(define (map func lst)
  (if (null? lst)
      '()
      (cons (func (car lst))
            (map func (cdr lst)))))

(define (filter predicate lst)
  (if (null? lst)
      '()
      (if (predicate (car lst))
          (cons (car lst) (filter predicate (cdr lst)))
          (filter predicate (cdr lst)))))

(define (fold-right func init lst)
  (if (null? lst)
      init
      (func (car lst)
            (fold-right func init (cdr lst)))))

Flying first-class

Procedures are first-class objects in Scheme. They may be passed in as parameters, stored in variables, and returned from functions.

Write Scheme expressions with the following names and behaviors:

1. divide-by: Given a number, return a procedure that accepts a number and divides it by this first number
2. square-and-add: Given a number, return a procedure that accepts a number, squares it, and adds the first number
3. compose: Given two procedures, return a procedure which, given an input, applies the second function then the first. Thus ((compose f g) 5) is equivalent to (f (g 5))

Then make sure you can evaluate this expression:
( (compose (square-and-add 42) (divide-by 2)) 20 ). What do you get?

consider this

1. Draw box-and-pointer for the values of the following expressions. Also give the printed representation.
2. Write expressions whose values will print out like the following.

   (1 2 3)

   (1 2 . 3)

   (((1 2) (3 4) (5 6)))

3. Write expressions using car andcdr that will return 4 when the name lst is bound to the following values:

   (7 6 5 4 3 2 1)

   (((7) (6 5 4) (3 2) 1)

   (7 (6 (5 (4 (3 (2 (1))))))))

   (7 ((6 5 ((4)) 3) 2) 1)

**Down for the Count**

Write a procedure, list-ref, with type List<A>, non-negative integer -> A, which will return the Nth element of a list. Start counting from 0 like any good computer scientist.

(define list-ref
  (lambda (L n)
    ...

**Copy cat**

Give a list L, write a procedure copy which produces a new list with fresh new cons cells but contains the same elements. Then, evaluate:

(define L1 (list 1 5 (list 8 9) 'foo (quote bar)))
(eq? L1 (copy L1))
(eq? (copy L1) (copy L1))
(equal? L1 (copy L1))
Got it backwards

Write a procedure reverse which, given a list L, returns a new list where the elements appear in the reverse order. Thus:

(reverse '(1 2 3 4 5)) => (5 4 3 2 1)
(reverse (list (list 1 2) (list 3 4) 5)) => (5 (3 4) (1 2))

A special snowflake

Create a procedure, unique, which given a list returns a new list where each element appears only once:

(unique '(1 2 2 3 4 5 4 8)) => (1 2 3 4 5 8)
Getting things all set

Suppose you and Ben Bitdiddle are working for the registrar, who has asked you to develop a Scheme system to keep track of each student’s schedule. Each class has a name, start time, and end time. For flexibility, Ben decides to model this as a number of labeled time ranges (where time is just a number):

\[
\text{(define (make-range min max label)}
\text{ (list 'range min max label))}
\]

Getting everything arranged

1. Add a \textit{range?} predicate to help determine if something is a range.
   \[
   \text{(define (range? thing)}
   \]

2. Write \textit{within-range?}, which takes a point in time and determines if it is within the specified range. Treat the endpoints of the range as being inside of it.
   \[
   \text{(define (within-range? x range)}
   \]

3. We also need to be able to group together these time ranges into a schedule. Come up with a \textit{set} abstraction which groups together multiple ranges.
   \[
   \text{(define (make-set)}
   \]

4. Write \textit{within?}, which takes a point in time and \textit{either} a set or a range; if it is a range, use \textit{within-range?} to check the bounds. If it is a set, return \texttt{#t} if it is within any of the ranges.
   \[
   \text{(define (within? x thing)}
   \]

5. Write \textit{labels-at}, which takes a point in time and a set and returns a list of the label of every range within the set that overlaps with that point. Use \texttt{map} and \texttt{filter}.
   \[
   \text{(define (labels-at x set)}
   \]

Bonus

What does the following expression evaluate to?

\[
( (\lambda (x) (x x)) (\lambda (x) (x x)) )
\]