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Preface

Turning to the succor of modern computing machines, let us renounce all analytic tools.

Richard Bellman [Bel57]

From a teleological point of view the particular numerical solution of any particular set of equations is of far less importance than the understanding of the nature of the solution.

Richard Bellman [Bel57]

In this book we consider large and challenging multistage decision problems, which can be solved in principle by dynamic programming (DP for short), but their exact solution is computationally intractable. We discuss solution methods that rely on approximations to produce suboptimal policies with adequate performance. These methods are collectively known by several essentially equivalent names: reinforcement learning, approximate dynamic programming, and neuro-dynamic programming. We will use primarily the most popular name: reinforcement learning.

Our subject has benefited greatly from the interplay of ideas from optimal control and from artificial intelligence. One of the aims of the book is to explore the common boundary between these two fields and to form a bridge that is accessible by workers with background in either field. Another aim is to organize coherently the broad mosaic of methods that have proved successful in practice while having a solid theoretical and/or logical foundation. This may help researchers and practitioners to find their way within the maze of competing ideas that constitute the current state of the art.

There are two general approaches for DP-based suboptimal control. The first is approximation in value space, where we approximate in some way the optimal cost-to-go function with some other function. The major alternative to approximation in value space is approximation in policy space, whereby we select the policy by using optimization over a suitably restricted class of policies, usually a parametric family of some form. In
some schemes these two types of approximation may be combined, aiming to capitalize on the advantages of both.

While we provide a substantial treatment of approximation in policy space, most of the book is focused on approximation in value space. Here, the control at each state is obtained by optimization of the cost over a limited horizon, plus an approximation of the optimal future cost. The latter cost, which we generally denote by $\tilde{J}$, is a function of the state where we may be. It may be computed by a variety of methods, possibly involving simulation and/or some given or separately derived heuristic/suboptimal policy. The use of simulation often allows for implementations that do not require a mathematical model, a major idea that has allowed the use of DP beyond its classical boundaries.

We discuss selectively four types of methods for obtaining $\tilde{J}$:

(a) **Problem approximation**: Here $\tilde{J}$ is the optimal cost function of a related simpler problem, which is solved by exact DP. Certainty equivalent control and enforced decomposition schemes are discussed in some detail.

(b) **Rollout and model predictive control**: Here $\tilde{J}$ is the cost function of some known heuristic policy. The needed cost values to implement a rollout policy are often calculated by simulation. While this method applies to stochastic problems, the reliance on simulation favors deterministic problems, including challenging combinatorial problems for which heuristics may be readily implemented. Rollout may also be combined with adaptive simulation and Monte Carlo tree search, which have proved very effective in the context of games such as backgammon, chess, Go, and others.

Model predictive control was originally developed for continuous-space optimal control problems that involve some goal state, e.g., the origin in a classical control context. It can be viewed as a specialized rollout method that is based on a suboptimal optimization for reaching a goal state.

(c) **Parametric cost approximation**: Here $\tilde{J}$ is chosen from within a parametric class of functions, including neural networks, with the parameters “optimized” or “trained” by using state-cost sample pairs and some type of incremental least squares/regression algorithm. Approximate policy iteration and its variants are covered in some detail, including several actor-critic schemes. These involve policy evaluation with temporal difference-based training methods, and policy improvement that may rely on approximation in policy space.

(d) **Aggregation**: Here the cost function $\tilde{J}$ is the optimal cost function of some approximation to the original problem, called aggregate problem, which has fewer states. The aggregate problem can be formulated in a variety of ways, and may be solved by using exact DP
techniques. Its optimal cost function is then used as $\tilde{J}$ in a limited horizon optimization scheme. Aggregation may also be used to provide local improvements to parametric approximation schemes that involve neural networks or linear feature-based architectures.

We have adopted a gradual expository approach, which proceeds along four directions:

1. *From exact DP to approximate DP*: We first discuss exact DP algorithms, explain why they may be difficult to implement, and then use them as the basis for approximations.

2. *From finite horizon to infinite horizon problems*: We first discuss finite horizon exact and approximate DP methodologies, which are intuitive and mathematically simple in Chapters 1-3. We then progress to infinite horizon problems in Chapters 4 and 5.

3. *From deterministic to stochastic models*: We often discuss separately deterministic and stochastic problems. The reason is that deterministic problems are simpler and offer special advantages for some of our methods.

4. *From model-based to model-free implementations*: Reinforcement learning methods offer a major potential benefit over classical DP approaches, which were practiced exclusively up to the early 90s: they can be implemented by using a simulator/computer model rather than a mathematical model. In our presentation, we first discuss model-based implementations, and then we identify schemes that can be appropriately modified to work with a simulator.

After the first chapter, each new class of methods is introduced as a more sophisticated or generalized version of a simpler method introduced earlier. Moreover, we illustrate some of the methods by means of examples, which should be helpful in providing insight into their use, but may also be skipped selectively and without loss of continuity.

The mathematical style of this book is somewhat different from the one of the author’s DP books [Ber12], [Ber17], [Ber18a], and the 1996 neuro-dynamic programming (NDP) research monograph, written jointly with John Tsitsiklis [BeT96]. While we provide a rigorous, albeit short, mathematical account of the theory of finite and infinite horizon DP, and some fundamental approximation methods, we rely more on intuitive explanations and less on proof-based insights. Moreover, our mathematical requirements are quite modest: calculus, a minimal use of matrix-vector algebra, and elementary probability (mathematically complicated arguments involving laws of large numbers and stochastic convergence are bypassed in favor of intuitive explanations).

Several of the methods that we present are often successful in practice, but have less than solid performance properties. This is a reflection of the
state of the art in the field: there are no methods that are guaranteed to work for all or even most problems, but there are enough methods to try on a given problem with a reasonable chance of success in the end. To aid in this process, we place primary emphasis on developing proper intuition into the inner workings of each type of method. Still, however, it is important to have a foundational understanding of the analytical principles of the field and of the mechanisms underlying the central computational methods. To quote a statement from the preface of the NDP monograph [BeT96]: “It is primarily through an understanding of the mathematical structure of the NDP methodology that we will be able to identify promising or solid algorithms from the bewildering array of speculative proposals and claims that can be found in the literature.”

Another statement from a recent NY Times article [Str18], in connection with DeepMind’s remarkable AlphaZero chess program, is also worth quoting: “What is frustrating about machine learning, however, is that the algorithms can’t articulate what they’re thinking. We don’t know why they work, so we don’t know if they can be trusted. AlphaZero gives every appearance of having discovered some important principles about chess, but it can’t share that understanding with us. Not yet, at least. As human beings, we want more than answers. We want insight. This is going to be a source of tension in our interactions with computers from now on.”† To this we may add that human insight can only develop within some structure of human thought, and it appears that mathematical reasoning with algorithmic models is the most suitable structure for this purpose.

Dimitri P. Bertsekas
May 2019

† The two 1957 Bellman quotations at the beginning of this preface also express this tension, although the first of these, while striking and widely cited, is admittedly taken a little out of context. Bellman’s fascinating and informative autobiography [Bel84] contains a lot of information on the origins of DP (selected quotations from this autobiography have been compiled by Dreyfus [Dre02]). Among others, Bellman states that “In order to make any progress, it is necessary to think of approximate techniques, and above all, of numerical algorithms. Finally, having devoted a great deal of time and effort, mostly fruitless, to the analysis of many varieties of simple models, I was prepared to face up to the challenge of using dynamic programming as an effective tool for obtaining numerical answers to numerical questions.” He goes on to attribute his motivation to work on numerical DP to the emergence of the (then primitive) digital computer, which he calls “Sorcerer’s Apprentice.”