Undergraduate Association Confidentiality Policy For
Undergraduates sitting on Standing Committees of the Faculty

In the process of serving as representatives on Standing Committees of the Faculty, undergraduates may be exposed to or have access to information which is not available to the student body as a whole. When presented with this information, it may be unclear exactly how confidential this information is, and who the student has permission to disseminate the information to. The purpose of this document is to create a set of “levels of confidentiality” which can be assigned to sets of information in order to make clear who the information can be shared with. This will in turn allow representatives to share information with their constituents and better represent their needs.

This policy applies to any document or information presented directly to the committee. Any set of information or documents should be considered by default completely confidential (Level 3).

Only with the written consent of the chair of the committee, should an undergraduate representative share the contents of a document or any other potentially confidential information acquired in a committee meeting.

When an undergraduate wishes to share a document which has confidential information with individuals who are not members of the given committee, they must submit a written request for approval to the chair of the committee. If the information that the undergraduate wishes to share is not contained in a document, they must produce a document themselves which lists the information they wish to share. In addition to the aforementioned document, every request must also contain the individuals or groups who the undergraduate rep wishes to share the information with.

After a request is submitted the chair can respond to the request with the level of confidentiality which they think is most appropriate. If a document is given a confidentiality level above 1, the chair should also include a brief statement attached explaining why this document is confidential.

The confidentiality of each set of information, understandably, depends heavily on who is receiving the information. Throughout the coming descriptions, relevance is a nebulous terms which will be used. Relevance is the need a given individual has for a set of information, and is one of the large determining factors in deciding whether an individual is permitted to receive information at each confidentiality level. The importance of relevance cannot be overstated. Information is considered relevant to an individual if it is necessary for them to carry out their duties and obligations to their constituents. Note that information which may be interesting, but not beneficial, is not covered under relevance.

What follows is the confidentiality levels, and a brief description, which should be suitable to explain what can and cannot be done for each level.
Levels and Descriptions

Level 1

Level 1 is information which is able to be freely distributed to any undergraduate or administrator. The Undergraduate Association prides itself on both its transparency and service to students, and with that in mind, all information that can reasonably be accessible to all should be accessible to all.

Level 2

Level 2 is information which can be distributed to anyone who is relevant with possible deference to those in a position of leadership. For example, a document classified as level 2 regarding Baker House could be shared with residents of that dormitory, who the Chair agrees are relevant with likely priority given to the President and Exec Board of Baker House. When information is shared with someone under Level 2 confidentiality, they must be informed that they do not have the right to further share said information.

Level 3

Level 3 is information which should not be shared with anyone regardless of the circumstances. This level is primarily intended to protect personal information of students, staff or faculty in addition to sensitive or unreleased data.

Additional Confidentiality Procedures

Breaches

Even with a thorough confidentiality system in place, information may still be possessed by individuals or groups which should not have access. If this is believed to be the case, one should first alert the owner of that information, and second request the unintended recipient delete the information. If an undergraduate representative is found responsible for knowingly distributing information in a manner that violates the above policy, they may be removed from the committee by the committee chair.