# Propeller Analyses and the Sensitivity



JOHN PARIS 2.290 FINAL PROJECT CLASS OF 2022

### Motivation

Modeling Propellers is Hard! No option is perfect.

- Regression (B Series, Gawn Burrill, Rolla Series, etc.)
  - Steady state, open water only, often proprietary
- VLM Lifting Line Codes (OpenProp)
  - Steady state, no viscous analysis, open water only
- Reynold Averaged Navier Stokes Solvers
  - Time and cost consuming but all encompassing
  - Multiple Reference Frames
    - Steady state, computationally easy
  - ► Sliding Mesh
    - ► Transient, computationally difficult





### Geometry









### Boundary Layers and Turbulence



- RANS needs a turbulence modeler
- Using K Omega SST (shear stress transport)
  - ► Very simply: K- $\omega$  near the wall, K- $\epsilon$  in the free stream
- $\begin{aligned} & \blacktriangleright \text{ Capable with } y + \text{ values} < 300 \\ & \frac{\partial \left(\rho k\right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho U k\right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k}\right) \nabla k\right) + P_k \rho \epsilon \\ & \frac{\partial \left(\rho \omega\right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho U \omega\right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k}\right) \nabla \omega\right) + \frac{\gamma}{\nu_t} P_k \beta \rho \omega^2 \\ & + \underbrace{2(1 F_1) \frac{\rho \sigma \omega_2}{\omega} \nabla k : \nabla \omega}_{\text{Additional Term}} \end{aligned}$

$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{l}\mu_{t} = \frac{\rho k}{\omega}}_{\text{Original}} & \underbrace{\mu_{t} = \frac{a_{1}\rho k}{\max\left(a_{1}\omega, SF_{2}\right)}}_{\text{SST Model}}\\ F_{2} = \tanh\left(\arg_{2}^{2}\right)\\ \arg_{2} = \max\left(\frac{2\sqrt{k}}{\beta^{\star}\omega d}, \frac{500\nu}{\omega d^{2}}\right) \end{array}$$







### MRF Modeling in Fluent

	8 Layers	3 Layers	No Boundary Layer Mesh
Force (N)	3713	3663	3489
Torque (N- m)	1080.5	1053	1004.5
Y+ Mode	22.5	20	31

- Unfortunate 512k cell limit in Fluent prevents further resolution
- Mostly second order upwind discretization's



### MRF Models & Y+

#### 35.00 30.00 25.00 20.00 15.00 10.00 5.00 0.00 0.00 200.00 400.00 600.00 800.00 1000 00 1200.00 1400.0 Wall Yplus

No Mesh Boundary Layers

### 3 Mesh Boundary Layers



#### 8 Mesh Boundary Layers









### MRF Models & Y+ cont.



## Sliding Mesh

- Keep Courant number down with a small  $\Delta t=10^{-5}$ ,  $C = \frac{|U|*\Delta t}{\Delta x}$  even when implicit
- Using PIMPLE, a SIMPLE-PISO hybrid



### Next Steps

- Improved meshing of more complex geometries
- ► Cell allowance
- Sliding mesh y+ sensitivity study
- Coupled CRP model!
- Multiphase



### References

- M.W.C. Oosterveld, V. Oossanen. Further Computer Analyzed Data of The Wageningen B-series, I.S.P., vol. 23, July 1975.
- Tu, Tran Ngoc. "Numerical Simulation of Propeller Open Water Characteristics Using RANSE Method." Alexandria Engineering Journal, vol. 58, no. 2, 2019, pp. 531–537., doi:10.1016/j.aej.2019.05.005.
- Carlton, J.S. "Contra-Rotating Propeller". Marine Propellers and Propulsion (Third Edition), 2012.
- Fluid Mechanics 101. "[CFD] The k Omega SST Turbulence Model." YouTube, YouTube, 14 Mar. 2019, www.youtube.com/watch?v=myvityFnS4.
- Chen et all. "The Effects of Number of Blades of Free Vane Wheel on Energy-saving".