COMS E6998-9: Algorithmic Techniques for Massive Data

Oct 8, 2015

Lecture 10 – Sketching and Nearest Neighbour Search

Instructor: Alex Andoni

Scribes: Chaoxu Zhou

1 Sketching

In this section, we will look at space complexity in number of bits instead of in number of words. First we define sketch $S(\cdot)$ as a map from \mathbb{R}^d to the space of "short-bit-strings" that given S(x) and S(y), we are able to estimate some function of x and y with a constant success probability. The goal in this section is to solve the decision version problem using sketching, that is, given r and ϵ , distinguishing $||x - y|| \leq r$ and $||x - y|| > (1 + \epsilon)r$ based on S(x) and S(y) with constant probability. We will show that a sketch of size $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ is sufficient to achieve this goal, .

Lemma 1. For every $\epsilon > 0, 1 < r < d$, a sketch $S : \{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\}^k$ can be constructed such that

(1) for any $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^d$, a decision can be generated based on S(x) and S(y), which can distinguish

$$\begin{split} \|x - y\|_1 &\leq r \quad or \\ \|x - y\|_1 &> (1 + \epsilon)r \end{split}$$

with constant success probability.

(2) $k = O(1/\epsilon^2)$.

Proof.

Part 1 r = d/C, where C > 1 is a known constant.

Let $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}$ be a random sample chosen from $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ uniformly without replacement. For every $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$, define $S(x) = (x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_k})$ where x_i is the *i*-th entry of vector x. For every $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^d$, the following decision will be made based on S(x) and S(y):

if
$$||S(x) - S(y)||_1 < \frac{r}{d}k(1 + \sqrt{C}\epsilon)$$
, report $||x - y||_1 < r$
if $||S(x) - S(y)||_1 \ge \frac{r}{d}k(1 - \sqrt{C}\epsilon)$, report $||x - y||_1 > (1 + \epsilon)r$.

Denote $\Delta = \|x - y\|_1$. and $\hat{\Delta} = \|S(x) - S(y)\|_1$. It's easy to see that $\|x - y\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}\{x_j \neq y_j\}$. As a consequence, if we pick *i* from [d] uniformly randomly, then

$$\mathbb{P}(x_i = y_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}(x_j = y_j)}{d} = \frac{d - \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}(x_j \neq y_j)}{d} = \frac{d - \Delta}{d}$$

In order establish the desired result, we need calculate the expectation and variance of $\hat{\Delta}$.

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\Delta}) = \mathbb{E}(\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{1}) = \mathbb{E}(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{I}\{x_{j_{l}} \neq y_{j_{l}}\}) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}(x_{j_{l}} \neq y_{j_{l}}) = \frac{k}{d}\Delta.$$

And

$$\operatorname{var}(\|\hat{\Delta}\|_{1}) = \operatorname{var}(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{I}\{x_{j_{l}} \neq y_{j_{l}}\})$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k} \operatorname{var}(\mathbb{I}\{x_{j_{l}} \neq y_{j_{l}}\}) \qquad (\text{by independence of } j_{1}, \dots, j_{l})$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k} (1 - \frac{\Delta}{d}) \frac{\Delta}{d} = \frac{k}{d} \Delta (1 - \frac{\Delta}{d}) \leq \frac{k}{d} \Delta.$$

Then the probability of making a wrong decision can be calculated as

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{A} \text{ wrong decision is made}) &= \mathbb{P}\{\Delta \leq r, \text{we report } \Delta \geq (1+\epsilon)r\} + \mathbb{P}\{\Delta \geq (1+\epsilon)r, \text{we report } \Delta \leq r\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\Delta \leq r, \hat{\Delta} > \frac{r}{d}k(1+\sqrt{C}\epsilon)\} + \mathbb{P}\{\Delta \geq (1+\epsilon)r, \hat{\Delta} < \frac{r}{d}k(1-\sqrt{C}\epsilon)\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\{\hat{\Delta} > \frac{\Delta}{d}k + \frac{rk\sqrt{C}}{d}\epsilon\} + \mathbb{P}\{\hat{\Delta} < \frac{\Delta}{d}k - \frac{rk\sqrt{C}}{d}\epsilon\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(|\hat{\Delta} - \frac{k}{d}\Delta| > \frac{kr\sqrt{C}}{d}\epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(|\hat{\Delta} - \mathbb{E}\hat{\Delta}| > \frac{kr\sqrt{C}}{d}\epsilon) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{var}(\hat{\Delta})}{k^2r^2C\epsilon^2/d^2} \qquad \text{(by Chebyshev's inequality)} \\ &\leq \frac{d^2}{k^2r^2C\epsilon^2}\frac{k\Delta}{d} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k\epsilon^2}. \qquad (\text{Since } \Delta \leq r \text{ and } r = d/C) \end{split}$$

Thus set $k = O(\epsilon^{-2})$ is sufficient to achieve a constant success probability.

Part 2 $2 < r \ll d$ which correspond to the case $C \to \infty$ in **Part 1** Let $u_1, \ldots, u_k \in \{0, 1\}^d$ be random vectors that $\mathbb{P}(u_{ij} = 1) = 1/r$, where u_{ij} denotes the *j*-th entry of u_{ij} . We can choose u_1, \ldots, u_k such that $\{u_{ij}\}$'s are independent for all $i = 1, \ldots, d, j = 1, \ldots, k$. We define the sketch $S : \{0, 1\}^d \to \{0, 1\}^k$ by

$$S(x) = (x^{\top}u_1, \dots, x^{\top}u_k) \mod 2$$

for every $x \in \{0, 1\}^d$.

For every $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^d$, a decision rule based on S(x) and S(y) is constructed as

if
$$||S(x) - S(y)||_1 < (1 - \epsilon) \frac{k}{2} \{1 - (1 - \frac{2}{r})^r\}$$
 report $||x - y||_1 < r$
if $||S(x) - S(y)||_1 > (1 + \epsilon) \frac{k}{2} \{1 - (1 - \frac{2}{r})^r\}$ report $||x - y||_1 \ge r$.

To verify that this decision rule has constant success probability when $k = O(\epsilon^{-2})$, we first need to calculate the expectation and variance of $\hat{\Delta} = \|S(x) - S(y)\|_1$. Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\{\hat{\Delta}\} = \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{I}(x^{\top}u_i - y^{\top}u_i \equiv 1 \mod 2)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}(x^{\top}u_i - y^{\top}u_i \equiv 1 \mod 2)$$
$$= k\mathbb{P}(x^{\top}u_1 - y^{\top}u_1 \equiv 1 \mod 2).$$

The task is converted to calculate $\mathbb{P}(x^{\top}u_1 - y^{\top}u_1 \equiv 1 \mod 2)$. Denote $D = \{j \mid x_j \neq y_j\}$, where x_j, y_j are the *j*-th entry of *x* and *y*. Write |D| to be the cardinality of *D* and note that $|D| = ||x - y||_1 = \Delta$, then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(x^{\top}u_1 - y^{\top}u_1 \equiv 1 \mod 2) = \mathbb{P}\{\sum_{j \in D} (x_j - y_j)u_j \equiv 1 \mod 2\}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j \in D} u_j \equiv 1 \mod 2).$$

The above probability equals to the probability of the value of X is even, where $X \sim \text{binomial}(\Delta, 1/r)$. Note that when Δ is odd

$$1 = (1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta} = \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta} {\Delta \choose i} (\frac{1}{r})^i (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor} {\Delta \choose 2i} (\frac{1}{r})^{2i} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - 2i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor} {\Delta \choose 2i+1} (\frac{1}{r})^{2i+1} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - 2i-1}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(X \text{ is even}) + \mathbb{P}(X \text{ is odd})$$
and

$$\begin{split} (1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta} &= (1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta} = \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta} \binom{\Delta}{i} (-\frac{1}{r})^{i} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor} \binom{\Delta}{2i} (\frac{1}{r})^{2i} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - 2i} - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor} \binom{\Delta}{2i+1} (\frac{1}{r})^{2i+1} (1 - \frac{1}{r})^{\Delta - 2i-1} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \text{ is even}) - \mathbb{P}(X \text{ is odd}). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(X \text{ is even}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(X \text{ is odd}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta},$$

when Δ is odd.

We can use exactly the same method to derive exactly the same result for Δ is even. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\Delta}) = k \mathbb{P}(x^{\top} u_1 - y^{\top} u_1 \equiv 1 \mod 2)$$
$$= k \mathbb{P}(\sum_{j \in D} u_j \equiv 1 \mod 2)$$
$$= \frac{k}{2} \{1 - (1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta}\}.$$

To calculate the variance of $\hat{\Delta}$, we know that $\hat{\Delta}$ is a summation of k independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter $\{1 - (1 - 2/r)^{\Delta}\}/2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(\hat{\Delta}) &= k \frac{1}{2} \{ 1 - (1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta} \} \frac{1}{2} \{ 1 + (1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta} \} \\ &\leq k \frac{1}{2} \{ 1 - (1 - \frac{2}{r})^{\Delta} \} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can bound the success probability of the decision rule we defined previously.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(A \text{ wrong decision is made}) &= \mathbb{P}\{\Delta < r, \text{we report } \Delta \ge (1+\epsilon)r\} + \mathbb{P}\{\Delta \ge (1+\epsilon)r, \text{we report } \Delta \le r\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\Delta < r, \hat{\Delta} > (1+\epsilon)\frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(\Delta \ge (1+\epsilon)r, \hat{\Delta} < (1-\epsilon)\frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}) \\ &\le \mathbb{P}(\hat{\Delta} > \frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^{\Delta}\} + \epsilon\frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(\hat{\Delta} < \frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^{\Delta}\} - \epsilon\frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{|\hat{\Delta} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\Delta})| > \epsilon\frac{k}{2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\} \\ &\le \frac{4\text{var}(\hat{\Delta})}{\epsilon^2 k^2}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}^{-2} \qquad \text{(by Chebyshev's inequality)} \\ &\le \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 k}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^{\Delta}\}\{1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^r\}^{-2} \end{split}$$

Since $\log(1-2/r) \le -2/r$, we have $(1-2/r)^r = \exp\{r\log(1-2/r)\} \le \exp(-2)$ and noting that $1-(1-\frac{2}{r})^{\Delta} < 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \text{ wrong decision is made}) \le \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 k} \frac{1}{(1 - \exp(-2))^2}.$$

Thus setting $k = O(\epsilon^{-2})$ is sufficient to achieve a constant success probability.

2 Approach 1: Nearest Neighbour Search

Definition 2. A c- approximate r- nearest neighbour search procedure is a procedure that given a query point q which returns a point p' in the domain such that $normp' - q \leq cr$ given that c > 1 and there exists p^* that $||p^* - q|| \leq r$.

2.1 Boosted Sketch

Let S be the sketch in the decision version probability that based on which a decision rule with constant success probability could be generated. Define a new sketch W by keeping $k = O(\log n)$ copies of S and the decision is the majority answer of the k decisions. Therefore the sketch size is $O(\epsilon^{-2} \log n)$ and the success probability is $1 - 1/n^2$. To use this method we need to compute all points p in the domain in advance and when we make a query we need to compute W(q), and compute distance to all points using sketch. There is an improvement of computation time from O(nd) to $O(n\epsilon^{-2} \log n)$.

2.2 Approach 2

The goal of this approach is to improve computation time from $O(n\epsilon^{-2}\log n)$ to O(n). The result is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 3 (KOR98). To achieve $(1+\epsilon)$ - approximation of nearest neighbour search procedure, $O(d\epsilon^{-2}\log n)$ query time and $n^{O(\epsilon^{-2})}$ is sufficient.

The idea of the construction and the proof is by noting that W(q), which is defined in "Approach 1", has $w = O(\epsilon^{-2} \log n)$ bits and there are only 2^w possible sketches. Thus we can store an answer for each of $2^w = n^{O(\epsilon^{-2})}$ possible inputs.