

Scientists See Promise in Deep-Learning Programs



A voice recognition program translated a speech given by Richard F. Rashid, Microsoft's top scientist, into Mandarin Chinese.

By JOHN MARKOFF
Published: November 23, 2012

Using an artificial intelligence technique inspired by theories about how the brain recognizes patterns, technology companies are reporting startling gains in fields as diverse as computer vision, speech recognition and the identification of promising new molecules for designing drugs.

Connect With Us on Social Media

@nytimescience on Twitter.

Science Reporters and Editors on Twitter

Like the science desk on Facebook.



A student team led by the computer scientist Geoffrey E. Hinton used deep-learning technology to design software.

The advances have led to widespread enthusiasm among researchers who design software to perform human activities like seeing, listening and thinking. They offer the promise of machines that converse with humans and perform tasks like driving cars and working in factories, [raising the specter of automated robots that could replace human workers.](#)

The technology, called deep learning, has already been put to use in services like Apple's Siri virtual personal assistant, which is based on Nuance Communications' speech recognition service, and in Google's Street View, which uses machine vision to identify specific addresses.

But what is new in recent months is the growing speed and accuracy of deep-learning programs, often called artificial neural networks or just "neural nets" for their resemblance to the neural connections in the brain.

"There has been a number of stunning new results with deep-learning methods," said Yann LeCun, a computer scientist at New York University who did pioneering research in handwriting recognition at Bell Laboratories.

"The kind of jump we are seeing in the accuracy of these systems is very rare indeed."

Artificial intelligence researchers are acutely aware of the dangers of being overly optimistic. Their field has long been plagued by outbursts of misplaced enthusiasm followed by equally striking declines.

Log in to see what your friends are sharing on nytimes.com. Privacy Policy | What's This?

Log In With Facebook

What's Popular Now

- Larry Hagman, Who Played J.R. Ewing on 'Dallas,' Dies at 81
- With Stickers, a Petition and Even a Middle Name, Secession Fever Hits Texas

#1

Part-time MBA in the Northeast

—Businessweek

Attend an online information session

November 28

LEARN MORE



TicketWatch: Theater Offers by E-Mail

Sign up for ticket offers from Broadway shows and other advertisers.

shimon.ullman@weizmann.ac.il

Sign Up

Change E-mail Address | Privacy Policy

MOST E-MAILED

RECOMMENDED FOR YOU

46 articles in the past month

All Recommendations

1. APP SMART Software That Shows What Your Shiny New iPad Can Do

2. German Lawmakers Reject Swiss Tax Deal

3. THE NEW OLD AGE Help by the Hour, or Less

4. Seeking Ways to Raise Taxes but Leave

In the 1960s, some computer scientists believed that a workable artificial intelligence system was just 10 years away. In the 1980s, a wave of commercial start-ups collapsed, leading to what some people called the “A.I. winter.”

But recent achievements have impressed a wide spectrum of computer experts. In October, for example, a team of graduate students studying with the University of Toronto computer scientist [Geoffrey E. Hinton](#) won the top prize in a contest sponsored by Merck to design software to help find molecules that might lead to new drugs.

From a data set describing the chemical structure of 15 different molecules, they used deep-learning software to determine which molecule was most likely to be an effective drug agent.

The achievement was particularly impressive because the team decided to enter the contest at the last minute and designed its software with no specific knowledge about how the molecules bind to their targets. The students were also working with a relatively small set of data; neural nets typically perform well only with very large ones.

“This is a really breathtaking result because it is the first time that deep learning won, and more significantly it won on a data set that it wouldn’t have been expected to win at,” said Anthony Goldbloom, chief executive and founder of Kaggle, a company that organizes data science competitions, including the Merck contest.

Advances in pattern recognition hold implications not just for drug development but for an array of applications, including marketing and law enforcement. With greater accuracy, for example, marketers can comb large databases of consumer behavior to get more precise information on buying habits. And improvements in facial recognition are likely to make surveillance technology cheaper and more commonplace.

Artificial neural networks, an idea going back to the 1950s, seek to mimic the way the brain absorbs information and learns from it. In recent decades, Dr. Hinton, 64 (a great-great-grandson of the 19th-century mathematician [George Boole](#), whose work in logic is the foundation for modern digital computers), has pioneered powerful new techniques for helping the artificial networks recognize patterns.

Modern artificial neural networks are composed of an array of software components, divided into inputs, hidden layers and outputs. The arrays can be “trained” by repeated exposures to recognize patterns like images or sounds.

These techniques, aided by the growing speed and power of modern computers, have led to rapid improvements in speech recognition, drug discovery and computer vision.

Deep-learning systems have recently outperformed humans in certain limited recognition tests.

Last year, for example, a program created by scientists at the [Swiss A. I. Lab](#) at the University of Lugano won a pattern recognition contest by outperforming both competing software systems and a human expert in identifying images in a database of German traffic signs.

The winning program accurately identified 99.46 percent of the images in a set of 50,000; the top score in a group of 32 human participants was 99.22 percent, and the average for the humans was 98.84 percent.



[Tax Rate As Is](#)



5. STEVEN RATTNER
[More Chips For Tax Reform](#)



6. ECONOMIC VIEW
[Slugging It Out, Inside Obama’s Mind](#)



7. FUNDAMENTALLY
[In This Sell-Off, No One Pressed the Panic Button](#)



8. [On a Largely Blue Island, an Exception: Trump Tower](#)



9. [Egyptian Judges Challenge Morsi Over New Power](#)

10. [France: Sarkozy Questioned Over Campaign Funds](#)

[Go to Your Recommendations »](#)
[What's This?](#) | [Don't Show](#)

PRESENTED BY



GIVE A TIMES GIFT
SUBSCRIPTION AND
SAVE 50% FOR 26 WEEKS

SALE ENDS NOV. 26

The New York Times
nytimes.com

GIVE A GIFT

Ads by Google

what's this?

Custom Cloud Solutions

Efficient Server & Application
Management Solutions. Call Today!
[www.PenguinComputing.com](#)

A version of this article appeared in print on November 24, 2012, on page A1 of the New York edition with the headline: Learning Curve: No Longer Just A Human Trait.

SAVE E-MAIL SHARE

Get Free E-mail Alerts on These Topics

[Artificial Intelligence](#)

[Computers and the Internet](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[Voice Recognition Systems](#)

Ads by Google

what's this?

Neural Network Software

Download NeuroSolutions and apply
neural networks to your application
www.neurosolutions.com

INSIDE NYTIMES.COM



MUSIC »



Kesha is Dancing Up a Storm, but Dying to Rock

THEATER »



A Dickens Mystery Best Left Unsolved

SUNDAY REVIEW »

Download:
Geoff Marcy

A “planet hunter” talks about solar sails and his floating globe.

FASHION & STYLE »



Weddings and Celebrations

TRAVEL »



Virginia’s Lost History

SUNDAY REVIEW »



Gray Matter: Attacks on Neuroscience