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## A Bridge Between Convex Analysis and Approximate Dynamic Programming
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Deterministic Problems Proximal Algorithms Iterative Regularization Hyperplane Separation Iterative Descent
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Stochastic Problems Policy Iteration
Large Linear Systems of Equations Simulation-Temporal Differences AlphaGo

## Problem Formulation: Find a Fixed Point of a Nonexpansive Mapping

Problem: Solve $x=T(x)$
where we assume that $T: \Re^{n} \mapsto \Re^{n}$ has a unique fixed point and is nonexpansive,

$$
\left\|T\left(x_{1}\right)-T\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \gamma\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|, \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Re^{n},
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is some Euclidean norm.

Primary focus: The linear case

$$
x=A x+b
$$

where $I-A$ is nearly singular and/or has huge dimension.

- "Nearly singular" suggests the use of regularization and the proximal algorithm.
- "Huge dimension" suggests projection over a low-dimensional subspace and simulation.


## Proximal Algorithm - Convex Analysis (Martinet, 1970)

The proximal mapping $P^{(c)}: \Re^{n} \mapsto \Re^{n}$ for $x-T(x)=0$, where $c>0$
$x \mapsto$ Unique solution of $y-T(y)=\frac{1}{c}(x-y)$
The proximal algorithm is

$$
x_{k+1}=P^{(c)}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$




Special case: Convex minimization $\min _{x \in \Re^{n}} f(x)$, or $\nabla f(x)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x)= x-\nabla f(x), \quad f: \text { Convex differentiable function } \\
& P^{(c)}(x)=\arg \min _{y \in \Re^{n}}\left\{f(y)+\frac{1}{2 c}\|y-x\|^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multistep Mappings - Temporal Differences - DP (1990s)

Consider the special case of a linear system $T(x)=A x+b$
For $\lambda \in(0,1)$, introduce the multistep mapping

$$
T^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} T^{\ell+1}
$$

- $T^{(\lambda)}$ is linear: $T^{(\lambda)}(x)=A^{(\lambda)} x+b^{(\lambda)}$, where

$$
A^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell+1}, \quad b^{(\lambda)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell} b
$$

- $T^{(\lambda)}$ has the same fixed point as $T$

Algorithms (central in approximate DP/policy iteration/policy evaluation, where $T$ is the Bellman equation mapping of a policy)

- $x_{k+1}=T\left(x_{k}\right)$ (value iteration) or $x_{k+1}=T^{(\lambda)}\left(x_{k}\right)$
- $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\gamma_{k}\left(\right.$ sample $\left.T^{(\lambda)}\left(x_{k}\right)-x_{k}\right)$ with $\gamma_{k} \downarrow 0(\mathrm{TD}(\lambda)$ algorithm $)$
- Simulation-based with intermediate projection onto a subspace of basis functions


## Key Fact:



Extrapolation Formula $T^{(\lambda)}=P^{(c)} \cdot T=T \cdot P^{(c)}$

## $T^{(\lambda)}$ IS FASTER

## Extrapolated Iteration



The extrapolated iterate $T(\bar{x})$ is closer to $x^{*}$ than the proximal iterate $\bar{x}$ A FREE LUNCH

## Consider П: Projection Onto a Low-Dimensional Subspace

- Solve the projected proximal equation $x=\Pi P^{(c)}(x)$ [has the same solution as the multistep equation $x=\Pi T^{(\lambda)}(x)$ ]
- Use the projected proximal algorithm

$$
x_{k+1}=\Pi P^{(c)}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

modeled after the TD algorithms with projection.


The simulation-based TD methodology can be used in the proximal context The sampled version of the projected proximal algorithm is identical to $\operatorname{TD}(\lambda)$

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\gamma_{k}\left(\text { sample } \Pi P^{(c)}\left(x_{k}\right)-x_{k}\right), \quad \gamma_{k} \downarrow 0
$$
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## Properties of Multistep Mappings for Linear Systems

Consider the linear system $x=A x+b$ under the following assumption:
The system has a unique solution $x^{*}$ and spectral radius $\sigma(A) \leq 1$.
Some basic results (Bertsekas and Yu, 2009)

- The mapping $T^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} T^{\ell+1}$ has the form

$$
T^{(\lambda)}(x)=A^{(\lambda)} x+b^{(\lambda)}
$$

where

$$
A^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell+1}, \quad b^{(\lambda)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell} b
$$

- The eigenvalues of $A^{(\lambda)}$ have the form

$$
\theta_{i}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} \zeta_{i}^{\ell+1}=\frac{\zeta_{i}(1-\lambda)}{1-\zeta_{i} \lambda}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $\zeta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, are the eigenvalues of $A$. Furthermore,

$$
\sigma\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)<1, \quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \sigma\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)=0
$$

## The Extrapolation Formula

## Let $c>0$ and $\lambda=\frac{c}{c+1}$. Consider the proximal mapping

$$
P^{(c)}: x \mapsto \text { Unique solution of } y-T(y)=\frac{1}{c}(x-y)
$$

Then:

$$
T^{(\lambda)}=T \cdot P^{(c)}=P^{(c)} \cdot T
$$

and $x, P^{(c)} x$, and $T^{(\lambda)} x$ are colinear:

$$
T^{(\lambda)} x=P^{(c)} x+\frac{1}{c}\left(P^{(c)} x-x\right)
$$



Extrapolation Formula $T^{(\lambda)}=P^{(c)} \cdot T=T \cdot P^{(c)}$

## Proof outline

## Main idea: Express the proximal mapping in terms of a power series

We have

$$
P^{(c)} x=\left(\frac{c+1}{c} I-A\right)^{-1}\left(b+\frac{1}{c} x\right)
$$

and by a series expansion

$$
\left(\frac{c+1}{c} I-A\right)^{-1}=\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} I-A\right)^{-1}=\lambda(I-\lambda A)^{-1}=\lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(\lambda A)^{\ell}
$$

Recall that

$$
T^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell+1} x+\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell} b
$$

Using these relations and the fact $\frac{1}{c}=\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}$, it follows that

$$
T^{(\lambda)}=T \cdot P^{(c)}=P^{(c)} \cdot T
$$

## Acceleration

The eigenvalues of $T^{(\lambda)}$ and $P^{(c)}$ are simply related:

$$
\theta_{i}=\zeta_{i} \cdot \bar{\theta}_{i}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{i}=i \text { th } \operatorname{Eig}\left(T^{(\lambda)}\right), \quad \bar{\theta}_{i}=i \text { th } \operatorname{Eig}\left(P^{(c)}\right), \quad \zeta_{i}=i \text { th } \operatorname{Eig}(A)
$$

Moreover, $P^{(c)}$ and $T^{(\lambda)}$ have the same eigenvectors.
Convergence rate improvement: We have

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)}{\sigma(A)} \leq \sigma\left(\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}\right)<1
$$

so $\sigma\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{(\lambda)}\right)<\sigma\left(\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}\right)$ if $\sigma(A)<1$.
Optimal extrapolation
The eigenvalues of the extrapolated iteration

$$
x_{k+1}=\left((1-\gamma) P^{(c)}+\gamma T^{(\lambda)}\right) x_{k}, \quad \gamma>0
$$

are $\theta_{i}(\gamma)=(1-\gamma) \bar{\theta}_{i}+\gamma \theta_{i}$, and for some $\hat{\gamma} \geq 1$, we have acceleration for all $\gamma \in(0, \hat{\gamma})$.

## A Note on Extrapolation in the Proximal Algorithm



- It is well-known that extrapolation by any factor less than 2 preserves the convergence of the proximal algorithm, but does not guarantee acceleration.
- This is a different and unrelated old result (Bertsekas, 1975, for the convex minimization case, Eckstein and Bertsekas, 1992, for the general case).
- The acceleration result of this talk holds only for the fixed point/nonexpansive case $x=T(x)$.


# (1) Acceleration of the Proximal Algorithm for Linear Systems 

# (2) Simulation-Based Projected Proximal Algorithms for Linear Systems 

## (3) Acceleration of the Proximal Algorithm for Nonlinear Systems

4 Acceleration of Forward-Backward and Proximal Gradient Algorithms

## Approximation in a Subspace of Basis Functions

Approximate the solution $x^{*}$ of $x=A x+b$ within a low-dimensional subspace Consider the subspace

$$
S=\left\{\phi r \mid r \in \Re^{s}\right\}
$$

spanned by the columns $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{s}$ of an $n \times s$ matrix $\Phi(s \ll n)$


## Examples

- Standard bases: Polynomials, radial basis functions, wavelets, etc
- Throw away some components of $x$ interpolate for the rest
- Aggregation (e.g., form a smaller system using linear combinations of rows and columns of $A$ )
- Feature-based approximation (features of the components of $x$ are the rows of $\Phi$ generated "manually" or "automatically", e.g., by a neural network)


## How to Approximate Vectors $x$ within $S ?$

Introduce a "projection" operation $\Pi$ : $\Re^{n} \rightarrow S(\Pi$ is linear and $\Pi x=x$ for all $x \in S)$


## General form: Oblique Projection

$$
\Pi=\Phi\left(\Psi^{\prime} \Xi \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \Xi
$$

where $\equiv$ is a diagonal $n \times n$ positive semidefinite, and $\Psi$ is an $n \times s$ matrix such that $\Psi^{\prime}$ 三 $\Phi$ is invertible

## Examples

- Orthogonal projection ( $\Psi=\Phi$ and $\equiv$ is positive definite)
- Seminorm projection (三 may have some 0 diagonal components)
- Aggregation ( $\Pi=\Phi D$, where the rows of $\Phi$ and $D$ are probability distributions)


## Projected Proximal Equation: $x=\Pi P^{(c)}(x)$



Galerkin approximation approach: Project the equation not the solution Recall the proximal equation

$$
x=P^{(c)}(x)=\bar{A}^{(\lambda)} x+\bar{b}^{(\lambda)}
$$

We solve the projected version $x=\Pi P^{(c)}(x)$ at the expense of "bias" ( $x_{c}-\Pi x^{*}$ )

Important Point: Large $c$ diminishes the bias

$$
x^{*}-x_{c}=\left(I-\Pi \bar{A}^{(\lambda)}\right)^{-1}\left(x^{*}-\Pi x^{*}\right)
$$

We have $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1} \bar{A}^{(\lambda)}=0$, so the bias $\left(x_{c}-\Pi x^{*}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$

## Algebraic Form of the Projected Proximal Equations

## Recall the proximal equation

$$
x=P^{(c)}(x)=\bar{A}^{(\lambda)} x+\bar{b}^{(\lambda)}
$$

where

$$
\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell}, \quad \bar{b}^{(\lambda)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell+1} A^{\ell} b, \quad \lambda=\frac{c}{c+1}
$$

For the oblique projection case $\Pi=\Phi\left(\Psi^{\prime} \text { ミ } \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime}$ 三
The projected equation is the (low-dimensional linear equation) $r=Q^{(\lambda)} r+d^{(\lambda)}$ where

$$
Q^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{A}^{(\lambda)} \Phi, \quad d^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{b}^{(\lambda)}
$$

## Important point:

- $\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}$ and $\bar{b}^{(\lambda)}$ involve powers of matrices (which facilitates simulation)
- For any value of $\lambda, Q^{(\lambda)}$ and $d^{(\lambda)}$ can be evaluated by simulation, just as conveniently as for $\lambda=0$


## Projected Proximal and Proximal Projected Algorithms

Projected proximal: Fixed point algorithm for the projected proximal equation

$$
x_{k+1}=\Pi P^{(c)}\left(x_{k}\right) \text { or equivalently } r_{k+1}=Q^{(\lambda)} r_{k}+d^{(\lambda)}
$$

Can also use its extrapolated version $x_{k+1}=\Pi T^{(\lambda)}\left(x_{k}\right)$. Converges if $\Pi P^{(c)}$ is a contraction (true if $c$ is sufficiently large or if $\Pi$ is properly chosen)


Proximal projected: Proximal algorithm for the low-dimensional proximal equation $r=Q^{(\lambda)} r+d^{(\lambda)}$

$$
r_{k+1}=\hat{P}^{(\hat{c})}\left(r_{k}\right), \quad(\hat{c}>0 \text { : unrelated to } c \text { and } \lambda)
$$

where $\hat{P}^{(\hat{c})}: \Re^{s} \mapsto \Re^{s}$ is the mapping

$$
r \mapsto \text { Unique solution of } y-Q^{(\lambda)} y-d^{(\lambda)}=\frac{1}{\hat{c}}(r-y)
$$

## The Need for Simulation for Large Systems

Recall the projected equation $r=Q^{(\lambda)} r+d^{(\lambda)}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{A}^{(\lambda)} \Phi, \quad d^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{b}^{(\lambda)} \\
\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell}, \quad \bar{b}^{(\lambda)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell+1} A^{\ell} b, \quad \lambda=\frac{c}{c+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Need for simulation

- $Q^{(\lambda)}$ and $d^{(\lambda)}$ have low dimension but cannot be explicitly computed
- Reason: They involve HUGE-dimensional inner products
- Monte Carlo simulation can approximate HUGE-dimensional inner products
- Connection with Monte Carlo integration


## Simulation-Based Methodology

## Simulation Analytics

- Key idea: Interpret linear algebra operations (matrix products, inner products) as computing expected values with suitable distributions (matrix 三)
- Approximate the expected values by using sampling and laws of large numbers
- Generate samples of powers of $A$ by using a suitable Markov chain


## Important issues

- Contraction properties of $\Pi P^{(c)}$
- Choice of projection (norm mismatch issue)
- Near singularity of projected proximal equation (sensitivity to sampling error)
- Bias-variance tradeoff (as $\lambda \uparrow 1$, less bias, greater simulation error, more sampling needed)
- Issues of importance sampling


## Simulation-Based Experience

- A happy union of research in Al (low-dimensional representations, deep neural networks, BIG data) and in control/OR (DP, optimization, aggregation, etc)
- Many algorithmic variations at the interface of DP, iterative stochastic optimization, Monte Carlo methods
- Challenging implementation, but very difficult problems can be addressed
- A long history of successful implementation in approximate DP
- Recent success story of AlphaGo program


# (1) Acceleration of the Proximal Algorithm for Linear Systems 

(2) Simulation-Based Projected Proximal Algorithms for Linear Systems
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4. Acceleration of Forward-Backward and Proximal Gradient Algorithms

## Proximal Extrapolation for the Nonlinear System $x=T(x)$

- Assume that the system has a unique solution $x^{*}$, and $T$ is nonexpansive:

$$
\left\|T\left(x_{1}\right)-T\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \gamma\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|, \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Re^{n}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is some Euclidean norm and $\gamma$ is a scalar with $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

- Define the proximal mapping $P^{(c)}$ :

$$
P^{(c)}: x \mapsto \text { Unique solution of } y-T(y)=\frac{1}{c}(x-y)
$$

- Consider the extrapolated proximal mapping

$$
E^{(c)}(x)=x+\frac{c+1}{c}\left(P^{(c)}(x)-x\right)
$$

- Important note: $P^{(c)}(x)$ and $E^{(c)}(x)$ cannot be easily computed by simulation

Acceleration Result: We have $E^{(c)}(x)=T\left(P^{(c)}(x)\right)$ and hence

$$
\left\|E^{(c)}(x)-x^{*}\right\| \leq \gamma\left\|P^{(c)}(x)-x^{*}\right\|
$$

## Geometric Interpretation and Proof



From the definition of $P^{(c)}$, we have

$$
P^{(c)}(x)+\frac{1}{c}\left(P^{(c)}(x)-x\right)=T\left(P^{(c)}(x)\right)
$$

so that

$$
E^{(c)}(x)=x+\frac{c+1}{c}\left(P^{(c)}(x)-x\right)=P^{(c)}(x)+\frac{1}{c}\left(P^{(c)}(x)-x\right)=T\left(P^{(c)}(x)\right)
$$

Hence, using the assumption,

$$
\left\|E^{(c)}(x)-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|T\left(P^{(c)}(x)\right)-x^{*}\right\|=\left\|T\left(P^{(c)}(x)\right)-T\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \gamma\left\|P^{(c)}(x)-x^{*}\right\| .
$$

## (1) Acceleration of the Proximal Algorithm for Linear Systems

## (2) Simulation-Based Projected Proximal Algorithms for Linear Systems

(3) Acceleration of the Proximal Algorithm for Nonlinear Systems
(4) Acceleration of Forward-Backward and Proximal Gradient Algorithms

Forward-Backward Splitting Algorithm for Fixed Point Problem

$$
x=T(x)-H(x)
$$

$$
x_{k+1}=P^{(\alpha)}\left(x_{k}-\alpha H\left(x_{k}\right)\right), \quad \alpha>0
$$



Properties (Lions and Mercier, 1979, Gabay, 1983, Tseng, 1991):

- If $T$ is nonexpansive, and $H$ is single-valued and strongly monotone, the F-B algorithm converges to $x^{*}$ if $\alpha$ is sufficiently small
- For a minimization problem where $H$ is the gradient of a strongly convex function, it becomes the proximal gradient algorithm


## Extrapolation and Acceleration

## Extrapolated forward-backward algorithm

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{k}=x_{k}-\alpha H\left(x_{k}\right), \quad \bar{x}_{k}=P^{(\alpha)}\left(z_{k}\right) \quad \text { (Forward-Backward Iteration) } \\
x_{k+1}=\bar{x}_{k}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\bar{x}_{k}-z_{k}\right)-H\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right) \quad \text { (Extrapolation) }
\end{gathered}
$$



We have

$$
x_{k+1}=T\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)-H\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)
$$

so there is acceleration if $T-H$ is contractive.

## Using Simulation in the Linear Case: $T(x)=A x+b, H(x)=B x$

Oblique projection $\Pi=\Phi\left(\Psi^{\prime} \text { 三 } \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime}$ 三 onto a subspace $S=\left\{\phi r \mid r \in \Re^{s}\right\}$

$$
z_{k}=x_{k}-\alpha B x_{k}, \quad \bar{x}_{k}=\Pi P^{(\alpha)}\left(z_{k}\right) \quad \text { (Projected F-B Iteration) }
$$

The projected F -B equation is the (low-dimensional linear equation)

$$
r=Q^{(\lambda)} r+d^{(\lambda)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{A}^{(\lambda)}(I-\alpha B) \Phi, \quad d^{(\lambda)}=\left(\Psi^{\prime} \equiv \Phi\right)^{-1} \Psi^{\prime} \equiv \bar{b}^{(\lambda)} \\
\bar{A}^{(\lambda)}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell} A^{\ell}, \quad \bar{b}^{(\lambda)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\ell+1} A^{\ell} b, \quad \lambda=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similar to the proximal case, it can be implemented by simulation.

## Concluding Remarks

- Proximal and multistep/TD iterations for fixed point problems are closely connected
- $x, P^{(c)}(x)$, and $T^{(\lambda)}(x)$ are colinear and simply related (no line search needed)
- Multistep iteration is faster than proximal
- Cost-free acceleration of the proximal algorithm. It can be very substantial, particularly for small c
- Extrapolation formula provides new insight and justification for multistep methods
$\mathrm{TD}(\lambda)$ is the stochastic version of the proximal algorithm
$T D(\lambda)$ with subspace approximation is stochastic version of the projected proximal
- Bring the use of subspace approximation and simulation into the proximal context (for linear problems)
- The ideas extend to the forward-backward algorithm and potentially other algorithmic contexts that involve fixed points and proximal operators

Thank you!

