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Abstract

Big data is here: urban infrastructure systems are being instrumented to provide continuous reports on their performance; buildings are monitoring and reporting occupancy and energy use; distributed water and air quality sensors are providing real time information on dozens of environmental parameters. Cell phone location data is providing a detailed view of the activity patterns for millions of urban residents. However, when the utility of big data is discussed almost all of the examples provided are short-term management applications. There are very few examples of big data being used in long range planning. This paper discusses why big data is particularly well suited to short term management applications and identifies the factors that have limited its use for longer range planning. This paper also provides examples of how big data can be abstracted in ways that are useful for long range planning, and how these uses differ from the short-term management applications that are so commonly discussed.
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1. Urban Big Data

Traditional structured data sets can be thought of as a large cube. Like a simple flat file, big data sets can consist of a large number of rows (or observations) that are described by a large number of fields (or variables). Many big data sets add a third temporal dimension that includes recurring observations over time, sometimes on a second by second basis. Many of these data sets can be joined to variables in other structured data sets using some common identifier. Since many of these records are tagged with geolocation or a time stamp, and sometimes both, time or location can often be used to join otherwise unrelated data sets. In addition to this traditional structured data, we now have vast amounts of unstructured data (e.g. drone videos, Tweets, Facebook posts, YouTube videos, Foursquare check-ins, surveillance videos and much more). As shown in Figure 1, while unstructured, much of this new data incudes time or location information that allows it to be linked to the more structured data. The world has rapidly moved from a data poor environment to a data abundant environment.

If you live in a metropolitan area, think of all the cars on the roads in your metro area at rush hour. Assume that each of those cars contains a cell phone or two. Each of those phones is keeping track of its location on a second by second basis and reporting it back to its network (Herrera et al., 2009). Even today, Google, Waze and other mapping services are polling the location of these cell phones and using that information to produce real time traffic maps. These maps can help drivers change their routes to avoid congestion and are already improving traffic flow incrementally. Now imagine storing all that data for a year, or maybe twenty, and using it to identify recurring patterns of congestion and how drivers adapt to it.
All those rush hour vehicles are traveling over a dense network of freeways, roads and streets. Google, Apple and Baidu have these networks defined so precisely that your cell phone can tell you to move into the left lane to turn left in fifty feet. Simultaneously, these systems are dynamically tracking the position of tens of thousands of vehicles. Now imagine that very soon all those cars will join the Internet of Things (IoT) (Gartner, 2013). Smart vehicles will be monitoring and recording the behavior of the engine and the car’s other major systems, as well as your driving, braking and acceleration behavior. Analysis of this data will allow your car to adjust its systems to match your driving behavior (and report it to your insurance company, if you choose to share it to decrease your insurance rate).

Each car will also have sophisticated communication capabilities. The car will be able to communicate with other vehicles, sensors in the roadway and various destinations. Sensors embedded in the roadway will let the driver and vehicle know about current traffic patterns, congestion and weather conditions. Much like the Positive Train Control system being deployed to regulate the speed of trains in dangerous areas, embedded roadway sensors will be able to slow vehicles at busy pedestrian intersections and school zones. Your vehicle will be also able to contact the parking deck at your destination to find available parking spaces. These advanced communication capabilities will assist drivers and allow vehicles to operate in a semi-autonomous manner. Even though Google has recently deployed self-driving, autonomous vehicles, we believe that hybrid human-cyber vehicles will predominate for the next decade or more. There will be few completely autonomous vehicles, but many vehicles will operate with a form of urban cruise control.

**Figure 1:** The Big Data Stew on Structure and Unstructured Data
that is an extension of today’s crash avoidance and blind spot detection technologies. This urban cruise control system will manage much of the car’s operation, but can be overridden by the driver, much like the automatic pilot on an aircraft.

Automobile manufacturers have already begun to develop these capabilities in cars on the road today. Mercedes Benz has developed “collision prevention assist” that uses radar to scan the environment to assess if vehicles are stopped or slowed ahead of the moving vehicle. This assist mechanism alerts drivers to take action by braking, and responds by increasing the intensity of the braking based on the distance between the car and the obstacle ahead. Additional technologies available in Mercedes vehicles include “attention assist”, “active blind spot assist” and “active lane keeping assist.” Other car manufacturers are employing similar technology for parking assist that allows a car to autonomously park itself using cameras, sensors and radar to detect the proximity of surrounding objects and parked cars. Ford, Toyota, Hyundai, and Land Rover currently offer these technologies in their late model cars and SUVs. Each of these assisted driving technologies can act autonomously if the driver does not respond to audio, visual, and tactile prompts from the car. These technologies represent capabilities that will be required by fully autonomous vehicles, but will first be implemented as features to assist drivers rather than replace them. These technologies are already on the road today.

To improve safety and optimize traffic flow, the cars will also communicate with each other. Typically, each car will be exchanging data with three to four dozen nearby cars about its speed, position and destination. This communication between vehicles combined with autonomous control features will allow vehicles to operate with reduced headways. By eliminating the response time required for human braking, vehicles can be spaced more closely, thereby increasing the capacity of existing highways (Dickerson, 2015). Mercedes Benz is already selling cars with “distronic plus” that uses an adaptive cruise-control feature to pace the car’s speed with the flow of traffic and autonomously brake to avoid collision. Perhaps more significant than the impact these automobile technologies will have on individual drivers is the impact they could have on future infrastructure projects. It has been estimated that traffic congestion delays could be eliminated in metro Atlanta within the next ten years by utilizing technologies such as urban cruise control, mobile applications for ride-sharing, and HOV to HOT lane conversion on the fly (Dickerson, 2015).
All this data can be aggregated up to the system level to predict impending congestion. A centralized traffic control system will operate smart signage, entrance metering and stoplight timing so as to minimize overall congestion, energy use and air pollution. Sophisticated signage and vehicle communication systems will re-route traffic to compensate for temporary disruptions to the system such as accidents, chemical spills, terrorist threats or natural hazard events, such as mudslides, earthquakes or street flooding. The system will actually be able to learn and get better at managing the system over time as it applies machine-learning techniques to understand and improve a whole series of operational parameters that affect overall transportation system performance. Intelligent systems, sensors and communication will make the transportation system safer, more efficient and more resilient and can do so “at a fraction of the cost of a road-intensive solution” (Dickerson, 2015).

There will a separate freight system that is optimized to reflect daily and seasonal changes in demand for various products. It will coordinate air, rail, and truck systems into a seamless multi-model system. Large retailers like Amazon, WalMart, Home Depot and the major grocery chains will monitor and analyze their sales data to forecast demand, so they can stage products throughout their supply chains to provide their customers with near immediate delivery of the goods they want. Shipping companies like UPS and FedEx have already optimized their routing algorithms to eliminate time and energy wasting left turns and minimize the time spent idling at stoplights. Last mile delivery of prepared food or groceries will be provided by services like Zifty and Instacart that deliver restaurant meals directly to households. This will be especially important with an aging population with limited mobility. Big data will facilitate the movement and distribution of goods and connect customers more directly to the supply chain and manufacturing systems.

Transit systems will also be improved by the collection and use of highly detailed data. Applications like NextBus already use GPS and wireless networks to communicate the arrival time of buses at bus stops. Transit swipe cards provide detailed data on riders’ origins and destinations by day and time (Batty, 2014). Car sharing services like ZipCar, ride sharing services like Uber and Lyft and bike sharing programs provide even more detailed data about individual travel patterns. These travel records can be also be linked to purchase patterns and demographic characteristics via credit card transaction records and Twitter, Weibo and Foursquare location data. Most of this individual data will remain private, but it will be aggregated and distributed in various forms, much as today’s targeted marketing data is.
Now combine all this structured data with the feeds from surveillance cameras, red light cameras, drones and all the posted video, image and text data, that contain time and location tags and we begin to see the magnitude of the data that is becoming available. Individual photos and videos can be knitted together to provide a comprehensive and dynamic image of the city. Combining this unstructured data with the vast amounts of structured data provided by intelligent systems and you have not just big data, but urban big data.

2. Beyond Management

But notice that the illustrative examples above are about the operation of existing systems. The systems may be able to learn and improve and even evolve over time, but few of us would be willing to surrender our ability to shape the urban environment, which will soon house 80 percent of our species, to a set of operational algorithms. Optimization approaches have long been found inadequate when it comes to designing and planning the complex interacting systems that comprise an urban area (Harris, 1999). That requires values to guide the tradeoffs required to choose among competing and conflicting priorities. Big data provides a way to build and test theories about cities and advance our ability to model the urban area and the behavior of households, firms and institutions within it. We can use urban big data to build, test and advance our theoretical framework of how cities grown and develop (Bretagnolle et al, 2006). A strong theoretical foundation is necessary to intentionally modify urban systems and settlement patterns to improve the environmental, economic and social conditions in which humans live. This requires a set of models that can help link potential interventions to intended outcomes. Big data can help us do this better with fewer unintended consequences, but it cannot be a substitute for causal models that connect specific policy interventions to outcomes.

In his widely cited “End of Theory” article Anderson (2008) argued that with the advent of big data, we can simply observe the patterns and correlations in the data and will not need to build explanatory models. For the last 200 years science has operated by developing hypotheses, constructing models, collecting data to test those models. Anderson argues that we no longer need to know why people and systems behave as they do, just that they do. No causal models are required because “with enough data, the numbers speak for themselves.” Other scholars have followed this line of thinking, while considering the challenges that big data poses for researchers and
theoretical constructs (Boyd and Crawford, 2012; Graham and Shelton, 2013; Lazer, et. Al., 2014). If we now have virtually all the data necessary to describe the function of an urban area, why do we need to build a model of that system? This position might possibly make sense, if we are only concerned with the short-term management of urban systems. We can observe the key parameters and optimize the performance of the system by responding to real time data feeds. Some have suggested that optimizing urban systems so they run smoothly may be the best that urban planners can hope for. While useful for short-term management, this is not adequate to plan for an uncertain future (Klosterman, 2013).

Urban areas consist of more than just their infrastructure systems and transportation networks. These systems underpin a tapestry of urban development comprised of a complex mixture of land uses. This patterns of land uses changes over time, but at a rate that is orders of magnitude slower than the transportation operational adjustments described above. The land use pattern can be guided to create an urban area that better meets the needs of the residents of the urban area. But shaping that land use pattern over time is not a simple optimization problem like managing the flows on a transportation network. To plan the future of the complex interacting systems that comprise an urban area requires a theoretical understanding of how various systems are related to each other and how they are influenced by exogenous factors (Batty, 2013). Big data can help us develop and test theories about how the urban systems works, but it cannot substitute for the theoretical foundation needed to plan for an uncertain future.

Nearly forty years ago by Chapin and his associates (1979) developed a conceptual model of the urban landscape and the way it shapes the behavior of urban occupants. This model can be useful in thinking about how to marshal big data to support planning decisions. The urban activity system model provides a micro-level, bottom-up framework for understanding peoples’ use of and movement within an urban area. Although sit has been around for quite some time, this theoretical framework provides a useful guide to how we can harness big data to create a 21st century planning paradigm. We will briefly discuss this theoretical model below.
3. Urban Activity Systems

Chapin and his colleagues developed a human-centered view of the city based on human activity patterns. This approach provided a framework to describe how households, firms and institutions interact with a fixed pattern of land uses and infrastructure to meet their economic and social objectives. Members of households live, work, shop and play in the midst of a complex set of land uses that provide them with a wide variety of opportunities. A household locates itself in order to meet those needs as well as it can given its budget constraints. Similarly, firms locate their plant and equipment to balance their needs to import raw materials and ship finished products in and out, while employing workers and attracting customers to their location. In the short run these actors operate within a fixed pattern of land uses and infrastructure. But, over time the urban area will change its size, shape and form. The community can shape and re-shape the urban landscape to make it easier for actors to meet their needs. Planners and policy makers have the opportunity to make the urban landscape “more user friendly” over time through infrastructure investments and changes in the land use pattern. In Chapin’s time we did not have the means to collect the data necessary to understand these complex patterns or to analyze the data if it had been available. Urban big data makes this possible.

Historically, communities have made urban planning decisions with limited information and a fairly crude understanding of how various factors interact. This has produced some successes, such as the elimination of tenements and separating residential uses from noxious industrial activities. But it also produced some unpleasant surprises, like the social isolation, increased energy use, and other environmental impacts that have resulted from the decentralized monocultures that characterize the current suburban land use pattern (Duany and Plater-Zyber, 2001).

But now urban big data provides the opportunity to understand the nuances of these systems and to find correlation and causality that was simply not possible with occasional sampling through small surveys. We can now get a detailed view of how people, firms and institutions use urban space and more rapidly identify the complex patterns of behavior that characterize an urban area.

3.1 Travel Behavior

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) regularly conduct household travel surveys to update their regional travel demand models. These surveys
help MPOs understand travel behavior within their region and examine changes in travel behavior over time. Although household travel survey practices vary among MPOs, their cost and effort limit their scope and frequency. For example, the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) conducts its travel survey about once every ten years. Its most recent survey was conducted in 2011. This survey collected information from 10,278 households across the 20 country metro region (ARC website). The previous survey was conducted in 2000. The Atlanta metropolitan area grew by more than 1.6 million people and saw the development of several major residential and employment centers between these two surveys.

Although the data collection and sampling methods used in these surveys are widely accepted, the expense and time required to gather travel information from households means that each MPO can only survey a limited sample of households within their region. Given that travel behavior can now be collected through a variety of mechanisms and sensors, it appears that the household travel survey is ripe for redesign and reinterpretation in the age of big data. Travel behavior is a fundamental component of long range transportation planning, and as such it impacts our ability to forecast travel in regions and to plan for medium and long-range infrastructure investments and land use change. The characteristics of current household travel surveys that are frequently critiqued include: small sample sizes, misleading representation of certain household types (often over-weighted for households in more rural and suburban counties or underweighted for households living in mixed use, higher density areas), and the expense and time required to complete even a limited survey. Response rates for travel surveys are also typically low—for example, the response rate for the ARC Regional Travel Survey (2011) varied from 5.9% to 34% across counties. This response rate is relatively high compared to similar metro regions across the Country (ARC, Regional Household Survey Report, 2012).

Recognizing that travel diaries do not represent the best available data collection method, the ARC and other metro areas have begun to incorporate Global Positioning System (GPS) devices into their survey procedures. In the recent ARC travel survey, GPS devices were deployed to 1% of the survey respondents and collected travel data for seven days. This data is typically less burdensome on the respondent (data is automatically tracked by device in car or on a person) and more accurate than travel diaries. The ability of travel data to be tracked with wearables now makes this type of data collection both more prevalent and less expensive. Comparisons of GPS travel data with travel diary data have shown that travel diaries typically omit 10% to 20% of household trips.
3.2 Potential of New Sources of Travel Behavior Information

Other sources of information on travel behavior include mobile applications like Uber and Lyft. Uber is the current leading mobile app that connects riders with private drivers willing to share empty seats in their vehicles. While serving clients, Uber also collects data regarding how people travel through the city (including origin, destination, route, and time). Although Uber has recently come under fire for its privacy policies and faces legal challenges from traditional taxi companies, the ability to use this type of data for long range transportation planning is still worth exploring. This kind of data is critical for city and transportation planners to understand because it represents travel behavior of specific subgroups at a more fine grained level.

Earlier this year, Boston partnered with Uber, in the hopes that the ride-sharing service could help shine light on the city’s transportation needs. In the future, Uber will provide Boston planners with their customer’s trip information, including trip origin, destination, departure time, distance, and duration. However, to protect riders’ privacy, the locations will be aggregated to zip code level. Given this information, planners will have access to a variety of detailed travel data that was previously unavailable; for example, planners will be able to estimate the travel time between two general locations by time of the day. Although the Uber data will have representation issues (i.e. people who use Uber may not have the same demographic and socioeconomic profile as the overall city population) planners still expect this information to be useful as a way of reflecting the vehicular traffic conditions on roads throughout the day.

Boston’s chief information officer, Franklin-Hodge suggests that the Uber dataset will become one of the most helpful datasets in terms of informing their transportation and planning conversations for long-term development initiatives (Enwemeka, 2015). Many cities have also attempted to use data from Waze, a crowd-sourced map application, to obtain traffic conditions by location and time. Both Uber and Waze data are limited in terms of data resolution and population representation. So far, these datasets cannot completely replace conventional travel surveys. For example, the data available from these providers does not include important trip characteristics, such as occupancy and purpose. In this regard, the data could be used to supplement a household survey, but not yet as a replacement.
In addition to the mobile applications discussed above, some studies have also explored the possibility of using location based social media data to understand people’s activity patterns to inform decision makers in the planning process. For instance, Hasan et al. (2013) used Facebook, Twitter and Foursquare check-in data to understand urban activity and mobility patterns. Studies like Hasan’s will be critical as MPOs look to expand their data sets for use in developing and refining regional activity based travel demand models. Similar to the Uber and Waze data, social media data also have representation problems. However, these early attempts to make use of this type of data are important examples of how to incorporate big data into the long-term transportation and land use development process. With the increasing market penetration of mobile applications and social media along with a maturing legal structure regarding the sharing of such kinds of public data, there is no doubt that in the future big data will become an indispensable part of long-term transportation planning, especially in the travel demand modeling phase.

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has been an early adopter in using data analytics on big data. They have used detailed data from road sensors and probe vehicles to identify bottlenecks in their Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), the backbone highways that carry the majority of Florida’s intercity traffic. Bottlenecks are those areas that experience recurring congestion. This data set recorded speeds at 5 minute intervals and contained 64 million automobile records and 17 million freight records. Detailed analysis of this data is guiding transportation system investments and improvements to eliminate bottlenecks within the network.

It is clear that more and more detailed data on activity patterns within cities is being created and collected by various applications and this trend will only increase in the near future. Capturing this data to paint a dynamic picture of human activity within an urban area in now within reach.

4. Urban Planning with Big Data

Long range planning is more challenging than short-term management because it requires anticipating the effect of policy interventions and the changing behavior of citizens. These challenges cannot be met with big data alone, instead we must develop theories to explain relationships to forecast
and understand the impacts of potential interventions. As shown in the activity systems case, big data can certainly help develop theory based on the collection of data that more accurately represents current behavior. The short-term immediacy of big data makes it useful and compelling for real time management applications, but to be useful for planning, this tsunami of data needs to be channeled into theoretical frameworks. In this paper, we propose using the classic conceptual model of urban activity systems to guide the use of this data for long range planning.

So how can we harness the power of big data to go beyond operations? First, we need to make these data sets available to the public agencies responsible for urban planning. Big data is too valuable to only serve the commercial interests of a small number of corporations that are positioned to collect and utilize it. If big data is to serve the public interest, it needs to be made available to the public agencies entrusted with the long range planning function. But, to adequately engage the public, the whole set of stakeholders interested in setting the goals and policies to guide urban development needs access to this data in some form. Obviously, most of these public agencies and certainly small citizen groups and non-profits need access to the data.

Access to data will also require better tools to visualize and analyze this information, especially when integrating data with new and existing urban models. Statistical methods that were useful for generalizing from small samples to larger populations are no longer appropriate tools. When you have all of the data describing a population or a system, the problem is not generalization, but data reduction and abstraction. Data analysis methods familiar to computer scientists have proven to be promising for generating understanding in a data-rich environment. These include machine learning and data visualization. Machine learning is a core subarea of artificial intelligence; it uses computer algorithms to create explanatory models. There are different types of learning approaches, including supervised, unsupervised and reinforcement learning. Although some of the technologies may be completely new to planners, the actual methods turn out to be quite familiar. For example, the regression model is one of the methods that is frequently used in supervised learning processes. Planners who work with remote sensing images often apply supervised classification methods to reclassify the images into land cover images based on various color bands in the image. However, planners may not be familiar with other machine learning methodologies or algorithms, such as unsupervised or reinforcement learning. Unsupervised learning tries to identify regularities (or clusters or groupings) in the input datasets without correct output values provided by the supervi-
Reinforcement learning is primarily used in applications where the output of the system is a sequence of actions (e.g., playing chess). In this case, what's important is not a single action, but a sequence of actions that will achieve the ultimate goal. When machine learning methods are applied to large databases such as big data, it is referred to as data mining. Data mining tries to identify and construct a simple model with high predictive accuracy, based on the large volume of data. The model is then applied to predict future values.

Data visualization also helps data analysts better understand complex data sets. Some data visualization techniques, such as multivariate data representations, table, and graph designs are quite conventional. Temporal simulations can show how complex patterns grow and develop over time. These techniques may also be applied in innovative ways to help understand and convey the patterns behind complex data. One example is information graphics or infographics, which improve human cognition by using graphics to improve the visual system's ability to extract patterns and trends (Few 2009; Smiciklas, 2012). To effectively present big data interactively, the designer needs to be equipped with knowledge regarding how human beings interact with computers, and how different interaction types (i.e., filtering, zooming, linking, and brushing) will affect human being's cognition ability. Machine learning and 3D visualization techniques offer promising approaches to revealing the patterns within big data sets, but concerted efforts that link computer scientists and urban planners are needed to connect these methods with more conventional tools that planners have mastered to exploit big data for urban plan making.

Perhaps most importantly, we, along with others, acknowledge that for any data to be usable we will need to employ better privacy safeguards (Tene and Polonetsky, 2012). Big data can reveal the most personal aspects of our behavior from where we go, to who we visit and what we buy. Aggregating data to larger geographic areas, like census tracts may be the solution in some cases, but part of the power of big data is that it is highly disaggregated. Significant attention needs to be paid to finding the proper balance between generating and sharing detailed data that may compromise the privacy of individuals and aggregating that data into groups too general to provide an enhanced understanding of the urban system and how individuals interact with it.

Big data can help us understand the structure and function of urban areas: it is important that urban planners recognize that this data can be useful for more than just operation and management. Better access to urban big data
along with better analytical tools and more secure privacy protections are needed to allow big data to realize its full potential for urban planning, but we are hopeful that planners, in partnership with data scientists, are ready to accept this challenge.
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