution has the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) + b.$$

For such an algorithm

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(-y_i f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq |\{i : 2\alpha_i R^2 + \xi_i \geq 1\}|,$$

where  $R \geq K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) - K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}$ .

Here the dual maximization problem is

$$\max_{\Lambda_{\ell-i}} J_{\ell-i}(\Lambda_{\ell-i}) = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \neq i} y_j y_k \alpha_j \alpha_k K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j),$$

subject to  $\sum_{j \neq i} y_j \alpha_j = 0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq C$ .

## Span bound

If the set of support vectors remain unchanged under the leave-one-out procedure then

$$y_i(f_S(\mathbf{x}_i) - f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \alpha_i S_i^2,$$

where  $S_i$  is the distance between the point  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$  and the set  $\Omega_i$

$$\Omega_i = \left\{ \sum_{j \neq i, \alpha_j > 0} \lambda_j \Phi(\mathbf{x}_j), \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j = 1 \right\}.$$

From this it can be shown

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(-y_i f_{S^i}(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \theta(\alpha_i S_i^2 - 1).$$

## Worst case analysis for leave-one-out estimator

For certain types of algorithms, k-Nearest Neighbors for example, it was shown that the deviation between the leave-one-out estimator and the expected error is  $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\right)$  but one cannot bound the deviation between to empirical error and expected error.

This prompted the following question about VC classes.  
*Is the leave-one-out estimator a significantly better estimate of the expected error than the empirical error ?*

## A negative result

For VC classes the leave-one-out estimate is not significantly better than the training error as an estimate of the expected error.

For a function class with VC dimension  $d$

$$\mathbb{E}_S[I[f_S] - I_S[f_S]] \leq \Theta \left( \sqrt{\frac{d(\ln \frac{2n}{d} + 1) + \ln \frac{9}{\delta}}{n}} \right) + M\delta.$$

For a function class with VC dimension  $d$  an implication of stability results is that

$$\mathbb{E}_S \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(f_{S^i}, z_i) - I_S[f_S] \right] \leq \Theta \left( \sqrt{\frac{d(\ln \frac{2n}{d} + 1) + \ln \frac{9}{\delta}}{n}} \right) + M\delta,$$
$$\mathbb{E}_S \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(f_{S^i}, z_i) - I[f_S] \right] \leq \Theta \left( \sqrt{\frac{d(\ln \frac{2n}{d} + 1) + \ln \frac{9}{\delta}}{n}} \right) + M\delta.$$