Double layer in ionic liquids: Overscreening vs. crowding
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We develop a simple Landau-Ginzburg-type continuum theory of solvent-free ionic liquids and use it to predict the structure of the electrical double layer. The model captures overscreening from short-range correlations, dominant at small voltages, and steric constraints of finite ion sizes, which prevail at large voltages. Increasing the voltage gradually suppresses overscreening in favor of the crowding of counterions in a condensed inner layer near the electrode. The predicted ion profiles and capacitance-voltage relations are consistent with recent computer simulations and experiments on room-temperature ionic liquids, using a correlation length of order the ion size.

PACS numbers:

Introduction. — The rediscovery of room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) as designer solvents promised a revolution in synthetic chemistry [1]. Thousands of RTILs have been synthesized with large organic cations and similar organic or smaller inorganic anions. Nonvolatile and capable of withstanding up to ±4-6 V without decomposition, RTILs also hold promise as solvent-free electrolytes for super-capacitors, solar cells, batteries and electroactuators [2–10].

For such applications, it is crucial to understand the structure of the RTIL/electrode double layer. The classical Gouy-Chapman-Stern (GCS) model for dilute electrolytes was used to interpret RTIL capacitance data until recently, when a mean-field theory for the crowding of finite-sized ions [11] suggested bell or camel shapes of the differential capacitance versus voltage, decaying as \( C \sim V^{-1/2} \). These were basically confirmed in subsequent experimental [12–16], theoretical [17–19] and computational [20–24] studies. Similar theories have also been developed for highly concentrated electrolytic solutions [25–27], but none of these models accounts for short-range Coulomb correlations [28], which could be very strong in RTIL [29]. As first revealed by linear response theories of molten salts [30], correlations generally lead to over-screening [28], where the first layer at the electrode delivers more counter-charge than is on the surface; the next layer then sees a smaller net charge of the opposite sign, which it again overscreens; and so on, until neutrality is reached. Recent computer simulations of a model RTIL/electrode interface have demonstrated overscreening structures at low voltage, similar to experiments [29], which are gradually overcome by the formation of a condensed layer of counter-ions at high voltage [20], as shown in Fig. 1.

In this Letter, we suggest a phenomenological theory to describe the interplay between over-screening and crowding. Compared to more involved models of statistical mechanics, the theory only crudely approximates discrete interactions near a surface, but it is simple enough to be applied to dynamical problems in nanotribology, electroactuation, and porous super-capacitors.

Theory. — We propose a Landau-Ginzburg-like functional for the total free energy [31]:

\[
G = \int_V d\mathbf{r} \left\{ g + \rho \phi - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left[ |\nabla \phi|^2 + \ell_c^2 (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 \right] \right\} + \int_S d\mathbf{r}_s q_s \phi
\]

where \( g(c_+, c_-) \) is the enthalpy density, depending on the ionic concentrations \( c_{\pm} \), as described below; \( \rho = e(z_+c_+ - z_-c_-) \) is the mean charge density in the liquid volume \( V \); \( q_s \) is the surface charge density on a bounding metal surface \( S \); \( \phi \) is the mean electrostatic potential, and we subtract the self energy of the electric field \(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2\), assuming a constant permittivity \( \varepsilon \) to describe the polarizability of the ions. The first three terms in brackets are those
used in mean-field theories of ionic liquids [11], ionic crystals [32] and electrolytes [25, 33]. To go beyond that approximation, we introduce the next allowable potential-gradient term, $-\frac{\xi}{2} \ell^2 (\nabla^2 \phi)^2$, similar to Cahn-Hilliard concentration-gradient expansions [34, 35], where $\ell$ is an electrostatic correlation length [31].

The sign of the correlation term is negative to describe over-screening in strongly correlated liquids: The energy is lowered by enhancing the curvature of $\phi$, a measure of the “mean-field charge density”, $\bar{\rho} \equiv -\varepsilon \nabla^2 \phi$. For point charges, $\ell$ is on the order of the Bjerrum length $\ell_B = (\varepsilon e)^2 / 4\pi \varepsilon kT$ (in SI units). For RTILs with $\varepsilon \approx 10\varepsilon_0$, the Bjerrum length, $\ell_B \approx 5.5$ nm, is much larger than the ion diameter, $a \approx 1$ nm [1], so $\ell \approx a$ is typically at the molecular scale [29].

Setting $\delta G/\delta \phi = 0$ for bulk and surface variations [31], we obtain a modified Poisson equation [40] [41] and modified electrostatic boundary condition, respectively:

$$\varepsilon (\ell^2 \nabla^2 - 1) \nabla^2 \phi = \rho = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}, \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{n} \cdot \varepsilon (\ell^2 \nabla^2 - 1) \nabla \phi = q_a = \hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ is the displacement field. Due to correlations, the medium permittivity $\varepsilon$, defined by $\mathbf{D} = -\varepsilon \nabla \phi$, is a linear differential operator, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon (1 - \ell^2 \nabla^2)$, whose Fourier transform (valid for wavenumber $|k| \ll \ell^{-1}$), $\varepsilon_k \approx \varepsilon (1 + \ell^2 k^2)$, increases with $k$, as is typical for molten salts [36]. It is important to note that our $\varepsilon$ is not the complete dielectric function of the ionic liquid, which should diverge at small $k$, as for any conducting medium [36]. This divergence is subtracted since translational degrees of freedom are treated explicitly via $\rho(\phi)$, which also takes into account the nonlinear response in the rearrangement of ions in our model, $\hat{\varepsilon}$ approximates the linear dielectric response of the liquid of correlated ion pairs (zwitterions), which are considered to be bound by stronger forces, independent of the mean electric field.

Since Poisson’s equation (2) is now fourth-order, we need additional boundary conditions, similar to electro-dynamics with spatial dispersion [37]. Consistent with our bulk gradient expansion, we neglect correlations at the surface and apply the standard boundary condition, $-\varepsilon \hat{n} \cdot \nabla \phi = q_a$. Equation (3) then implies $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla (\nabla^2 \phi) = 0$, which requires that the mean-field charge density is “flat” at the surface, $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho} = 0$, consistent with a continuum model of finite-sized ions.

Following Ref. [11], we describe crowding effects via the classical model [42]:

$$g = \frac{k_B T}{v} \left( v c_+ \ln (v c_+) + v c_- \ln (v c_-) \right. + \left. [1 - v(c_+ + c_-)] \ln [1 - v(c_+ + c_-)] \right)$$

(4)

which is the entropy density $g = -TS/v$ of an ideal solution of cations, anions, and holes, respectively, of minimum volume $v$. We set $v = (\pi/6)a^3/\Phi_{max} = 0.83a^3$ for random close packing of spheres at volume fraction $\Phi_{max} = 0.63$. More accurate expressions for $g$ are available for uniform hard-sphere mixtures [25], but, due to the breakdown of the local-density approximation [28], they over-estimate steric repulsion in the double layer [38]. The weaker repulsion in (4) actually provides a better first approximation for the packing entropy.

The electrochemical potentials of the ions are then

$$\mu_\pm = \frac{\delta G}{\delta c_\pm} = k_B T \ln \left[ \frac{c_\pm}{1 - v(c_+ + c_-)} \right] \pm z_\pm \varepsilon \phi,$$

(5)

and their gradients $\nabla \mu_\pm$ produce ionic fluxes [25]. In equilibrium with a reference solution with $\phi = 0$ and volume fraction, $\gamma = 2v c_+ e^f = 2v c_- e^f$, the conditions $\mu_\pm = \text{constant}$ determine the Fermi-like charge density distribution, $\rho(\phi)$. In electrolytes, $\gamma$ is the volume frac-
tion of solvated ions in the bulk [25, 26, 33, 39]. In ionic liquids \(\gamma \leq 1\) is the ratio of the bulk ion density to the maximum possible density, which characterizes their ability to compress [11]. In equilibrium, we obtain a (dimensionless) modified Poisson-Fermi equation,

\[
(1 - \delta^2 \nabla^2) \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\sinh \phi}{1 + 2\gamma \sinh^2(\phi/2)} = -\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\phi})
\]

where \(\tilde{x} = x/\lambda_D\), \(\tilde{x} = \lambda_D \nabla\), \(\tilde{\phi} = ze\phi/k_BT\). Here, \(\lambda_D = \sqrt{\varepsilon k_BT/e}\) is the Debye screening length, and \(\delta = \ell_c/\lambda_D\) is the dimensionless correlation length, which controls deviations from the mean-field theory. For \(\varepsilon = 10\varepsilon_0\) and \(a = 10 \text{ Å}\), the Debye length is very small, \(\lambda_D = 1.1 \text{ Å}\), so the ion size \(a\) becomes the relevant length scale [43]. If we chose \(\delta = 10\) to reproduce double-layer properties from simulations [20] (below), then correlations are indeed at the molecular scale, \(\ell_c \approx a\).

Results. — Let us apply our model to a half space by solving \(\tilde{\delta}^2 \tilde{\phi}'' - \tilde{\phi}'' = \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\phi})\) for \(\tilde{x} > 0\) subject to \(\tilde{\phi}''(0) = 0\), and \(\tilde{\phi}(0) = \tilde{V} = zeV/k_BT\), where \(V\) is the surface potential relative to the bulk. We solve the model analytically for small, moderate and large voltages [31] and compare with numerical solutions.

1. Structure of the double layer. In Fig. 2 we show the calculated charge density (a), mass density and ion concentrations (b) for \(\gamma = 1/2\) and \(\delta = 10\). For \(a = 10\ \text{Å}, T = 450K\) and \(\varepsilon = 5\varepsilon_0\), which imply \(\ell_c = 0.95a\), the model predicts molecular-scale charge-density oscillations, similar to experiments [29] and in good agreement with simulations [20], as shown in Fig. 3. At small potentials, the oscillation period and damping length are \(\lambda_0 \sim 2\pi \sqrt{2\varepsilon_c}\) for \(\delta = 1\) [31], or with units restored, \(\lambda_0 \sim 2\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon_c}\) for \(\delta = 1\) [31], or with units restored.

\[
\tilde{C}_d = \frac{C_d \lambda_D}{\varepsilon} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon_c} + 1}{\delta + 1}\quad \text{for } |\tilde{V}| \ll 1.
\]

By extending the Composite Diffuse Layer Model of Ref. [26] we can also approximate \(C_d\) at moderate voltages, once the condensed counterion layer forms and \(\delta \gg 1\):

\[
\tilde{C}_d \sim \frac{8^{5/4}}{3(\delta^2_c V)}\quad \text{for } \frac{128}{81\gamma} \ll |\tilde{V}| \ll \frac{81}{128\gamma \delta_c^2}.
\]
This scaling breaks down at very large voltages when the condensed layer of charge grows enough to dominate the capacitance, yielding \( \tilde{C}_d \sim \sqrt{2/\gamma V} \) as in the mean-field theory \([11, 26]\). These scalings compare well with numerical solutions for \( \delta_0 \gg 1 \) \([31]\) and explain why our model is closer to simulations than the mean-field theory without correlations (Fig. 4).

**Conclusion.** — In this paper we have made a first attempt to describe both overscreening and crowding in dense Coulomb liquids, such as RTILs and molten salts. Our simple phenomenological theory predicts that overscreening is pronounced at small voltages and gradually replaced by the formation of a condensed layer of counterions, followed by complete lattice saturation at very large voltages. Each of these three regimes is characterized by its own capacitance-voltage dependence. Our findings are in line with simulations and experiments, and they give a more complete picture of the nonlinear polarization of ionic liquids.
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