## 9.65: November 26, 2001 Judgment Handout

Outline:

Judgment under uncertainty: Inductive reasoning

- 1. Introduction: deductive reasoning versus inductive reasoning
- 2. Probability judgments
- a. Kolmogorov's axioms
- b. Bayes' theorem
- 3. Heuristics and fallacies
- a. Representativeness heuristic
- b. Base rate neglect
- c. Availability heuristic
- 4. Conclusion: rational or irrational?

## I. Introduction: deductive reasoning versus inductive reasoning

# 2. Probability judgments

a. Kolmogorov's axioms:

The field of statistics is based on a theory about probability that attempts to turn induction into a deductive system.

These axioms are the basis of probability theory:

 $P = probability, P(S_1) = probability of statement 1.$ 

1. For every statement, P(S) is equal to or greater than 0.

2. If  $S_1$  is logically true, then  $P(S_1) = 1$  (highest P).

3. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are mutually exclusive, then

 $P(S_1 \text{ or } S_2) = P(S_1) + P(S_2).$ 

4. If  $P(S_1)$  is not = 0, then

 $P(S_1 \text{ and } S_2) = P(S_1 | S_2) \times P(S_2).$ 

b. Bayes' theorem

Bayes' theorem follows from the above axioms: here I use X for S<sub>1</sub>, and Y for S<sub>2</sub>:

If P(X) is not equal to 0, then (Bayes' theorem):

P(X | Y) = P(X) x - - - - - - - - - P(Y)

Note that P(X) is the base rate or prior odds of X. Y is a piece of relevant information--e.g., getting a positive result on a medical test such as a mammogram. P(Y) is the overall probability of Y (independent of X).

Example: Probability that Julia's positive result on a mammogram means that she has breast cancer (see text pp. 396-397 for the numbers in this example).

#### 3. Heuristics and fallacies

Are people Bayesian?

Consider the following sketch:

Mary X was active in the environmental and nuclear-freeze movements in college, and organized demonstrations at her university. She graduated a few years ago.

On the basis of this knowledge about Mary, please give an estimate of the probability that each of the following statements is true of her.

1.	Mary 2	X is a strong supporter of George W. Bush.	
2.	Mary 2	X is "pro-choice."	
3.	Mary 2	X works in a bank.	
4.	Mary 2	X likes pizza.	
5.	Mary 2	X is demonstrating against the current war.	
6.	Mary 2	X works in a bank and is pro-choice.	

a. Conjunction fallacy and representativeness:

Representativeness heuristic:

b. Base rate neglect

Sample size:

Misconceptions of chance:

-coin toss:

-the Gambler's Fallacy:

Regression to the mean (e.g., Rookie of the Year: Figure 12.6)

# c. Availability heuristic

-Anchoring

4. Conclusion: rational or irrational?