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DOMESTIC DATA ONLY: DOT 10% O-D Ticket Sample


- Sum of True O&D domestic itineraries, in and out (departing plus arriving) traffic at each airport.

- Top 200 airports are defined as the top 200 airports in the U.S. ranked by number of passengers in Q4-2005

- Top 200 airports accounted for 97% of the total domestic O&D traffic in the U.S. in 2008
Domestic Traffic at Top 200 Airports*

Passenger volumes increased by more than 50% from 1990 to 2005, but have dropped recently.

*Sum of In & Out O&D passengers at each airport results in double counting.
Total Revenue at Top 200 Airports*

In contrast to domestic traffic, revenues declined 2000 to 2005, followed by more recent increase.

*Total In & Out O&D revenue at each airport divided by 2
Lower average fares contributed to higher passenger volumes but lower revenues in 2005

Average Fares at Top 200 US Airports

- 1990: $140
- 1995: $137 (-3%)
- 2000: $155 (+14%)
- 2005: $135 (-13%)
- 2008: $156 (+16%)
Biggest decreases in average fares occurred from 2000 to 2005 – 77% of airports had lower fares

Distribution of Average Fares Change between 2000 and 2005
But, comparing 2008 to 2000, 72% of these airports have had overall increases in average fares.
Passenger traffic increased the most, in absolute and percentage terms, at the top 50 airports.
Total domestic revenues decreased the most at the top 50 airports between 2000 and 2005.
Average fares at the smaller airports are consistently higher than average fares at the busiest airports.

Average Fares by Airport Rank

- Top 1-50: +35%
- Top 51-100: +18%
- Top 101-150: +12%
- Top 151-200: +20%
The average number of destinations with reported O&D traffic at the Top 200 airports has decreased steadily since 1995.
The decrease in the number of destinations has been more pronounced for the biggest airports, while the smallest airports have increased their number of destinations.
The average number of Low Cost Carriers per airport steadily increased 1990-2005, but has recently dropped.

Average Number of Low Cost Carriers per Airport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th># LCCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LCC presence is greatest at the biggest airports, where they compete not only against NLCs but also against each other.

**Average Number of Low Cost Carriers at Top US Airports**

- Top 1-50
- Top 51-100
- Top 101-150
- Top 151-200

Graph showing the average number of low cost carriers at top US airports from 1990 to 2008.
LCC Share of Total Domestic Passenger Traffic at Top 200 Airports

LCC Market Share is still growing but leveling off, reaching 34% of total US domestic passengers in 2008

LCC Pax Traffic V. Total Pax Volumes

- 1990: 10.58%
- 1995: 19.25%
- 2000: 23.64%
- 2005: 31.58%
- 2008: 33.55%
Low Cost Carriers focused first on largest airports, then grew rapidly in the second and third tiers.
In 2008, 95 of the Top 200 US airports had aggregated LCC Market Share greater than 20%, up from only 27 in 1990.
Jet Blue (B6) is still expanding its presence in the Top 200 airports list, while Southwest (WN) withdrew from 3 airports in 2008 as compared to 2005.
Southwest has significantly increased its market share in its markets.
Airport Concentration Levels

Airport level concentration measured by HHI

- Hirschmann-Herfindahl Index measures market concentration
- Weights large market shares of dominant carriers more heavily

\[ \text{HHI} = \sum (\text{Passenger Market Share per Carrier at airport})^2 \]

Average HHI measures for Top 200 US airports

- Weighted based on proportion of passenger per airport relative to the total Top 200 airports’ passenger traffic.
By 2008, the average (weighted) HHI at the Top 200 US airports increased by 8% compared to 1990.
Leisure destinations (LAS, MCO, FLL) are the biggest winners in terms of passenger traffic absolute value changes.
Major drop at HNL, and dramatic shifts from MIA to FLL, as well as from nearby Florida airports to Tampa and Orlando.
Summary: Top 200 Airports

〜 Total domestic passengers increased by 52% 1990-2005, but dropped by 2% since then
〜 The average number of destinations per airport with reported O&D traffic has been decreasing since 1995

〜 Airport market concentration levels have increased. In 2008, the average (weighted) HHI was 8% higher than in 1990

〜 LCC share of domestic traffic is still growing but leveling off, reaching 34% in 2008
〜 95 of the Top 200 airports had an LCC aggregated market share greater than 20%, up from 27 in 1990

〜 JFK, DEN and major leisure destinations have seen greatest traffic growth during the study period
〜 HNL, MIA and smaller Florida airports have lost the most traffic
Airport Market Research

Little Empirical Data, Lots of Anecdotal Evidence

W. Swelbar
A Smaller US Airline Industry

Where is growth occurring?
• What are the attributes of growing markets?

Where is shrinkage occurring?
• What are the attributes of shrinking markets?

Despite Shrinkage, Is Competition Being Preserved?

Impact of LCCs on Legacy Carrier Networks?
Policy Questions Sure to Arise

- Essential Air Service Program
  - Is 1978’s Program Still Applicable?

- Always Political Concerns Surrounding Commercial Transactions

- How Much Air Service Is Too Much Air Service?
  - 450+ Airport Markets With Commercial Air Service
  - Are We Funding Airport Projects Efficiently?

- Penultimate Question: Can Shrinking Airline Networks Support Yesterday’s Commercial Airport Map?
Further Research

- Add Some Economic/Demographic Data to Each Airport Market

- Define Airports Within the Catchment Area
  - This analysis should shed light on diminishing service at many of the US small and non-hub airports

- Most Importantly, Get Input From Airline Industry Consortium Members On What Further Research Needs to Be Done