# Backgrounds in DMTPC

Thomas Caldwell

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

**DMTPC** Collaboration

Cygnus 2009

June 12, 2009

#### Outline

- Expected backgrounds for surface run
- Detector operation
- Characteristics of surface run
- <sup>252</sup>Cf calibration neutron data
- Data from DMTPC's second WIMP run



#### Introduction

- Current DMTPC prototype uses optical readout of 2 back-to-back TPCs
- Data taken with high rate <sup>252</sup>Cf neutron source
- Use neutron data to understand detector's response to nuclear recoils and to determine signal cuts
- Data then acquired for 13.3 days live time (3 weeks real time) at surface to understand background events and determine detector sensitivity



#### Expected Backgrounds – Sparks

- Electrical discharge within detector floods CCD with light
- Higher voltages gives higher gain, but increases spark rate





- Sparks are easy to identify by very large light yield
- However, must throw out spark events (~25 mHz), reducing live time

#### Expected Backgrounds – Alpha Particles

- Radon daughters primarily on detector materials emit alphas
- Particularly large source of bg in this prototype since materials are not radiopure





- Most alphas come from sides; these identified by edge crossing
- Some alphas contained in view field; cut by range vs. energy discrimination

#### Expected Backgrounds – Worms

 Interactions of particles with CCD chip itself; from cosmic rays for example

 We refer to worms as any event with one or a few localized very bright pixels





- Identify worms by large energy density
- Sometimes two worm-like events are separated by short distance; identify by cluster characteristics

#### Expected Backgrounds – Neutrons

- Neutron induced nuclear recoils, primarily from ambient neutrons
- Expect roughly 2 events/day from surface neutron flux

T. Nakamura et al. JNST 42 (2005)





- Low energy neutron induced nuclear recoils mock dark matter signal
- Go underground to reduce the rate of these events

7

#### Projected Range and Comparing to SRIM

- We reconstruct the range projected onto the image plane
- Subsequent plots use SRIM prediction of range versus energy for various particles
- However, this is the range in 3-dimensions, not the projected range





 Tracks parallel to the image plane should lie on this curve, but those at an angle will lie below the curve

• So, the SRIM curves are meant to be the predicted upper limit for the distribution of tracks in range vs. energy

#### **Detector Operation**

- Operate at 75 Torr CF<sub>4</sub> pressure
- 5 kV drift voltage (250 V/cm), 0.72 kV amplification voltage (14.4 kV/cm)
- Refill gas approximately every 24 hours to maintain  $\sim 1\%$  stability in effective gain
- 5 second exposures without trigger, then readout without shutter
- 1024 x 1024 pixels binned 4 x 4 by cameras
- Detector operated remotely during 3 week run





- Background Rate
- Apply cuts to "WIMP" data (9.8 days live time)
- Measurement of surface background rate
- Test of detector sensitivity

#### WIMP Surface Run Data

- In 850,000 total events, 329,446 tracks were found above threshold requirements
- Alphas and worms dominate

Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
No Cuts	329446	1.000
Spark	308295	0.936
Tracks	32071	0.097
Edge	14139	0.043
Worm	150	0.005
Range	81	0.002
Energy	80	0.002



#### WIMP Surface Run Data

- Require that images contain only one track
- Eliminate alphas from edges by excluding tracks which cross the edge
- Eliminate worms based on cluster characteristics (cluster pixel rms > 100, 80< maximum pixel value < 500, neighbors around maximum >2)

Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
No Cuts	329446	1.000
Spark	308295	0.936
Tracks	32071	0.097
Edge	14139	0.043
Worm	150	0.005
Range	81	0.002
Energy	80	0.002



#### <sup>252</sup>Cf Neutron Data

 For comparison, neutron data is shown below with the data cleaning cuts

- Population appears to be consistent with SRIM predictions
- Also shown are the cuts on range versus energy which were determined from WIMP Monte Carlo
- These cuts keep the region of low energy nuclear recoils but eliminate contained alpha tracks



arbitrary unit

0.5

100 GeV WIMP

induced F recoil

100

150

spectrum

50

#### WIMP Surface Run Data

- Shown is the data after all data cleaning cuts in the low energy region of interest
- The cuts on range < 5 mm and energy < 200 keV are also shown</li>
- With 80 background events, this gives a background rate of 94.1  $\mu\text{Hz}$





#### Conclusions

- 3 week surface run achieved background rate of 94.1  $\mu\text{Hz}$  with exposure of 44.2 g-days

- Use neutron data to define cuts, and we see events at  $\sim$ 50 keV with at least 50% efficiency at 100 keV
- Remaining events appear to be consistent with neutron induced nuclear recoils
- Also identified a somewhat unexpectedly large worm background

#### **Future Improvements**

- Future detector made of radiopure materials in clean environment
- Underground detector reduces cosmic ray neutron flux and other worm events
- Improved angle reconstruction to allow discrimination based on WIMP distribution

#### **DMTPC** Detector Prototype







#### WIMP Surface Run Data (1)

< 55000 pixels above threshold</li>

Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
No Cuts	329446	1.000
Spark	308295	0.936
Tracks	32071	0.097
Edge	14139	0.043
Worm	150	0.005
Range	81	0.002
Energy	80	0.002



#### WIMP Surface Run Data (2)

- < 55000 pixels above threshold</li>
- Only 1 track in image

	Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
	No Cuts	329446	1.000
	Spark	308295	0.936
Γ	Tracks	32071	0.097
	Edge	14139	0.043
	Worm	150	0.005
	Range	81	0.002
	Energy	80	0.002



#### WIMP Surface Run Data (3)

- < 55000 pixels above threshold</li>
- Only 1 track in image
- No pixel in track < 40 pixels from edge</li>

	Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
	No Cuts	329446	1.000
	Spark	308295	0.936
_	Tracks	32071	0.097
	Edge	14139	0.043
	Worm	150	0.005
	Range	81	0.002
	Energy	80	0.002



#### WIMP Surface Run Data (4)

- < 55000 pixels above threshold</li>
- Only 1 track in image
- No pixel in track < 40 pixels from edge</li>
- Max. bin in cluster has at least 2 neighboring pixels above threshold
- 80 < max. pixel value < 200 counts</li>
- RMS of pixel in cluster < 100 counts</li>

Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
No Cuts	329446	1.000
Spark	308295	0.936
Tracks	32071	0.097
Edge	14139	0.043
Worm	150	0.005
Range	81	0.002
Energy	80	0.002

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### WIMP Surface Run Data (5)

- < 55000 pixels above threshold</li>
- Only 1 track in image
- No pixel in track < 40 pixels from edge</li>
- Max. bin in cluster has at least 2 neighboring pixels above threshold
- 80 < max. pixel value < 200 counts</li>
- RMS of pixel in cluster < 100 counts</li>
- Projected range < 5 mm</li>
- Reconstructed recoil energy < 200 keV</li>

![](_page_21_Figure_9.jpeg)

Cut	Remaining Events	Efficiency of Cut
No Cuts	329446	1.000
Spark	308295	0.936
Tracks	32071	0.097
Edge	14139	0.043
Worm	150	0.005
Range	81	0.002
Energy	80	0.002

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

## Length Calibration

 Project many straight tracks onto axis perpendicular to spacers

• Observe minima caused by spacers which are separated by 2.5+/0.1 cm

Average over locations of the minima

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### CCD Bin

## **Energy Calibration**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)