the CDW diffraction pattern at 28 T becomes sharper not only along the \(k\) direction (Fig. 3C) but also along the \(l\) direction (perpendicular to the \(CuO_2\) planes). This indicates that CDW correlations along the \(c\) axis are enhanced \(\xi_c = 5\delta(4)\) and \(50(2)\) Å at 20 and 28 T, respectively, where the numbers in parentheses are the error bars—concomitant with roughly a threefold increase of the peak height. Even though these values of \(\xi_c\) are lower bounds, because they have not been corrected for the instrument resolution (24) they are considerably larger than that of the zero-field CDW \(\xi_c \approx 7\) Å (8), indicating that the field-induced CDW at \(l = 1\) is much more correlated in all three dimensions than is the zero-field CDW. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 4, C and D, the CDW peak positions are identical at 20 and 28 T. There has been speculation that the in-plane component of the CDW Q vector may shift and lock in to a commensurate value at high magnetic fields (25). However, within our experimental resolution the field-induced in-plane components of the Q vector \(k = 0.00(1), \delta = 0.318(10)\) are identical to that of the zero-field CDW.

A field-induced spin density wave (SDW) has been observed in \(La_2-xSrCuO_4\) at weaker fields \(\approx 6\) T, which is also peaked at integer \(l\) owing to an alignment of SDW patches, associated with the vortex cores (26). However, the emergence of field-induced CDW order at \(l = 1\) is unlikely to be caused by the alignment of CDW regions that are associated with vortices (2). This is because at magnetic fields beyond 20 T, the distance between vortices, if still present, would be less than \(\approx 10\) Å in the \(CuO_2\) plane (27), which is already smaller than the in-plane CDW correlation length at these field strengths (Fig. 3C).

There are implications of the observed field-induced 3D CDW at \(l = 1\). First, its emergence at high fields and low temperatures implies a boundary that separates the phase diagram into different CDW regions, as also suggested by ultrasonic (22) and NMR measurements (19). Second, given that a field-dependence of the CDW order is only observed below \(T_c(0)\) (Fig. 2), we infer that the enhancement is related to the suppression of superconductivity. Thus, the growth of the CDW peak intensity in fields up to 28 T suggests that superconducting correlations may exist beyond the upper critical field that was deduced from transport measurements (26, 29).

Third, our observations shed light on quantum oscillation results, which have been interpreted as evidence for the existence of small electron pockets in the “nodal” region of the Brillouin zone (4, 5, 30). It is plausible that the Fermi surface is reconstructed by the stronger field-induced CDW at \(l = 1\), rather than the shorter-range correlated one at \(l = \frac{1}{2}\) (31). Last, the relation between the zero-field and field-induced CDW is puzzling. On the one hand, they seem unrelated because they exhibit distinct temperature and field dependences, as well as a different ordering perpendicular to the \(CuO_2\) planes. On the other hand, they must be somehow related because they feature the same in-plane CDW incommensurability \(q\). Thus, our results reveal a rich CDW phenomenology in cuprates, which is not a simple competition with HTSC.
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**Multifunctionality of chiton biomineralized armor with an integrated visual system**

Ling Li,1,2,‡ Matthew J. Connors,1,‡ Mathias Kolle,3 Grant T. England,2 Daniel I. Speiser,4 Xianghui Xiao,5 Joanna Aizenberg,2,6,7 Christine Ortiz1

Nature provides a multitude of examples of multifunctional structural materials in which trade-offs are imposed by conflicting functional requirements. One such example is the biomineralized armor of the chiton Acanthopleura granulata, which incorporates an integrated sensory system that includes hundreds of eyes with aragonite-based lenses. We use optical experiments to demonstrate that these microscopic lenses are able to form images. Light scattering by the polycrystalline lenses is minimized by the use of relatively large, crystallographically aligned grains. Multiscale mechanical testing reveals that the size, complexity, and functionality of the integrated sensory elements increase, the local mechanical performance of the armor decreases. However, A. granulata has evolved several strategies to compensate for its mechanical vulnerabilities to form a multipurpose system with co-optimized optical and structural functions.

The design of structural materials with additional integrated functionality, such as energy storage (1), sensing (2), and self-healing (3), is an emergent field that holds great potential for a diversity of engineering applications. Nature provides a multitude of multifunctional structural material systems, such as brittle stars (photosensitisation) (4), sponges (fibroptic feature) (5, 6), limpets (photonic coloration) (7), and bivalves (optical transparency) (8, 9). Understanding the materials-level trade-offs imposed by the conflicting functional requirements of these systems is key to extracting design principles for innovative material solutions (10, 11).

We investigate the multifunctional design and performance of the biomineralized armor of
The intertidal chiton *Acanthopleura granulata*, which contains an integrated visual system. Chitons are the only known group of extant mollusks to have living tissue integrated within the outermost layer of their shells (12). This tissue forms a complex network of channels that open dorsally as sensory organs known as aesthetes. A variety of functions have been proposed for the aesthetes (13), although observation of the phototactic behavior of a number of chitons (14, 15) suggest that photoreception may be a predominant role. In certain species, the aesthetes include hundreds of lens eyes (16, 17) that may be able to spatially resolve objects (18). In stark contrast to the protein-based lenses of most animal eyes, the lenses of chitons, like their shells, are principally composed of aragonite (18). Unlike the few other eyes known to contain lenses made of calcium carbonate, such as those of trilobites (19, 20), the eyes of chitons are integrated within and dispersed across their entire dorsal shell surface instead of being localized to a particular region of the body. Although the calcitic lenses of brittle stars are also dispersed across their dorsal arm plates (4), it is unclear whether they enable spatial vision in a fashion similar to the lenses of chitons (18). Here, we show that the chiton *A. granulata* is able to tailor the local geometry, crystallography, and interfaces of aragonite to achieve a multifunctional armor.

The two main sensory structures in the shell of *A. granulata* (Fig. 1A and fig. S1) appear on the surface as small bumps ~50 μm in diameter (Fig. 1, B and C). The more numerous megalaeasthetes, which are common to all chitons, maintain the same opacity as nonsensory regions and are capped with a pore, which appears as a black spot in scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) (Fig. 1C). The eyes are distinguished by their translucent lenses, which are encircled by dark areas containing the pigment pheomelanin (21) (outer diameter, 86 ± 4 μm; n = 10) (Fig. 1B). Both sensory structures are located within the valleys formed by the large nonsensory protrusions (diameter, ~200 μm; height, ~100 μm), as revealed by the three-dimensional (3D) stereographic reconstruction of the shell surface (Fig. 1, D and E). SEM imaging revealed that the surfaces of the lenses are much smoother than those covering the neighboring megalaeasthetes and nonsensory protrusions (Fig. 1C, inset).

Synchrotron x-ray microcomputed tomography (μ-CT) was used to investigate the 3D morphology of the megalaeasthetes and eyes (Fig. 1, F and G; fig. S2; and movies S1 and S2). In contrast to the cylindrical chamber of the megalaeasthetes (width of chamber, ~40 μm), the specialized chamber underneath the lens is pear-shaped and has a depth and width of 56 ± 7 and 76 ± 5 μm, respectively (n = 7) (see fig. S3 for detailed morphometric measurements). This expansion results in an eye chamber whose volume is ~5 times as great as that of the megalaeathete. Numerous small sensory pores, known as microaesthetes, were observed branching from the chambers of the megalaeasthetes and eyes to the shell surface (fig. S2, bottom). Highly x-ray-absorbent structures, later determined to be intrachamber calcified material (ICCM), were discovered within both chambers (fig. S4). In the eye, the ICCM forms a C-shaped pocket that likely encircles the retina (Fig. 1G). The lens region of the eyes is 38 ± 2 μm (n = 7) thick and slightly elongated in the direction of the optic canal, which we denote as the longitudinal direction (Fig. 1H). Figure 1I illustrates the average cross-sectional shape of the lens in the longitudinal and transverse planes. The top and bottom surfaces of the lens were generally best fit with parabolic profiles in comparison with spherical ones (fig. S5).

Next, we compared the fine structure, composition, and crystallography of the lens region of the eyes to the bulk of the calcified portion of the outer shell layer. Polished cross sections of eyes viewed under cross-polarized light (Fig. 2A) showed that the lenses have a relatively uniform
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**Fig. 1. Structure of the sensory elements integrated within the protective shell of the chiton *Acanthopleura granulata* (A) Photograph of *A. granulata*, (B) light micrograph, and (C) corresponding SEM image of a region of the shell surface containing multiple eyes and megalaeasthetes. The inset of (C) highlights the smooth and rough surfaces of the eyes and megalaeasthetes (white arrows), respectively. (D and E) SEM-derived stereographic reconstruction of the shell surface: (D) surface morphology and (E) height. (F and G) 3D-μ-CT reconstructions of a megalaeathete (F) and an eye (G), highlighting the calcified structures: outer shell layer and continuous cornea (blue), ICCM (orange), and lens (green). Numerous microaesthetes branch from the eyes and megalaeasthetes. N, T, and L refer to normal, transverse, and longitudinal directions, respectively. (H) Bottom view of the lens region of (G), showing the elongated geometry along the optic canal direction (equivalent to longitudinal direction). (I) Curvature of the lenses in the T and L cross sections measured with μ-CT and fittings with parabolic curves.
grayscale level compared with the surrounding bulk granular microstructure, which is known to have weak preferred grain orientations in the chiton *Tonicella marmorea* (22). This suggested that the lens is either a single crystal or is polycrystalline with highly aligned grains. The clear boundaries between the lens and granular microstructure in Fig. 2A indicate a delicate control of crystalllography in the lens region. A thin (~5 µm thick) concavo-convex corneal layer covers the lens and is continuous with the surrounding granular microstructure. Sectioning an eye by focused ion beam (FIB) milling revealed the presence of two additional layers, L1 and L2, underlying the lens (Fig. 2B). Energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) indicated that L1 is mainly composed of organic materials, whereas L2 contains calcium (Fig. 2C). Many struts branch dorsally from L2 to the chamber walls (Fig. S4). They correspond in size, shape, and location to the aforementioned ICCM observed in the chamber with µCT (Fig. 1G).

The crystallographic pole figures obtained with electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) confirmed that the lens has a strong crystallographic texture, indicated by the regions of localized intensity, which is in stark contrast to the weak texture of the surrounding granular region in Fig. 2D. Integrating the c axis from the pole figure of the lens shows that the full width at half maximum is ~4°, which indicates that the c axes of the grains are highly aligned (fig. S6). The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image and corresponding fast Fourier transformation (FFT) pattern from the lens (Fig. 2E) and the bright-field TEM image and corresponding selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the granular microstructure (Fig. 2F) further highlight the small size and large crystallographic mismatch between grains in the lens and granular microstructure, respectively. Because the effective refractive indices of aragonite are orientation-dependent, the uniform crystallographic orientation of the grains in the lens likely minimizes light scattering. EBSD and SAED of multiple lenses found that the polar angle θ between the c axis and optical axis was about 45°, whereas the orientations of the a and b axes were inconsistent (fig. S7). Because aragonite is a pseudo-uniaxial crystal, the non-normal orientation of the c axes should generate double refraction, which is consistent with observations that the lenses are birefringent when viewed with polarized light (17, 18). In addition, EBSD showed that the lenses have an average grain size of roughly 10 µm, which is approximately an order of magnitude greater than that of the surrounding granular microstructure (fig. S8). High-resolution SEM images of polished cross sections display faint and clear grain boundaries in the lens and surrounding granular regions, respectively (fig. S9). The likely function of the large grains is to reduce the number and area of grain boundaries, which will minimize light scattering. Furthermore, HRTEM images suggest that the lens may possess less intracrystalline organic material than the surrounding granular microstructure (fig. S10), which may also serve to reduce light scattering (8). TEM imaging and corresponding electron diffraction suggest that layers L1 and L2 are amorphous, despite a fine conformal layered structure observed in L2 (Fig. 2, G and H).

The optical performance of individual eyes of *A. granulata* was investigated with both theoretical modeling and experimental measurements. First, key elements of the geometry, composition, and crystallography of the lens were combined in 2D ray-trace simulations to investigate the locations of rear focal points, F. For each possible external environment, air and seawater, F of the ordinary and extraordinary rays were calculated in two orthogonal extremes, the transverse and longitudinal cross sections (Fig. 3A; see fig. S11 for detailed simulation results). The ranges of F in air and seawater, 8 to 28 and 25 to 51 µm below L2, respectively, lie within the geometrically permitted axial range of photoreceptive tissue, ~4 to 52 µm, which is constrained above by ICCM and below by the bottom of the chamber. If θ were 0° or 90° instead of 45°, the maximum values of F in seawater would be 35 or 71 µm, which means the chamber would be unnecessarily large or small, respectively. Thus, the geometry of the chamber is highly consistent with θ = 45°.

The authors of a previous behavioral study of *A. granulata* hypothesized that the chiton may be required to use different polarizations of light to see in different tidal environments (18). In other words, only one of the two refractive indices of the birefringent lens could possibly focus light onto the photoreceptive region of the eye chamber in air, whereas the other does so in seawater. Although all of our simulated focal points fall within the eye chamber, we do not know the exact position and shape of the chiton retina, so we cannot conclude that birefringence is indeed functional. If this is not the case, it is puzzling why θ is not 0°, which would improve image quality by eliminating aberrations from double refraction as in trilobites (19) and brittle stars (4).

Because the small size (12) and perceived curvature (23) of the chiton lenses have cast doubt on their ability to form images, we decided to investigate experimentally their image formation capability by projecting objects through individual lenses in water (Fig. 3B, top). The middle image of Fig. 3B demonstrates that the lenses can form clear images of a predatory fish. These images are equivalent to those generated by a 20-cm-long fish that is 30 cm away. However, the bottom pixelated image of Fig. 3B represents what the eye is probably capable of resolving, because image quality is constrained by the spacing of the photoreceptors, s ~7 µm (18). This suggests that the maximum distance at which *A. granulata* can spatially resolve a 20-cm object is ~2 m, because at this object distance the image will be approximately the size of a single photoreceptor. This resolution allows chitons to...
quickly respond to approaching predators by clamping down to the substrate so that they are not easily dislodged (18).

The clear images produced by individual lenses allowed us to test the accuracy of our simulations. We determined the focal length, \( f \), of individual eyes by measuring the dimensions of images produced from a known object at a number of object distances (Fig. 3C). The obtained \( f = 72 \pm 17 \) \( \mu \)m is comparable to the maximum value of \( f, \) 65 \( \mu \)m, determined from ray-trace simulations (Fig. 3A).

This allowed us to quantify the resolution of an individual eye, \( \Delta \psi \), using \( \Delta \psi = \tan^{-1}(a/f) \) (24), which ranges from 8° to 33° in air and 6° to 9° in seawater, respectively. These results explain the outcome of previous behavioral experiments in which \( A. \) granulata was able to distinguish between dark targets with angular sizes of ~9° and equivalent, uniform decreases in illumination in both air and seawater (18).

Double refraction was observed during image-formation experiments (fig. S12), but not consistently, which may be because the optical axes of the lens and microscope were not aligned parallel in each trial. Similarly, the extent of astigmatism observed was variable, presumably because we did not know the orientation of the transverse and longitudinal directions of each lens relative to the horizontal and vertical lines of our test objects. However, the maximum astigmatism observed, \( \Delta F = 19 \) \( \mu \)m, is consistent with the maximum, \( \Delta F = 17 \) \( \mu \)m, predicted by our ray-trace simulations. Additional metrics of optical performance, including \( F \) number, sensitivity, and field of view, can be found in fig. S13.

Relative to the solid nonsensory regions of the outer shell layer, the integration of sensory structures introduces large, localized volumes of soft sensory tissue and modifies the aragonite-based microstructure at the intrinsic material level. We hypothesized that these changes might affect the mechanical robustness of the shell, which is surely critical to the survival of these animals. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the mechanical behavior of the outer shell layer with instrumented indentation at two length scales (Fig. 4).

At the ~5-\( \mu \)m scale (maximum load ~5 mN), both the lens and surrounding granular microstructure exhibit similar indentation modulus (\( E_{\text{OP}} \), ~70 GPa) and hardness (\( H_{\text{OP}} \), ~5 GPa) (Fig. 4A). However, nanoindentation with a sharp conospherical tip induces radial cracking in the lens region but not in the granular microstructure (Fig. 4B). This behavior of more brittle fracture in the lens region might be due to its pseudo-single crystalline nature. To probe the mechanical behavior on the scale of the entire sensory structures, we used a flat punch tip to perform “crush” experiments on the eyes, megaesthetes, and solid nonsensory regions (Fig. 4C). As illustrated by the load-depth curves in Fig. 4D, compression of eyes first induced gradual fracture of the protective corneal layer (Fig. 4D, inset) and eventually led to catastrophic failure by pushing the entire lens into the chamber, as shown in the post-test SEM image (Fig. 4E). The average load for the catastrophic fracture of a lens is slightly less than 1 N (0.84 ± 0.11 N). With a maximum load of 1 N, the megaesthetes exhibited stepwise microfracture up to the maximum load without catastrophic failure (Fig. 4E). Similar indentation on the solid nonsensory protrusions induced a relatively small amount of permanent deformation, demonstrating its greater mechanical integrity (Fig. 4E).

The structure/property/performance relationships of the shell plates of \( A. \) granulata demonstrate that trade-offs are present at the materials level within a single protective armor system. The shells of chitons have evolved to satisfy two conflicting design requirements, protection and sensation. Three design aspects are fundamental to the functional integration of the sensory structures within the armor: (i) the incorporation of soft sensory tissue (creation of a porous network), (ii) modification of the local microscopic geometry of the armor material, and (iii) the material-level modification of the armor material.

Sensory integration necessitates the incorporation of living tissue, which creates porosity. This degrades the mechanical robustness of the armor, which can be seen by comparing the mechanical performance of megaesthetes to the solid nonsensory region. Depending on the species, megaesthetes may serve a variety of functions, including mechano-, chemo-, and/or photoreception (13–15). Increasing the integrated optical functionality from simple photoreception to spatial vision (in other words, advancing from light-sensitive megaesthetes to eyes) requires a much larger volume of soft tissue per sensory unit, as well as the modification of the local geometry of the armor material to form a lens. Although the eyes provide distinct advantages over megaesthetes—for example, the ability to distinguish the appearance of dark objects from uniform decreases in illumination—they further degrade the penetration resistance of the armor. This is demonstrated by the microindentation experiments, in which the megaesthetes exhibited stepwise microfractures while the eyes failed catastrophically at less than 1 N. Furthermore, at the
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**Fig. 3. Focal length and image formation capacity of individual eyes. (A)** Positions of the rear focal points obtained from 2D ray-trace simulations (left) and experiments (right). The red or blue color signifies an external environment of air or water, respectively. T and L indicate the cross-sectional geometry simulated. The square and circle symbols correspond to the ordinary and extraordinary rays, respectively. The white region underneath ICCM is the location of photoreceptive cells (17). (B) Image formation ability of an individual eye. (Top) The object, side profile of a predatory fish. (Middle) Image. (Bottom) Proposed physiological image resolution. Each hexagonal pixel is the size of a single photoreceptor. (C) Experimental measurements of the focal length \( f \) of five individual lenses derived from the slope of inverse magnification \( 1/M \) versus object distance \( z \).
material level, increasing the grain size and alignment in the lens relative to the granular microstructure of nonsensory regions reduces scattering and improves the eye's ability to detect light (8). However, this causes the lens to fracture radially upon nanoindentation, which is in stark contrast to the relatively isotropic, localized damage observed in the nonsensory regions. These mechanical disadvantages may constrain the size of the eyes, which could improve in both resolution and sensitivity if larger (24).

Although functional integration decreases the local mechanical performance of the outer shell layer, *A. granulata* has developed strategies to compensate for its structural vulnerabilities. First, the mechanically weak sensory regions are strategically located in the valleys created by the protruding, robust nonsensory regions. This likely protects the delicate sensory structures from blunt impacts. These protrusions may also discourage fouling to make sure the sensory regions are not covered (25). Second, it’s possible that chitons compensate for the mechanical weakness of the entire outer layer by having thick, hard underlying layers. This is consistent with observations of living chitons that had oyster-drill scars that penetrated the outer layer but did not pierce the inner layers (26). Last, the apparent redundancy of the eyes helps to reduce the impact of partial shell damage. Eyes in older parts of the shell are often damaged by erosion, and replacements are continually grown at the margins of the shell plates (26). Moreover, chitons face diverse types of predatory attacks that can harm the shell plates (22). From a visual performance perspective, redundancy also ensures that *A. granulata* can simultaneously monitor the entire hemisphere for threats, which is important because the eyes are static structures and chitons can take several minutes to turn around. Additionally, redundancy may help improve sensitivity, signal-to-noise ratio, and the ability to distinguish false alarms from real threats (27).
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