Summary of test beams

Alexander Winnebeck

 ${\sf Massachusetts\ Institute\ of\ Technology,\ LNS}$

17th January, 2011






Beam tests dates

Beam tests

- Service week 12th-16th September
- Service week 24th-29th October
- Service days: 9th Nov., 16th Nov., 23rd Nov., 30th Nov., 7th Dec.
- Test day 21st-22nd December



Target and Vacuum System



R. Milner

Clear target effect and 3 times higher flow possible.



Beam optimization

- Scrapers reduce count rates by factors (≈ 5)
- Optimal scraper position seem to be not fixed
- Opening of wigglers reduces BG significantly
- ullet Injection still problematic o Reduce HV during injection



Trigger rates vs. magnetic field

B field	Rate/Current
0 %	70.2 Hz/mA
50%	5.1 Hz/mA
100%	2.3 Hz/mA

Both polarities behave the same Toroid generates noise (reduced by x20)

- Rates of kinematic trigger
- Variation of configurations make little effect
- $\rightarrow \mbox{ Single configuration for all } \\ \mbox{ settings}$

Trigger rates vs. magnetic field

B field	Rate/Current
0 %	70.2 Hz/mA
50%	5.1 Hz/mA
100%	2.3 Hz/mA

Both polarities behave the same Toroid generates noise (reduced by $\times 20$)

- Rates of kinematic trigger
- Variation of configurations make little effect
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \rightarrow & Single configuration for all \\ & settings \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

Caviat: Rates before TOFs were fixed!

Where we are...

Machine	Go. Fine tuning of BG and stability
Target	Go.
TOFs	(Go). Finish re-attachment and gluing $+$ fine tuning
WC	(Go). Finish repairs. Checkout data. Push analysis
SYMB	? Analysis?
LG	(Go). Slow readout. Push analysis
MWPC	? Slow control and analysis?
DAQ	Go. Checkout of features
Slowctrl	Go. Include MWPC
Infrastructure	(Go). Noise on ground (PE)

