Language deficits are among the core impairments of autism. We previously reported asymmetry reversal of frontal language cortex in boys with autism. Specific language impairment (SLI) and autism share similar language deficits and may share genetic links. This study evaluated asymmetry of frontal language cortex in a new, independent sample of right-handed boys, including a new sample of boys with autism and a group of boys with SLI. The boys with autism were divided into those with language impairment (ALI) and those with normal language ability (ALN). Subjects (right-handed, aged 6.2–13.4 years) included 22 boys with autism (16 ALI and 6 ALN), 9 boys with a history of or present SLI, and 11 normal controls. MRI brain scans were segmented into grey and white matter; then the cerebral cortex was parcelled into 48 gyral-based divisions per hemisphere. Group differences in volumetric asymmetry were predicted a priori in language-related regions in inferior lateral frontal (Broca’s area) and posterior superior temporal cortex. Language impaired boys with autism and SLI both had significant reversal of asymmetry in frontal language-related cortex; larger on the right side in both groups of language impaired boys and larger on the left in both unimpaired language groups, strengthening a phenotypic link between ALI and SLI. Thus, we replicated the observation of reversed asymmetry in frontal language cortex reported previously in an independent autism sample, and observed similar reversal in boys with SLI, further strengthening a phenotypic link between SLI and a subgroup of autism. Linguistically unimpaired boys with autism had similar asymmetry compared with the control group, suggesting that Broca’s area asymmetry reversal is related more to language impairment than specifically to autism diagnosis.
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Autism is a developmental disorder defined by impairments in social interaction and communication skills as well as repetitive behaviors and stereotyped interests.1 Deficits in language functioning are a commonly observed aspect of the impairments in communication skills. These difficulties may range from no functional language, to impairments in phonological processing, vocabulary, and higher order syntax and semantics, relative to age-matched peers.2–4 There are, however, some children with autism who have normal language skills.5 In a large-scale study of language in a heterogeneous group of children with autism, the subgroup of autistic children with impaired language skills were shown to have a similar profile of language impairment to children with specific language impairment (SLI).6

SLI is a disorder characterized by delayed language development in the absence of other cognitive impairments. Both autism and SLI have strong genetic bases (for reviews, see Fisher and colleagues7 as well as Santangelo and Folstein8). Family and twin studies found that first-degree relatives of probands with autism more frequently display deficits in language skills than the occurrence rate in the general population.9–11 Furthermore, siblings of children with SLI have an elevated risk for autism.12 Genetic linkage studies in these two developmental disorders point to overlapping regions on chromosome 7q13–15 and chromosome 13q.14,16 In genetic studies of autism, signals on both 7q and 13q increase significantly when linkage studies restrict their analyses to autism families with clear signs of language impairment.17,18 These findings suggest that the genetic abnormalities that lead to the phenotype of developmental language disorders7 may overlap with the genetic alterations that are liability factors for autism.

Anatomical asymmetries in language-related cortex have been associated with language laterality dominance from Wada tests19–21 and by dichotic listening tests.22 Autopsy and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies
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have shown that the language region in the inferior frontal cortex (Broca’s area) is larger in the left hemisphere than in the right in most right-handed, typically developed individuals.\textsuperscript{19,23–29} In contrast, studies of people with developmental language disorders have found reduced or reversed asymmetry patterns in these areas. For example, the pars triangularis of the inferior frontal cortex is smaller in the left hemisphere of children with SLI relative to control subjects.\textsuperscript{30} The KE family includes a three-generation pedigree with a dominantly inherited speech disorder.\textsuperscript{31} Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) indicated that members of the KE family affected with a speech and language disorder that is associated with a specific mutation in the FOXP2 gene on chromosome 7q\textsuperscript{31} had less gray matter in left inferior frontal cortex (Brodman area 9/44) as compared with the unaffected family members.\textsuperscript{32,33} The inferior frontal gyrus in adults with developmental language disorders often presented with an extra sulcus compared with a normal control group.\textsuperscript{34}

Some investigators also have reported abnormalities in language regions, including Broca’s area, in autism. A decrease of gray matter density in Brodmann area 45 in the left inferior frontal gyrus in adults with autism was reported using VBM.\textsuperscript{35} Rightward volumetric asymmetry of inferior frontal cortex pars opercularis was observed in right-handed 7- to 11-year-old children with autism, in contrast with leftward asymmetry in a right-handed control group.\textsuperscript{36} Studies of the planum temporale (PT) in subjects with autism and SLI have yielded more varied results. The PT, including the ascending part bordered by the posterior ascending ramus, was larger in the right hemisphere in children with SLI and larger on the left in normal control subjects.\textsuperscript{30} In the same study, the PT without the ascending part showed less leftward asymmetry in children with SLI compared with control subjects, but the difference was not significant. Reduced left PT volume was reported in adults with autism when compared with normal adults.\textsuperscript{37} A contrasting result was reported in a study of children with autism that focused on volumetric symmetry\textsuperscript{36}: the PT had more extreme leftward asymmetry (ie, larger volume in the left hemisphere) in children with autism compared with a normal control group. Other studies also suggest posterior superior temporal abnormalities in autism and SLI. Boys with SLI have atypical perisylvian asymmetries due to a larger right perisylvian area compared with normal controls.\textsuperscript{38} Finally, a recent study reported abnormalities in the superior temporal gyrus in a majority of autistic children.\textsuperscript{39} Motivated by the possible genetic linkage between autism and SLI and the similarity in language profile described above, this study directly compares asymmetry patterns in cortical regions related to language processing between groups of autistic boys with normal and impaired language skills, a group of boys with SLI, and a group of normal control boys.

We postulated that the autism group with impaired language skills would show similar cortical language-related abnormalities as the group with SLI. We identified, based on earlier findings by our research group,\textsuperscript{36,40,41} several regions of interest in this study. These areas, related to language processing, are in lateral inferior frontal cortex: pars triangularis and opercularis of the inferior frontal gyrus and the frontal operculum (Broca’s area); and in temporoparietal cortex: anterior and posterior superior temporal gyrus, the planum temporale, anterior and posterior supramarginal gyrus, angular gyrus and the parietal operculum (broader Wernicke’s area).

We hypothesized that the right-handed language-impaired boys with autism and the boys with SLI both would show a reversal of volumetric asymmetry (larger in right hemisphere) in inferior frontal gyrus (referred to as “F3” in the neuroanatomical parcellation system described below), involving Broca’s area, in contrast with both the normal control group and the boys with autism who had normal language skills (larger in left hemisphere).

We also hypothesized that the exaggerated leftward asymmetry of the PT found previously in children with autism\textsuperscript{36} would be replicated in a new sample, because the same methods were used in both studies. This could clarify whether the inconsistency between this finding and others, as mentioned above, is an issue of methodology. In addition, we tested whether this exaggerated leftward asymmetry would be related to language impairment.

Finally, we examined whether there is a difference between groups in the pattern of volumetric asymmetry in the regions surrounding F3 and PT, for example, frontal operculum (FO) and regions making up the broader Wernicke’s area: superior temporal gyrus (T1), a combined region consisting of supramarginal and angular gyrus (SG+AG), and the parietal operculum (PO). These secondary language-related regions serve as a test of specificity of the asymmetry abnormalities to F3 and/or PT, because we did not observe abnormalities in these bordering regions previously and hypothesized that these regions would not differentiate groups, whereas F3 would differ based on language impairment.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

The children with autism and SLI were enrolled as part of a program project on language and related social cognitive functioning in autism. All subjects were male and all were predominantly right-handed, as determined by the Edinburgh Inventory in NC subjects,\textsuperscript{42} and by the Dean Laterality Preference Schedule in all other groups.\textsuperscript{43,44} Control subjects were part of an ongoing neuroimaging study of childhood-onset psychiatric illnesses. The study included four groups of subjects: boys with autism with either impaired language (ALI; n = 16) or...
normal language skills (ALN; n = 6), boys with diagnosis of the presence or history of SLI (n = 9), and typically developing control boys (NC; n = 11). The age range of the four groups was 6.2 to 13.4 years (Table 1). There were no significant differences in age among the groups. Subjects were excluded if they had frank neurological damage or had been diagnosed with fragile X, neurofibromatosis, cerebral palsy, tuberous sclerosis, William’s syndrome, or Down’s syndrome. The control group had no DSM-IV axis I diagnosis on structured and clinical interviews.1

Full-scale, verbal, and nonverbal intelligence quotients (IQs)45,46 of the four groups are shown in Table 1. There were significant differences in full-scale IQ between the groups (F[3,38] = 13.4, p < 0.0001) with the language-impaired groups (ALI and SLI) having significantly lower verbal (t[38] = 4.4, p < 0.0001) and nonverbal IQ (t[38] = 4.2, p < 0.001) than the unimpaired language groups (ALN and NC). The ALI group had significantly lower verbal IQ than the SLI group (t[38] = 2.6, p = 0.01), but there was no significant difference in nonverbal IQ (t[38] = 1.2, p = 0.2). The same pattern was observed with the ALN group who had significantly lower verbal IQ than the NC group, but comparable nonverbal IQ (verbal: t[38] = 2.3, p = 0.03; nonverbal: t[38] = 0.7, p = 0.5).

Autism Testing
A diagnosis of autism was established on the basis of the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised17 and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule.48 In addition, an expert clinician examined all participants to confirm that they met DSM-IV criteria for autism.1

Language Testing
Language skills were tested using the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF, 3rd ed)49 and the nonsense word repetition subtest of the NEPSY.50 The CELF-III is an omnibus test of language ability, measuring higher order syntax and semantics. There are six subtests, three in the receptive domain and three in the expressive domain, and these are combined to form expressive and receptive composite scores and a total language standard score (each with a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15). Criteria for language impairment among the boys with autism were a CELF score of 81 or lower, or a score 6 or lower on the nonsense word repetition subtest. All the boys included in the SLI group had a history of significant language delay and had been clinically referred for treatment. Of the nine boys in this group, five had current language impairment according to the inclusion criteria mentioned above. The four boys with only a history of language impairment fell within the normal limits on the language measures at the time of testing; however, this is not uncommon in SLI.51 As noted, all the boys with autism in the ALI group met the criteria for current language impairment described here. The boys with autism in the ALN group all scored within the normal range on the CELF and the nonsense word repetition task. Although the control group did not undergo the full battery of testing of language skills, all NC subjects had normal verbal IQ and reading scores, no obvious language abnormality, and none had any history of language delay or language-based learning disabilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>NC (n = 11)</th>
<th>ALN (n = 6)</th>
<th>ALI (n = 16)</th>
<th>SLI (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>10.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-scale IQ</td>
<td>114.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>109.3 ± 24.1</td>
<td>78.3 ± 14.7</td>
<td>93.4 ± 15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td>115.9 ± 12.4</td>
<td>97.7 ± 19.3</td>
<td>75.1 ± 15.9</td>
<td>92.5 ± 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonverbal IQ</td>
<td>110.6 ± 11</td>
<td>116.3 ± 24.9</td>
<td>87.9 ± 14.3</td>
<td>95.7 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonword repetition</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELF</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>101 ± 12.1</td>
<td>65.5 ± 9.4</td>
<td>85.4 ± 11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SLI and subjects with autism; Differential Abilities Scale45; control subjects: WISC-III.46
*bRepition on Nonsense Words subtest of NEPSY.50
*cClinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals.49

SD = standard deviation; NC = normal control; ALN = autism with normal language; ALI = autism with language impairment; SLI = specific language impairment.

Image Acquisition
Subjects were trained in a mock scanner before actual MR scanning.52 This allowed us to acquire high-resolution structural scans using behavioral shaping techniques to minimize movement without sedating the subjects. All images were acquired on a General Electric (Milwaukee, WI) 1.5T Signa MRI system. During the course of the study, there was a software upgrade on the scanner. In addition, a protocol was implemented in which two faster anatomical series were acquired to maximize the chance of getting a series without motion artifacts (we refer to this as the dual acquisition protocol). By taking two more rapid acquisitions, we were more likely to obtain at least one that was free of motion artifact. Validation studies were performed before and after the upgrade and the transition from a single series acquisition to the shorter dual acquisition protocol.

A single series of coronal three-dimensional T1-weighted MR scans was acquired from five normal control subjects with the following parameters: TR, 13.8 milliseconds; TE, 2.8 milliseconds; TI, 300 milliseconds; flip angle, 25 degrees; slice thickness, 1.5mm (contiguous); image matrix, 256 × 256 pixels; in-plane pixel dimension, 0.9375 × 0.9375mm2; FOV, 240mm.

The dual acquisition protocol was used for 14 scans of subjects with autism and had the following parameters...
changed from those reported above: TR, 13.7 milliseconds; TE, 2.7 milliseconds; in-plane pixel dimension, 1.172 × 1.172mm²; FOV, 300mm. All other parameters were the same as reported above.

After a scanner software upgrade, six normal control subjects, eight subjects with autism, and nine subjects with SLI were scanned with the dual acquisition protocol. The following scanning parameters underwent minimal changes from the dual protocol listed above: TR, 11 milliseconds; TE, 1.90 milliseconds (FOV, 300mm). All other parameters were the same as reported above.

Validation studies were performed to determine the effect of the change in scanning protocol and software upgrade. Four people unrelated to the study agreed to be scanned with both the single and dual protocols. Three additional people volunteered to be scanned before and after the upgrade of the scanning software. We obtained the volumes of the right and left cerebral cortex for all scans.53,54 Not only is the cortex the basis for the subsequent parcellation and thus includes all the regions of interest in this study, but it also represents two intensity class boundaries (gray matter–extracerebral cerebrospinal fluid and gray matter–white matter) that would be expected to show any global effects of scan parameter changes. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated for consistency of the volume measurements.55,56 For the protocol change, the ICC for volume consistency was 0.936, and for the scanning software upgrade the ICC was 0.944. For both the scan protocol change and the upgrade, measurements of the cerebral cortex differed by less than 2% on average across the changes. Furthermore, because the measures of interest and hypotheses in this study involve cortical asymmetry measures, these changes in scan protocols would not be expected to have an impact on one hemisphere versus the other, and impact on asymmetry would be unlikely.

The dual protocol acquisitions of each subject were coregistered and averaged.57,58 This averaged series was compared with both separate acquisitions and the version with the least motion artifact was chosen for analysis. The selected series of each subject was reoriented in a common stereotactic space,59,60 but without rescaling the image parameters. The images then were resliced in the position normalized coronal plane with 1.5mm slice thickness. The images were magnified to an in-plane pixel resolution of 0.837mm, except for those series where the field of view was 240mm. A correction was performed to remove intensity nonuniformity effects.61,62

**Morphometric Analysis of Images**

The cerebral cortex was extracted from the image as part of a whole-brain segmentation, which used semiautomated procedures based on intensity contour mapping and differential intensity contour algorithms that have been described previously.53,54 After segmentation, the neocortex was divided into 48 parcellation units (PUs) per hemisphere using a self-referential system based on the gyral pattern of the cortex.63,64 The anterior-posterior borders of the PUs are defined by a set of coronal planes according to anatomical landmarks. The medial-lateral and dorsal-ventral borders of the PUs are defined by identifying the neocortical fissures. This method is extensively described in Rademacher and colleagues63 and Caviness and colleagues.64 See Figure 1 for a schematic of the parcellation units of interest in this study and Table 2 for the definitions of the parcellation unit borders. The whole-brain segmentation and parcellation of the cortex was performed by a technician extensively trained in neuroanatomy and supervised by a neuroanatomist. Both were blind to the group affiliation of the subjects.

Some of the regions separated by a single boundary as described in the method in Caviness and colleagues64 and studied in Herbert and colleagues36 are combined in this article. We did this to decrease the variability in the volume of these regions and limit the number of comparisons. For example, there is substantial fluctuation in the volume of the AG and the SG, in part because the intermediary sulcus of Jensen, which forms the posterior border of SG and the anterior border of AG, is highly variable.64 Therefore, AG was combined with the anterior and posterior portion of SG, into SG+AG. For similar reasons, inferior frontal gyrus, pars opercularis (F3o), and pars triangularis (F3t) were combined into F3, and the anterior and posterior portions of the superior temporal gyrus (T1a and T1p) were combined into T1.

The volumetric asymmetry of a PU is estimated by the symmetry index

$$\text{symmetry index} = 100 \times \frac{\frac{\text{Left volume} - \text{Right volume}}{\frac{1}{2} (\text{Left volume} + \text{Right volume})}}{\text{volume}}$$

where left PU volume and right PU volume are identically labeled PUs in the left and right hemisphere. Positive values indicate that the PU is larger in the left hemisphere. Thus, if the symmetry index is 20, the volume in the left hemisphere is larger than the right hemisphere by 20% of the average volume of the left and right hemispheres. The symmetry index is a ratio of volumes, and thus, a valueless quantity. We examined the impact of cerebrum size on the analyses of regional asymmetry.

**Data Analysis**

First, one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA)s with pooled estimates of error variance were used to test for between-group differences in the raw volumes of the whole cerebrum, neocortex, and (by hemisphere) the primary parcellation units of interest: inferior frontal gyrus (F3) and the planum temporale (PT). We used Tukey–Kramer Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests for post hoc pairwise comparisons because of the conservative nature of the test when used with unequal sample sizes.65 Based on our primary hypotheses driven by our prior findings, we next tested differences in the symmetry index of F3 and PT, separately, with one-way ANOVAs with Group (ALI, ALN, SLI, NC) as the between subjects variable. Planned linear contrasts were used to determine (1) whether the language-impaired groups (SLI and ALI) differed from language unimpaired groups (ALN and NC), and (2) whether subjects with autism differed from their language cогroup (ALI vs SLI; ALN vs NC). Then, a multivariate ANOVA was used to test group differences in the symmetry index of parcellation units that border F3 and PT: FO, T1, SG+AG, and the PO. Symmetry indices were
further analyzed within each group using two-tailed \( t \) tests to assess whether individual regions differed significantly from zero (ie, whether regions were significantly asymmetric within group). Correlation analyses were performed relating principal regions of interest, cerebral cortex and cerebral volume, and IQ scores. All analyses were performed using the statistical software package JMP 5.0.1.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

### Table 2. Definitions of Regions of Interest Based on Cerebral Cortex Parcellation Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcellation Unit</th>
<th>Anterior</th>
<th>Posterior</th>
<th>Ventral</th>
<th>Dorsal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lateral surface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3t</td>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus, pars triangularis</td>
<td>plane A</td>
<td>aar</td>
<td>ahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3o</td>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus, pars opercularis</td>
<td>aar-if</td>
<td>prc-plane O</td>
<td>ahr-prc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGa</td>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus, anterior portion</td>
<td>plane P</td>
<td>plane D</td>
<td>phr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGp</td>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus, posterior portion</td>
<td>plane D</td>
<td>ij-plane E</td>
<td>st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>Angular gyrus</td>
<td>ij-plane E</td>
<td>plane F</td>
<td>st/lo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus, anterior portion</td>
<td>plane B</td>
<td>plane C</td>
<td>st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1p</td>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus, posterior portion</td>
<td>plane C</td>
<td>plane D</td>
<td>st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intrasyllvian surface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO</td>
<td>Frontal operculum</td>
<td>INS-ANT</td>
<td>plane O</td>
<td>cir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>Parietal operculum</td>
<td>plane P</td>
<td>plane D</td>
<td>cir - syl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Planum temporale</td>
<td>He-ant</td>
<td>plane D</td>
<td>He/cir/Syl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aar = anterior ascending ramus of the Sylvian fissure; aar-if = most anterior point of the anterior ascending ramus of the Sylvian fissure (where it intersects or is close to the inferior frontal sulcus; ahr = anterior horizontal ramus of the Sylvian fissure; cir = circular sulcus of the insula; He = Heschl’s sulcus; He-ant = anterior limit of the Heschl’s gyrus; if = inferior frontal sulcus; ij = intermediate sulcus of Jensen; INS-ANT = anterior limit of the insular cortex; ip = intraparietal sulcus; lo = lateral occipital sulcus; phrs = posterior horizontal ramus of the Sylvian fissure; plane A = most anterior point of the anterior horizontal ramus of the Sylvian fissure; plane B = isthmus of the temporal and frontal lobes; plane C = anterior limit of the first transverse sulcus of Heschls; plane D = posterior limit of the Sylvian fissure; plane E = inferior limit of the intermediate sulcus of Jenson; plane F = opercularization of the Sylvian fissure; plane O = point where the precentral sulcus intersects the Sylvian fissure; poc = precentral sulcus; prc = precentral sulcus; st = superior temporal sulcus; Syl-HM = lip of the Sylvian fissure at the hemispheric margin; Syl = Sylvian fissure.

### Results

Mean volumes (in cm\(^3\)) for the whole cerebrum, cerebral cortex, and parcellation units are given in Table 3. There is a trend toward difference in cerebral volume among the groups (F[3,38] = 2.66, \( p = 0.06 \)), where the ALI and ALN groups have greater cerebral volume than the NC and SLI groups. There is a 106cm\(^3\) mean volume difference between the SLI and ALI groups (means are 1,167 and 1,273cm\(^3\), respectively, \( p = 0.04 \)). A similar group effect is found with cerebral cortex (F[3,38] = 2.86, \( p = 0.05 \)), where the post hoc significant difference is again between the SLI (699cm\(^3\)) and ALI (767cm\(^3\)) groups (\( p = 0.03 \)) There were no significant group differences in the raw volume of F3 or PT by hemisphere (left F3: F[3,38] = 1.8, \( p = 0.2 \); right F3: F[3,38] = 1.1, \( p = 0.4 \); left PT: F[3,38] = 0.5, \( p = 0.7 \); right PT: F[3,38] = 0.6, \( p = 0.6 \)).

Mean symmetry indices for the cerebral cortex and parcellation units are given in Table 4. Cerebral cortex as a whole was highly symmetric, with less than 0.5% mean asymmetry in all groups and no between-group differences. Two-tailed \( t \) tests within-group (one-sample) of symmetry indices indicated that F3 in the ALI group was significantly asymmetric, larger on the right than the left side (\( t[15] = -2.7, p = 0.017 \)). PT was significantly asymmetric, larger on the left side, in both the ALI (\( t[15] = 4.4, p = 0.0006 \)) and SLI (\( t[8] = 5.0, p = 0.001 \)) groups, but not in ALN (\( t[5] = 1.1, p = 0.30 \)) or NC (\( t[10] = 1.7, p = 0.12 \)). ALI
also showed significant rightward asymmetry in SG+AG (t[15] = -3.2, p = 0.006) and leftward asymmetry in PO (t[15] = 3.1, p = 0.008).

Both language-impaired groups (SLI and ALI) showed a mean rightward Broca’s area asymmetry in inferior frontal gyrus (F3), which was a reversal of the mean leftward asymmetry seen in the unimpaired language groups (ALN and NC, see Table 4 and Fig 2). ANOVA analysis showed this difference to be significant (F[3,38] = 2.9, p = 0.05) and the group contrast showed that the difference was along the language dimension (SLI and ALI vs ALN and NC: t[38] = 2.8, p = 0.007). There were no differences between SLI and ALI (t[38] = 0.5, p = 0.6) or ALN and NC (t[38] = 0.1, p = 0.9). Because there were significant between-group differences in cerebral volume, we added this variable to the analysis of F3 group differences, which remained significantly after accounting for cerebral size (F[3,1,37] = 3.3, p = 0.03).

The asymmetry of the PT was significantly leftward biased in both the SLI and ALI groups, but the leftward asymmetry was not significant in the ALN and NC groups (see analyses above), which suggests exaggerated asymmetry in the language-impaired groups (see Table 4). However, the between-group comparison was not significant in the overall ANOVA (F[3,38] = 0.7, p = 0.5) or in the language group contrast (SLI and ALI vs ALN and NC: t[38] = 1.4, p = 0.17). There were no differences between SLI and ALI (t[38] = 0.1, p = 0.9), or ALN and NC (t[38] = 0.1, p = 0.9).

A one-way multivariate ANOVA was performed to assess group differences among broader language areas that border on F3 and PT: FO, T1, SG+AG, and PO. Wilks’ Λ multivariate test did not show any significant differences in the overall asymmetry for these regions (F[12,92.9] = 1.6, p = 0.11). Furthermore, post hoc univariate ANOVAs for each dependent variable showed no significant group differences.

There was a significant correlation between verbal IQ and F3 asymmetry (r[39] = 0.43, p = 0.04), with higher verbal IQ associated with more leftward F3 asymmetry. The correlation analysis between F3 and nonverbal IQ was not significant. Cerebral cortex and total cerebral volume were not significantly associated with IQ scores (verbal, nonverbal, and full-scale IQ) all had correlations with cerebral cortex and total cerebral volume with p > 0.30.

**Discussion**

This study investigated whether reversal of normal left-right volumetric asymmetry patterns in frontal cortical language regions (Broca’s area) is more closely related to language impairment than to autism diagnosis. This study compared boys with autism who had either normal or impaired language skills to control groups that included developmentally normal boys and boys with SLI. The results suggest that the observed abnormal asymmetry in language-related brain regions in SLI and ALI was more closely related to language impairment than to autism diagnosis. The boys with autism who had normal language skills were similar to the normal control boys in leftward volumetric asymmetry of inferior frontal gyrus, pars opercularis plus pars triangularis (F3). In contrast, the boys with autism who had impaired language skills showed reversed (rightward) asymmetry in F3, as did the boys with SLI. Boys with language impairment (SLI and ALI) had lower verbal IQ scores than language-normal subjects (ALN and NC), as expected. The asymmetry of F3 frontal language-association cortex was correlated with verbal IQ scores, but not with nonverbal IQ, further supporting the relationship between Broca’s area asymmetry and language abilities in right-handed subjects.

Although this study, to our knowledge, is the first to directly assess the relationship between autism and language-impairment domains with Broca’s area asymmetry patterns, several reports have observed reversed Broca’s asymmetry in either SLI or autism. This study replicated and expanded on a previous study in an in-

### Table 3. Volumes (mean ± SD) of Brain Regions of Interest (ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Region</th>
<th>NC Right</th>
<th>NC Left</th>
<th>ALN Right</th>
<th>ALN Left</th>
<th>ALI Right</th>
<th>ALI Left</th>
<th>SLI Right</th>
<th>SLI Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole cerebrum</td>
<td>609.9 ± 27.6</td>
<td>611.1 ± 30.3</td>
<td>622.4 ± 35.2</td>
<td>621.6 ± 35.5</td>
<td>636.3 ± 62.1</td>
<td>636.9 ± 61.4</td>
<td>584.9 ± 35.6</td>
<td>582.0 ± 31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole cerebral cortex</td>
<td>367.1 ± 16.9</td>
<td>368.2 ± 20.1</td>
<td>375.1 ± 25.9</td>
<td>375.8 ± 32.2</td>
<td>383.7 ± 37.0</td>
<td>383.7 ± 37.3</td>
<td>349.1 ± 20.0</td>
<td>349.9 ± 18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary parcellation units of interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broca’s Area (F3)</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plenum temporale</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordering parcellation units of interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal operculum</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal/angular</td>
<td>18.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>17.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>18.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>18.1 ± 4.4</td>
<td>21.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>18.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>14.9 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal operculum</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volumetric data for the parcellation units are provided as a reference for their symmetry indices, which are shown in Table 4. NC = normal control; ALN = autism with normal language; ALI = autism with language impairment; SLI = specific language impairment.
dependent subject sample that applied the same image analysis methods examining volumetric asymmetry in language-association cortex in right-handed boys with autism and normal control boys.\textsuperscript{36} This prior study reported that inferior frontal cortex pars opercularis was larger in the right hemisphere in boys with autism and larger in the left hemisphere in normal control boys. In another study of subjects with autism, a decrease of gray matter was observed in Brodmann area 45 in the left hemisphere.\textsuperscript{35} The findings in inferior frontal cortex are consistent with previous studies indicating rightward asymmetry of the pars opercularis and triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus in subjects with SLI.\textsuperscript{30,32,33,38}

Further investigation is required to determine the developmental and behavioral causes and impact of the observed pattern of reversal of normal asymmetry in language-impaired subjects, both with or without autism. For example, in typically developing right-handed subjects, inferior frontal gyrus (Broca's area) tends to be larger in the left hemisphere,\textsuperscript{19,23–29} which suggests concordant left-hemisphere language as well as motor dominance. This is in contrast with the reported asymmetry in both autism language-impaired and SLI right-handed subjects, in whom the frontal language region is larger in the right hemisphere, suggesting that in these groups, language and motor dominance are segregated to opposite hemispheres. Based on the existing data, however, it is not possible to determine whether the development of language and motor dominance in opposing hemispheres causes complications or difficulties in normal language development, for example, or whether the abnormal cortical development is a compensatory sequelae of early language dysfunction.

This study suggests that exaggerated leftward asymmetry of the planum temporale is also more closely related to language diagnosis, because the two language-impaired groups, ALI and SLI, both had similar and significant within-group PT asymmetry, whereas the two language-normal groups, ALN and NC, did not have significant PT asymmetry. PT was also significantly leftward asymmetric in a separate sample of boys with autism compared with developmentally normal boys in a prior study by our research team.\textsuperscript{36} Because the method of defining and measuring the structure volumes in the earlier study and this study are identical, we can directly compare data between these studies. Consistent with this study, the PT symmetry index in the earlier study was larger on the left in autism: 25% leftward asymmetry for the boys with autism in the prior study versus 27% larger PT on the left in ALI and SLI in this study. The main discrepancy between the PT asymmetry in the two studies is in the NC group (5% leftward in prior study vs 16% leftward in this study). One possible explanation is related to age-differences: the NC group in this study (10.4 years) was significantly older than the NC group in the earlier report (8.3 years). As suggested by several recent studies, it is possible that PT asymmetry increases with age in normal development.\textsuperscript{66,67}

However, the pronounced leftward asymmetry of the planum temporale in the SLI and autism groups is in contrast with findings from other studies.\textsuperscript{30,37} PT measures may differ because of methodological issues such as surface measurements (vs volume measurements), the part of the PT measured, or the age of the subjects.\textsuperscript{28,68} In this study, PT volume includes both the horizontal and the posterior ascending part. More research is needed to clarify whether the differences in findings are attributable to method, age, or to subject variability (both within and between groups).
In broader Broca’s and Wernicke’s area regions, including FO, PO, T1, and SG+AG, no differences were observed between groups in asymmetry measures. This is consistent with our earlier report and further supports the specificity of the asymmetry abnormality in F3 in language-impaired subjects with autism or SLI.

The reversal of frontal language cortex (Broca’s area) asymmetry in language-impaired boys with autism and boys with SLI suggests similar deviations in cerebral cortical structure in language-association areas in these two disorders. In view of family studies and genetic linkage studies that point to overlapping gene regions, these findings support the hypothesis of a common neurobiological basis of language impairment in autism and SLI. One strength of this study was the decision to include only right-handed boys to limit potential confounding effects of handedness and gender, which are known to have an impact on language organization in the brain. A further strength is the effort to subdivide subjects with autism by language-impairment and compare these subgroups to control groups with and without language-impairment. This allows us to begin to interpret our language-related asymmetry observations in terms of relationship to autism versus language-impairment domains. A weakness of this study is the small sample size in some of the groups, particularly language-normal autism subjects, that resulted from this subdivision of the autism group. A larger sample would be required to directly establish a difference between the subjects with autism with normal and impaired language skills. Future studies are needed to examine whether this result holds in male and female children and adults and whether there are correlations between other traits of autism and deviations in cortical structures.
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