

Comparative Statics for Mechanism Design

•

- Question: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. When is $x^*(\theta) = \arg \max f(x, \theta)$
 $\uparrow \theta$?

- Single Crossing Properties

- *Definition:* $f(x, \theta)$ satisfies Single Crossing Property of Incremental Returns if $\forall \theta^H > \theta^L, \forall x^H > x^L$

$$f(x^H, \theta^L) - f(x^L, \theta^L) \geq (>)0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x^H, \theta^H) - f(x^L, \theta^H) \geq (>)0.$$

- Definition: If f is differentiable in x , $f(x, \theta)$ satisfies Single Crossing Property of Marginal Returns (SCP-MR) if

$$\forall \theta^H > \theta^L, \forall x \quad f_1(x, \theta^L) \geq (>)0 \Rightarrow f_1(x, \theta^H) \geq (>)0.$$

- Discrete Case:

- Suppose $S = \{x^L, x^H\}$ SCP-IR satisfied. Then, $x^*(\theta)$ is nondecreasing SSO.
- $\theta < \theta', x^*(\theta) = \{x^L\}$
- $\theta' \leq \theta \leq \theta'', x^*(\theta) = \{x^L, x^H\}$
- $\theta > \theta'', x^*(\theta) = \{x^H\}$

- Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon):

$x^*(\theta, S) = \arg \max_{x \in S} f(x, \theta)$ is nondecreasing (SSO) in (θ, S)

IF AND ONLY IF

f satisfies SCP-IR.

Note: necessity result relies on quantifying over sets.

- Definition: If $f(x, \theta)$ is differentiable in x , f satisfies the Strict SCP-MR if:

$$\forall x, \forall \theta_H > \theta_L, \quad f_1(x, \theta^L) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(x, \theta^H) > 0$$

Note: definition implies that agent can't be indifferent over region of x)

- Theorem: If f is differentiable and $x^*(\theta)$ is interior, and if f satisfies the Strict SCP-MR, then $x^*(\theta)$ is strictly increasing in θ .

- Comparison:

– f satisfies the strong SCP-IR if, for all $\theta_H > \theta_L$ and all $x^H > x^L$,

$$f(x^H, \theta^L) - f(x^L, \theta^L) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x^H, \theta^H) - f(x^L, \theta^H) > 0$$

– Fact: Strong SCP-IR not sufficient so that $x^*(\theta)$ strictly increasing in θ .

- Only true if $f(x, \theta)$ is differentiable in x and interior optimum.
- Mechanism Design
 - Agent's objective: $u(x, \theta) - t(x)$
 - Separation: $x^*(\theta)$ strictly increasing
 - Pooling: $x^*(\theta)$ constant somewhere
- Question: When is $x^*(\theta) = \arg \max_{x \in S} u(x, \theta) - t(x)$ nondecreasing for all $t(x)$?
 - Answer: necessary and sufficient condition is that u is supermodular.
 - Motivation: $t(x)$ is endogenously determined. We would like comparative statics result to hold no matter what the principal chooses!
 - To see this: $t(x)$ is independent of θ .
 - SCP-IR is not preserved by adding function $t(x)$
 - Sufficiency: supermodularity \Rightarrow SCP-IR.
 - Necessity: $u(x, \theta) - t(x)$ satisfies SCP-IR for all t , iff u is supermodular. See graph.

- General: $u(x, t(x), \theta)$ (assume u differentiable, $u_2 \neq 0$)
 - Spence Mirrlees SCP (SM-SCP): $\frac{u_1}{|u_2|}(x, y, \theta) \uparrow \theta$
 - Fact: (Milgram/Shannon) $u(x, t(x), \theta)$ satisfies SCP-IR in $(x, \theta) \forall t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\frac{u_1}{|u_2|} \uparrow \theta$ (SM-SCP)
 - Same as SCP of $x - y$ indifference curves
 - higher types have steeper indifference curves

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, \theta) &= \bar{u} \\ u_1 dx + u_2 dy &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{u_1}{u_2}$$

- Summary of Comparative Statics for Mechanism Design
 - Agent's objective: $u(x, t(x), \theta)$
 - If objective satisfies SCP-IR, $x^*(\theta)$ is nondecreasing in θ (SSO).
 - If objective satisfies strict SCP-IR, every selection from $x^*(\theta)$ is nondecreasing in θ .
 - If obj. differentiable in x and interior optimum, strict SCP-MR $\Rightarrow x^*(\theta)$ is strictly increasing.
 - In order to get “pooling,” need non-differentiability.
- Generalized Envelope Theorem (Milgrom)

- Theorem: Let S be a non-empty subset of a compact set and suppose that for all $\theta \in [\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$, $x^*(\theta) = \arg \max_{x \in S} f(x, \theta)$ is non-empty and $f(x, \theta)$ is continuous in x . Further assume that the partial derivative f_θ exists and is continuous in (x, θ) . Then for any selection $\hat{x}(\theta)$ from $x^*(\theta)$,

$$f(\hat{x}(\theta), \theta) = f(\hat{x}(\underline{\theta}), \underline{\theta}) + \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\theta} f_\theta(\hat{x}(\tilde{\theta}), \tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\theta}.$$