

# MOF-Derived RuCo Catalyzes the Formation of a Plasticizer Alcohol from Renewable Precursors

Constanze N. Neumann, Steven J. Rozeveld, and Mircea Dincă\*



**KEYWORDS:** catalysis, metal—organic framework, Guerbet reaction, 2-ethylhexanol, alcohol upgrading, RuCo, 1-butanol, alloy nanoparticle

T he synthetic routes toward many commodity chemicals start from fossil fuel-derived precursors and will eventually become challenged, politically and/or economically, because they presuppose a plentiful supply of cheap petrochemical precursors. Although chemicals derived from biomass are promising sustainable building blocks, they differ from the starting materials that many large-scale syntheses are built on. New pathways are needed if petrochemical-derived commodities will be sourced from more sustainable, low carbon count precursors, with C–C bond-forming reactions poised to replace the C–C bond-scission reactions found in cracking processes.<sup>1-4</sup> Condensation reactions, such as the Guerbet reaction, achieve chain elongation of short-chain oxygenates as well as an increase in the carbon-to-oxygen ratio without implicating CO<sub>2</sub> formation.<sup>1,4,5</sup>

Indeed, modification of synthetic strategies toward commodity chemicals to incorporate biomass feedstock need not render them more cumbersome. For example, 2-ethylhexanol, which is manufactured on a larger scale than any other alcohol containing more than four carbons, is currently accessed from propene via a three-step sequence involving hydroformylation, aldol condensation, and hydrogenation (Figure 1).<sup>6,7</sup> Alternatively, 2-ethylhexanol could be produced from biomassderived ethanol via two subsequent Guerbet reactions if sufficiently active and selective catalysts are available.<sup>8,9</sup>

Because the production of transportation fuels is the largestscale application of oil-derived products, the synthesis of biofuel alternatives to gasoline, diesel, and jet fuel is a pivotal area for the use of bioalcohols. Consequently, the upgrading of ethanol to the gasoline alternative 1-butanol has been an overwhelming focus of recent research activity on catalysts for the Guerbet reaction,  $^{10-16}$  with developments in the upgrading of higher alcohols lagging behind.  $^{17-19}$  However, a transition to wide-spread use of biofuels is complicated by the enormous production scales required and the fact that gasoline and diesel are replaced by novel materials. With comparatively smaller production scales and the synthesis of an identical product, commodity chemical synthesis from renewable materials via Guerbet chemistry could serve as a stepping stone for biofuel production from biomass. Although currently available catalysts for the Guerbet reaction of C3+ alcohols generally display good selectivity for the desired alcohol product, low catalyst activity is still prevalent.<sup>5,7,20,21</sup> Notable exceptions are the iridium-catalyzed butanol upgrading mediated by NaOH in water,<sup>22</sup> whose turnover frequency (TOF) of 63  $Ir^{-1} h^{-1}$  and 98% selectivity may facilitate upgrading of fermentationderived butanol, as well as the recently reported butanolupgrading reaction over Ni/Ca<sub>x</sub>Mg<sub>y</sub>O.<sup>23</sup>

In our own efforts to develop an efficient and selective catalyst for upgrading ethanol to 1-butanol, we found that simple ruthenium compounds supported on a suitable metal– organic framework (MOF) yield highly active RuNi-alloy

 Received:
 March 26, 2021

 Revised:
 May 9, 2021



## Industrial Synthesis of 2-Ethylhexanol



Figure 1. 2-Ethylhexanol, the precursor to the plasticizer diester bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, can be derived from renewable materials via two successive Guerbet reactions catalyzed by metal–organic framework (MOF)-derived Ru alloy nanoparticles.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the synthesis of 1 and its conversion to the catalytically active species under the Guerbet reaction conditions.



TOF [Ru<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> or Ir<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> or Rh<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> or Pt<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> or Pd<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>]

**Figure 3.** Comparison of catalytic performance of different Guerbet catalysts in butanol upgrading in the presence of 10 (a) or 5 mol % (b) NaOBu at 170 °C [phen = phenanthroline and dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane].<sup>7,21,22,26,27,29,30</sup> The overall TOF was color-coded according to the fraction of 1-butanol converted into the respective reaction products. Loading of Ru on catalyst supports (in wt %) <sup>a</sup>0.2 <sup>b</sup>1.8 <sup>c</sup>3.4 <sup>d</sup>1.1 (see Figures \$20-\$21 and Tables \$3-\$4 for details).

nanoparticle catalysts when subjected to the reducing reaction conditions of the Guerbet reaction (Figure 1).<sup>16</sup> However, the formation of 1-butanol via the Guerbet reaction with high selectivity requires a catalyst that shows very limited activity for the Guerbet reaction of 1-butanol so that MOF-derived RuNi is not a suitable catalyst for the production of 2-ethylhexanol. Given the compositional and structural diversity of MOFs, we set out to investigate if the in situ alloy formation between pore-incorporated ruthenium compounds and metals con-

stituting MOF secondary building units (SBUs) could be generalized in order to access highly active Guerbet catalysts displaying complementary starting material selectivity relative to RuNi@MOF and thus be amenable to furnish high-volume chemicals such as 2-ethylhexanol from renewable precursors (Figure 1).

To this end, we were pleased to find that the cobalt-based MOF  $Co^{II_4}O(1,4-bis[(3,5-dimethyl)pyrazol-4-yl]-benzene)_6$  (MFU-1)<sup>24,25</sup> can, after pore incorporation of a commercially

#### pubs.acs.org/acscatalysis

## Table 1. Alcohol Upgrading Catalyzed by 1 Conducted in a Stainless-Steel Pressure Reactor at 170 °C

		Activity		selectivity					
	conditions	TON <sup>a</sup>	TOF <sup>b</sup>	G <sup>c</sup>	$U^d$	$C^{e,f}$	Con <sup>g</sup>	$[Ru]^{h}$	comment
1	optimized conditions	1,770,504	114,226	0.81	0.11	0.08	0.31	$3.30 \times 10^{-5}$	COD, 5 mol %, 15.5 h <sup>I</sup>
2	catalyst reuse (# 1)	1,047,086	67,554	0.71	0.19	0.10	0.14	$3.30 \times 10^{-5}$	TOF ratio = $0.59^{j}$
3	#1 with NaOBu replaced by K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	1,051,859	67,862	0.80	0.16 <sup>k</sup>	n.d.	0.20	$3.30 \times 10^{-5}$	TOF ratio = $0.59^{j}$
4	#1 with NaOBu replaced by LiOH	395,854	25,539	0.53	0.36 <sup>k</sup>	n.d.	0.06	$3.30 \times 10^{-5}$	TOF ratio = $0.22^{j}$
5	targeting higher 2-ethylhexanol selectivity	193,652	10,758	0.86	0.07	0.06	0.28	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	nbd, 2.5 mol % 18 h <sup>I</sup>
6	targeting more rapid 1-butanol conversion	305,642	21,832	0.76	0.05	0.19	0.39	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	COD, 10 mol %, 14 h <sup>I</sup>
7	#1 with increased catalyst loading	237,713	15,336	0.84	0.05	0.11	0.27	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	COD, 5 mol %, 15.5 h <sup>I</sup>
8	catalyst reuse (# 7)	150,303	9697	0.72	0.16	0.12	0.19	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	TOF ratio = $0.63^{j}$
9	#7 with NaOBu replaced by NaOH	119,453	7707	0.69	0.16	0.15	0.16	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	TOF ratio = $0.50^{j}$
10	#7 with NaOBu replaced by K <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	129.614	8362	0.78	$0.17^{k}$	n.d.	0.21	$2.75 \times 10^{-4}$	TOF ratio = $0.55^{j}$

<sup>*a*</sup>Turnover number  $[Ru^{-1}]$ . <sup>*b*</sup> $[Ru^{-1}h^{-1}]$ . <sup>*c*</sup>Selectivity for the desired Guerbet alcohol. <sup>*d*</sup>Selectivity for the unsaturated Guerbet alcohol. <sup>*e*</sup>Selectivity for sodium carboxylate. <sup>*f*</sup>n.d. = not detected. <sup>*g*</sup>Conversion. <sup>*h*</sup>Amount of ruthenium present in the reaction mixture [mmoles]. <sup>*I*</sup>Alkene ligand on a ruthenium precursor, concentration of base promoter, and reaction time. <sup>*j*</sup>Comparison of TOF with an analogous experiment using a pristine catalyst and alkoxide promoter. <sup>*k*</sup>(Unsaturated) C<sub>8</sub> aldehyde formed (Figure S28). See Supporting Information for detailed reaction conditions and results.



Figure 4. Hot filtration results for RuCo catalysts supported on classic support materials (a) and derived from Ru-doped cobalt MOFs (b) (see Table S7).

available ruthenium complex, give rise to a highly active catalyst for the upgrading of 1-butanol (Figures 2, S1–S10). No cobalt removal was detected after soaking MFU-1 with a suspension of Ru(nbd)Cl<sub>2</sub> (nbd = norbornadiene) in DMF, and the structural integrity (Figures S2 and S8) of MFU-1 was maintained, as verified by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The surface area of the MOF decreased from 1441 to 881 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S6) during incorporation of the ruthenium salt. Ru@MFU-1 (1) was thus assumed to consist of Ru(nbd)Cl<sub>2</sub> trapped inside the pores of the MFU-1 or attached to the MOF without replacement of cobalt (Figure 2).

Given the broad range of reaction conditions and catalysis metrics used in the literature, we synthesized or purchased previously reported catalysts for the Guerbet reactions of 1butanol or 1-pentanol to benchmark the activity of 1 through direct side-by-side comparison (Table S1, Figure S11).7,21,22,26,27 All Guerbet reactions were conducted neat to maximize space time yield and minimize solvent waste. Due to the privileged status of alkoxide bases in Guerbet reactions,<sup>7,10,11,16,20,21,28</sup> two different concentrations of NaOBu (10 mol %: Figure 3a and 5 mol %: Figure 3b) were chosen to compare the catalysts using a constant concentration of active metal species at 170 °C. Because the activity of catalyst 1 easily surpassed those of all other published Guerbet catalysts tested, we further prepared RuCo nanoparticles, the presumed active species formed in situ from 1 (Figure 2), supported on traditional solid supports of different polarities (Table S2, Figures S12–S19). RuCo nanoparticles on carbon, N-doped carbon, and alumina furnished comparable activities to other, more expensive, metal active species, but the choice of metal components did not, in itself, account for the superior activity of 1 (Figure 3).

In addition to the desired Guerbet product (Figure 3, orange bars), unsaturated  $C_8$  alcohols (Figure 3, blue bars) and sodium butyrate (Figure 3, green bars) were observed for all catalysts studied, with 1 showing the highest selectivity for the desired Guerbet product 2-ethylhexanol. Although a decrease in the amount of base promoter from 10 to 5 mol % reduced the fraction of 1-butanol converted to sodium butyrate via the undesired Cannizzaro or Tishchenko reactions for all catalysts, this also caused an increase in the fraction converted to unsaturated alcohols. However, for 1, the increase in unsaturated alcohol formation upon reducing the amount of base promoter was less-pronounced, from 4% (average of other catalysts: 8%) to 9% (average of other catalysts: 19%).

Encouraged by the outstanding catalytic performance of 1 for butanol upgrading, we set out to investigate the effect of ruthenium loading on the MFU-1 support as well as the effect of the total amount of ruthenium present in the reaction mixture (Table 1). The in-situ formation of the active catalyst rendered it likely that high-dilution conditions engendered by very low catalyst loading could lead to high catalyst performance, on a per-Ru basis. We indeed found that irrespective of the ruthenium alkene precursor (either

pubs.acs.org/acscatalysis



Figure 5. STEM image (a), TEM images (e, f, h, i), fast Fourier transform (g), and EDS mapping for N (b), Ru (c,j), and Co (d,j) of RuCo formed in butanol-upgrading reactions with 1 in the presence of 5 mol % NaOBu.

Ru(nbd)Cl<sub>2</sub>, nbd = norbornadiene, or Ru(COD)Cl<sub>2</sub>, COD = cyclooctadiene) used to generate 1, or the amount of base cocatalyst, the catalytic performance on a per-Ru basis improved considerably with decreasing concentration of Ru (at a constant Co:Ru ratio), with only minimal effect on the conversion (Figure S22, Tables S5–S6). Crucially, turnover numbers exceeded 1.7 × 10<sup>6</sup> on a per-ruthenium basis, while the selectivity for the formation of the desired Guerbet alcohol exceeded 80% (Table 1, entry 1).

Although we had previously observed a very strong dependence on the Ru:Ni ratio in the synthesis of MOFderived RuNi catalysts for ethanol upgrading, the performance of 1 did not display a strong dependence on the loading of ruthenium on the cobalt-based MFU-1 support (Table S6).<sup>16</sup> However, loadings of Ru should be kept below around 2% relative to cobalt to prevent leaching of the catalytically active species (Figure S23–S24 and Table S7).

Hot filtration experiments for butanol-upgrading reactions in the presence of 10 mol % NaOBu showed that the catalytic activity of the filtrate was partially retained (Figure S25), and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analysis indicated leaching of 1% of the cobalt content and 5% of the ruthenium content of 1 into the reaction mixture (Table S8). Reducing the amount of base promoter to 5 mol %, and use of precatalyst with a Ru:Co ratio below 2%, ensured that the catalytically active species was entirely retained by the support material, with no catalytic activity observed in the filtrate (Figure 4b). Hot filtration experiments with classic supports containing low Ru loadings (carbon: 1.1 wt %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 1.8 wt %, and N-doped carbon: 0.3 wt %, Figure 4a, Table S7) in the presence of 5 mol % NaOBu led to extensive leaching of the catalytically active species, in line with what has been described for Pd/C and Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>7,7</sup>

No volatile side products could be detected in the headspace of the reactor other than  $H_2$  (Figure S27) formed alongside sodium butanoate in the Cannizzaro side reaction (Figure S26). Mass balance calculations accounted for >95% (>98% in most cases) of the mass in all reactions catalyzed by 1 (Figure S26–29 and Tables S3–4). Recovered 1 could be reused after separation from the reaction mixture via filtration and washing with water and acetone. Recovered batches of the catalyst yielded ~60% of the originally observed activity (Table 1, entries 2 and 8). The minute amounts of the catalyst required, in combination with the small size of some of the catalyst particles, however, rendered efficient catalyst recovery for recycling studies operationally challenging, which likely contributed to the apparent decrease in activity upon catalyst reuse (see Supporting Information for detailed discussion).

Intrigued by the significantly higher catalytic activity of 1 compared to RuCo nanoparticles supported on alumina, carbon, or N-doped carbon, we obtained high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping data for RuCo particles recovered from butanol-upgrading reactions conducted under optimized reaction conditions (Figures 5 and S30-S31). We observed, in addition to spherical CoO<sub>x</sub> particles coated with ruthenium, crystalline truncated triangular CoO<sub>x</sub> particles with an edge length of approximately 200 nm (Figure 5e) and a thickness of 0.39 nm (Figure 5i). High-resolution TEM imaging (Figure 5f,h) and selected area diffraction (Figure 5g) show that the nanoplates formed are crystalline. Interestingly, the outer edges of particles of both morphologies appear to be highly enriched in ruthenium, rendering the fraction of ruthenium atoms accessible to substrates comparable to what would be observed with nanostructures of very small diameter.

We attribute the high stability under forcing conditions and the enhanced catalytic activity of Ru@MFU-1-derived RuCo compared to other support materials to the formation of large stable  $CoO_x$  nanoparticles and the positioning of catalytically active ruthenium centers on high-energy surface sites (Figures S32–S36 and Tables S9, S10).<sup>5,31</sup>

Replacement of NaOBu with  $K_2CO_3$  as a base promoter in butanol-upgrading reactions catalyzed by 1 only yielded small quantities of saturated and unsaturated  $C_8$  aldehydes.<sup>32</sup> PXRD analysis of the recovered catalyst showed that the MOF support remained crystalline and RuCo formation was not observed in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  (Figure S37), which suggests that in situ nanoparticle formation is crucial to catalyst activity.

Moreover, we observed an increase in the catalytic activity per-ruthenium center as the concentration of the catalyst was reduced, with consistent values being observed for initial ruthenium concentrations below 2.8  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> mmol (Figure S22). Tripling the amount of catalyst used led to a roughly fourfold decrease in the per-ruthenium activity in the presence of either 10% mol or 2.5 mol % base promoter (Tables S5, S6). We attribute the variation in per-ruthenium activity to the in situ formation of catalysts with different kinetic profiles depending on the concentration of precatalyst, highlighting



Figure 6. Variation of TOF (a) and selectivity for 2-ethylhexanol (b), sodium butanoate (c), and unsaturated  $C_8$  product (d) with the concentration of NaOBu cocatalyst, and use of Ru(nbd)Cl<sub>2</sub> versus Ru(COD)Cl<sub>2</sub> to generate 1 ([Ru] =  $2.75 \times 10^{-4}$  mmol, 20.0 mL 1-butanol, 170 °C, 15.5 h). See Supporting Information for detailed reaction conditions and results.

the practical importance of further study of the in situ active catalyst formation process.

As previously observed with RuNi-catalyzed ethanol upgrading, a trade-off exists between the catalytic activity and the selectivity for the formation of the desired Guerbet alcohol because both variables depend on the identity and the amount of the base promoter used (Figure 6).<sup>16</sup> Water, which is formed in an equimolar amount to the alcohol product in Guerbet reactions, causes gradual hydrolysis of the sodium alkoxide promoter to NaOH with increasing conversion, which leads to loss of activity in many Guerbet systems.<sup>7,21</sup> Gratifyingly, butanol upgrading with 1 proceeds efficiently (TOF = 7707 Ru<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) even when NaOH is used as the exclusive promoter, although both the catalyst activity and selectivity for Guerbet alcohols is affected by the change in the base promoter (Table 1, entry 9).

The formation of carboxylate side products is commonly attributed to the presence of NaOH formed via hydrolysis of the alkoxide promoter.<sup>4,21</sup> Consequently, continuous water removal would not only lead to higher conversions of starting alcohol being readily accessible but may also increase the overall selectivity of the reaction.<sup>21,33</sup> Alternatively, the formation of carboxylate side products, which lead to consumption of the starting material as well as the base cocatalyst, could be eliminated using bases that are virtually insoluble in the reaction medium, such as K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> or LiOH (Figures S38 and S39).<sup>4,12,33</sup> The cost of replacing sodium alkoxides necessitated by the loss of the base promoter through conversion to sodium carboxylates that are unable to promote the Guerbet reaction impedes further development of Guerbet reactions that achieve efficient alcohol upgrading below 200 °C via synergistic base and transition-metal catalysis.

Gratifyingly, no carboxylate salt formation was detected in 1butanol upgrading with 1 in the presence of 5 mol % K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, whereas 55-59% of the optimized catalytic activity for butanol upgrading achieved with NaOBu was retained (TON up to 1,007,268 Ru<sup>-1</sup>; see Table 1, entries 3 and 10). Avoiding the Cannizzaro side reaction and the formation of undesired butyric acid is necessary to permit recycling of both 1 and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> because butyric acid consumes an equivalent of the basic cocatalyst and yields sodium butyrate, which is not an active promoter for the Guerbet reaction (Figure S39). Reuse of the entire catalytic system furnished TOF =  $30,309 \text{ Ru}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (45% of the original catalytic activity) with reduced selectivity for the saturated Guerbet product (Table S11), lending promise that efficient recycling of both catalyst and base may be possible with process improvement for catalyst recovery and/or reactivation conditions.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that a new, MOF-derived RuCo nanoparticulate catalyst is efficient (TON >  $10^6 \text{ Ru}^{-1}$ ) and selective for the upgrading of 1-butanol into the commercially important plasticizer alcohol 2-ethylhexanol. Catalytically active RuCo particles can be obtained in situ from air-stable, easily accessible Ru@MOF precatalysts and are retained more effectively on the MOF support than on traditional supports such as (N-doped) carbon or alumina. Replacement of the ubiquitous base promoter NaOBu with K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> ensures the efficient formation of 2-ethylhexanol without simultaneous production of undesired carboxylate salts.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.1c01391.

Further experimental details, synthetic procedures for catalysts, and electron microscopy images (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Mircea Dincă – Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1262-1264; Email: mdinca@mit.edu

# Authors

- Constanze N. Neumann Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States; Present Address: Department of Heterogeneous Catalysis, Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 Mülheim, Germany.; Orcid.org/0000-0003-1004-1140
- Steven J. Rozeveld Core R&D, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan 48674, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c01391

# Funding

This work was supported by Dow.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Joo H. Kang for collecting XPS data.

## REFERENCES

(1) Wu, L.; Moteki, T.; Gokhale, A. A.; Flaherty, D. W.; Toste, F. D. Production of Fuels and Chemicals from Biomass: Condensation Reactions and Beyond. *Chem* **2016**, *1*, 32–58.

(2) Anbarasan, P.; Baer, Z. C.; Sreekumar, S.; Gross, E.; Binder, J. B.; Blanch, H. W.; Clark, D. S.; Toste, F. D. Integration of chemical catalysis with extractive fermentation to produce fuels. *Nature* **2012**, *491*, 235.

(3) Goulas, K. A.; Toste, F. D. Combining microbial production with chemical upgrading. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *38*, 47–53.

(4) Gabriëls, D.; Hernández, W. Y.; Sels, B.; Van Der Voort, P.; Verberckmoes, A. Review of catalytic systems and thermodynamics for the Guerbet condensation reaction and challenges for biomass valorization. *Catal. Sci.* **2015**, *5*, 3876–3902.

(5) Goulas, K. A.; Sreekumar, S.; Song, Y.; Kharidehal, P.; Gunbas, G.; Dietrich, P. J.; Johnson, G. R.; Wang, Y. C.; Grippo, A. M.; Grabow, L. C.; Gokhale, A. A.; Toste, F. D. Synergistic Effects in Bimetallic Palladium-Copper Catalysts Improve Selectivity in Oxygenate Coupling Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 6805–6812.

(6) Bahrmann, H.; Hahn, H.-D.; Mayer, D.; Frey, G. D. 2-Ethylhexanol. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry; Wiley, 2013.

(7) Carlini, C.; Macinai, A.; Raspolli Galletti, A. M.; Sbrana, G. Selective synthesis of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol from n-butanol through the Guerbet reaction by using bifunctional catalysts based on copper or palladium precursors and sodium butoxide. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, *212*, 65–70.

(8) Patel, A. D.; Telalović, S.; Bitter, J. H.; Worrell, E.; Patel, M. K. Analysis of sustainability metrics and application to the catalytic production of higher alcohols from ethanol. *Catal. Today* **2015**, *239*, 56–79.

(9) Larina, O. V.; Valihura, K. V.; Kyriienko, P. I.; Vlasenko, N. V.; Balakin, D. Y.; Khalakhan, I.; Čendak, T.; Soloviev, S. O.; Orlyk, S. M. Successive vapour phase Guerbet condensation of ethanol and 1butanol over Mg-Al oxide catalysts in a flow reactor. *Appl. Catal., A* **2019**, 588, 117265.

(10) Fu, S.; Shao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Q. Manganese-Catalyzed Upgrading of Ethanol into 1-Butanol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11941–11948.

(11) Kulkarni, N. V.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. Catalytic Upgrading of Ethanol to n-Butanol via Manganese-Mediated Guerbet Reaction. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 997–1002.

(12) Pang, J.; Zheng, M.; Wang, Z.; Liu, S.; Li, X.; Li, X.; Wang, J.; Zhang, T. Catalytic upgrading of ethanol to butanol over a binary catalytic system of FeNiO and LiOH. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2020**, *41*, 672–678.

(13) Vlasenko, N. V.; Kyriienko, P. I.; Valihura, K. V.; Kosmambetova, G. R.; Soloviev, S. O.; Strizhak, P. E. Yttria-Stabilized Zirconia as a High-Performance Catalyst for Ethanol to n-Butanol Guerbet Coupling. *ACS Omega* **2019**, *4*, 21469–21476.

(14) Wu, X.; Fang, G.; Tong, Y.; Jiang, D.; Liang, Z.; Leng, W.; Liu, L.; Tu, P.; Wang, H.; Ni, J.; Li, X. Catalytic Upgrading of Ethanol to n -Butanol: Progress in Catalyst Development. *ChemSusChem* **2018**, *11*, 71–85.

(15) Wu, X.; Fang, G.; Liang, Z.; Leng, W.; Xu, K.; Jiang, D.; Ni, J.; Li, X. Catalytic upgrading of ethanol to n-butanol over M-CeO2/AC (M = Cu, Fe, Co, Ni and Pd) catalysts. *Catal. Commun.* **2017**, *100*, 15–18.

(16) Neumann, C. N.; Rozeveld, S. J.; Yu, M.; Rieth, A. J.; Dincă, M. Metal-Organic Framework-Derived Guerbet Catalyst Effectively Differentiates between Ethanol and Butanol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 17477–17481.

(17) Aitchison, H.; Wingad, R. L.; Wass, D. F. Homogeneous Ethanol to Butanol Catalysis-Guerbet Renewed. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 7125–7132.

(18) Markownikoff, W.; Zuboff, P. Ueber die Condensation höherer Alkohole: Tricaprylalkohol. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1901**, *34*, 3246– 3249. (19) Guerbet, M. Action de l'alcool amylique de fermentation sur son dèrivé sodé. C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1899, 128, 511-513.
(20) Gregorio, G.; Pregaglia, G. F.; Ugo, R. Condensation of alcohols catalysed by tertiary phosphine transition metal complexes. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 37, 385-387.

(21) Burk, P. L.; Pruett, R. L.; Campo, K. S. The rhodium-promoted guerbet reaction: Part I. Higher alcohols from lower alcohols. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1985**, 33, 1–14.

(22) Liu, D.; Chen, X.; Xu, G.; Guan, J.; Cao, Q.; Dong, B.; Qi, Y.; Li, C.; Mu, X. Iridium nanoparticles supported on hierarchical porous N-doped carbon: an efficient water-tolerant catalyst for bio-alcohol condensation in water. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 21365.

(23) Wang, J.; Yang, W.; Ŵu, C.; Gong, Y.; Zhang, J.; Shen, C. Upgrading n-Butanol to Branched Alcohols over Ni/CaxMgyO. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 16960–16967.

(24) Tonigold, M.; Lu, Y.; Bredenkötter, B.; Rieger, B.; Bahnmüller, S.; Hitzbleck, J.; Langstein, G.; Volkmer, D. Heterogeneous Catalytic Oxidation by MFU-1: A Cobalt(II)-Containing Metal-Organic Framework. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7546–7550.

(25) Tonigold, M.; Lu, Y.; Mavrandonakis, A.; Puls, A.; Staudt, R.; Möllmer, J.; Sauer, J.; Volkmer, D. Pyrazolate-based cobalt(II)containing metal-organic frameworks in heterogeneous catalytic oxidation reactions: elucidating the role of entatic states for biomimetic oxidation processes. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 8671– 8695.

(26) Xu, G.; Lammens, T.; Liu, Q.; Wang, X.; Dong, L.; Caiazzo, A.; Ashraf, N.; Guan, J.; Mu, X. Direct self-condensation of bio-alcohols in the aqueous phase. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 3971–3977.

(27) Panchenko, V. N.; Paukshtis, E. A.; Murzin, D. Y.; Simakova, I. L. Solid Base Assisted n-Pentanol Coupling over VIII Group Metals: Elucidation of the Guerbet Reaction Mechanism by DRIFTS. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *56*, 13310–13321.

(28) Carlini, C.; Di Girolamo, M.; Macinai, A.; Marchionna, M.; Noviello, M.; Raspolli Galletti, A. M.; Sbrana, G. Selective synthesis of isobutanol by means of the Guerbet reaction: Part 2. Reaction of methanol/ethanol and methanol/ethanol/n-propanol mixtures over copper based/MeONa catalytic systems. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 200, 137–146.

(29) Manojveer, S.; Salahi, S.; Wendt, O. F.; Johnson, M. T. Ru-Catalyzed Cross-Dehydrogenative Coupling between Primary Alcohols to Guerbet Alcohol Derivatives: with Relevance for Fragrance Synthesis. J. Org. Chem. **2018**, 83, 10864–10870.

(30) Matsu-ura, T.; Sakaguchi, S.; Obora, Y.; Ishii, Y. Guerbet Reaction of Primary Alcohols Leading to  $\beta$ -Alkylated Dimer Alcohols Catalyzed by Iridium Complexes. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 8306–8308.

(31) Millstone, J. E.; Georganopoulou, D. G.; Xu, X.; Wei, W.; Li, S.; Mirkin, C. A. DNA-gold triangular nanoprism conjugates. *Small* **2008**, *4*, 2176–2180.

(32) Dvornikoff, M. N.; Farrar, M. W. Condensation of Alcohols. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 540-542.

(33) Miller, R.; Bennett, G. Producing 2-Ethylhexanol by the Guerbet Reaction. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1961**, *53*, 33–36.