



The Capital Asset Pricing Model and Strategic Planning

Birger Wernerfelt

Management Science, Vol. 31, No. 4. (Apr., 1985), p. 510.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0025-1909%28198504%2931%3A4%3C510%3ATCAPMA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-0>

Management Science is currently published by INFORMS.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/informs.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL AND STRATEGIC PLANNING†

BIRGER WERNERFELT

*Graduate School of Business Administration, University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109*

We make two clarifying comments on a recent paper by Naylor and Tapon (1982). The conclusions of their paper are significantly affected by this.

The sole purpose of this note is to make two clarifying comments on a recent paper by Naylor and Tapon (NT) (1982).

1. In Naylor and Tapon (1982), it is recommended that firms should seek low covariances between the returns of different divisions (pp. 1169, 1170). According to the capital asset pricing model (CAPM), this is irrelevant. The value of a conglomerate is the sum of the values of the individual divisions and these are again only dependent on their rates of return and systematic risks, plus market factors.

2. NT further suggest that firms should buy or sell divisions based solely on their risk/return properties (pp. 1169, 1170). According to CAPM, such businesses will on the average be valued accurately by the market, such that our firm gains nothing in the trade. Instead, the stockholders can diversify individually. Firms can only do better than stockholders if there are operating synergies between the divisions such that returns or systematic risks change.

* All Notes are refereed.

† Accepted by Ambar G. Rao; received November 7, 1983.

Reference

NAYLOR, T. H. AND F. TAPON, "The Capital Asset Pricing Model: An Evaluation of Its Potential as a Strategic Planning Tool," *Management Sci.*, 28 (1982), 1166-1173.

THE CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL AND STRATEGIC PLANNING: RESPONSE TO WERNERFELT*

T. H. NAYLOR AND F. TAPON

Fuqua School of Business, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706

Wernerfelt (1983) in commenting upon our paper (1982) argues that the value of a firm on the stock market is unaffected by diversification into many different product lines. This follows from the fact that at an efficient capital market equilibrium, investors have already exploited all opportunities to combine firms into portfolios and

* Accepted by Ambar G. Rao; received February 1984.